EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)

CMS-TOP-11-003

Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7\,\text{TeV}$ with lepton + jets final states

The CMS Collaboration[*]

Abstract

A measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV is presented. The results are based on data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $2.3\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ collected by the CMS detector at the LHC. Selected events are required to have one isolated, high transverse momentum electron or muon, large missing transverse energy, and hadronic jets, at least one of which must be consistent with having originated from a b quark. The measured cross section is $158.1 \pm 2.1\,\text{(stat.)} \pm 10.2\,\text{(syst.)} \pm 3.5\,\text{(lum.)}\,\text{pb}$, in agreement with standard model predictions.

Submitted to Physics Letters B

[*]See Appendix A for the list of collaboration members

1 Introduction

Since the discovery of the top quark at the Fermilab Tevatron collider [1, 2], considerable advances have been made in understanding its production rates and decay properties in p$\overline{\text{p}}$ collisions. The advent of pp collisions at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [3] has started a new phase of top quark physics, and the first measurement at the higher center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV was the top quark pair production cross section [4–7]. A precise measurement of the t$\overline{\text{t}}$ cross section provides constraints for QCD calculations presently available up to approximate next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) [8–11]. It is also important for probing new physics processes that can manifest themselves as an enhancement of the t$\overline{\text{t}}$ production rate.

In this article, we present a precise measurement of the t$\overline{\text{t}}$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV utilizing a data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.3 fb^{-1} recorded by the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment at the LHC.

In the standard model (SM), top quarks are produced in pp collisions predominantly via the strong interaction as t$\overline{\text{t}}$ pairs, with each top quark decaying almost exclusively into a W boson and a bottom quark. In the analysis presented here, t$\overline{\text{t}}$ events are identified in final states in which one of the W bosons decays into a quark pair and the other into a charged lepton (electron or muon) and a neutrino, resulting in events that contain an electron or a muon, a neutrino, and four hadronic jets, two of which result from hadronization of the b and $\overline{\text{b}}$ quarks (b-jets). In order to improve the purity of the t$\overline{\text{t}}$ candidate event sample, we employ b-tagging algorithms, which are optimized for identification of b-jets. Decays of W bosons into τ leptons are not specifically selected in this analysis, albeit some events enter the event sample due to leptonic decays of the τ.

The technique for measuring the t$\overline{\text{t}}$ cross section from the candidate event sample consists of a simultaneous profile likelihood fit to the distribution of invariant masses of particles belonging to identified displaced vertices. These fits are performed as a function of the jet and b-tag multiplicities in the event. The method is similar to the one that was used in a previous CMS measurement [4], though a larger data sample is now studied. Several alternative methods have been employed. In one of these, we perform an inclusive measurement of t$\overline{\text{t}}$ production cross section without b-jet identification requirement, while others incorporate different b-tagging algorithms.

2 The CMS Detector

The characteristic feature of the CMS detector is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m in diameter, providing an axial magnetic field of 3.8 T. Charged particle trajectories are measured by the silicon pixel and strip subdetectors, covering $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ in azimuth and $|\eta| < 2.5$, where the pseudorapidity η is defined as $\eta = -\ln[\tan\theta/2]$, with θ being the polar angle of the trajectory of the particle with respect to the counterclockwise-beam direction. Within the field volume, the silicon detectors are surrounded by a crystal electromagnetic calorimeter and a brass/scintillator hadron calorimeter that provide high resolution energy measurement of photons, electrons and hadronic jets. Muon detection systems are located outside of the solenoid and embedded in the steel return yoke. They provide muon detection in the range $|\eta| < 2.4$. A two-tier trigger system selects the most interesting pp collision events for use in physics analysis. A detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in Ref. [12].

3 Event selection

The sample of candidate $t\bar{t}$ events is collected using dedicated triggers, which require either a muon with transverse momentum (p_T) larger than 30 GeV or a high-p_T electron. The criteria for the electron trigger evolved during the course of data-taking in order to maintain a reasonable trigger rate as the instantaneous luminosity of the LHC increased. For the initial data set, corresponding to an integrated luminosity 0.9 fb^{-1}, the threshold on the p_T of electron candidates varied between 27 and 32 GeV. For the second part of the data set (1.4 fb^{-1}) the trigger required the presence of an electron with $p_T > 25$ GeV and at least three hadronic jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV.

The recorded events are reconstructed using the CMS particle-flow algorithm [13], which categorizes observable particles into muons, electrons, photons, charged and neutral hadrons. Energy calibration is performed separately for each particle type. In the offline selection, muons are required to have a good-quality track with $p_T > 35$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$, and the reconstructed tracks in the silicon tracker are consistent with the track information from the muon systems [14]. Electrons are identified using a combination of the shower shape information in electromagnetic calorimeter and track-cluster matching [15], and are required to have $p_T > 35$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Electron candidates in the transition region between the barrel and forward electromagnetic calorimeters, $1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$, are not used for the measurement. We also reject electrons coming from photon conversions [15].

Since the lepton from a W decay is expected to be isolated from other activity in the event, we apply isolation requirements. The relative isolation is defined as $I_{rel} = \left(\sum E_T^{charged} + \sum E_T^{photon} + \sum E_T^{neutral} \right) / p_T$, where p_T is the lepton transverse momentum, and $E_T^{charged}$, E_T^{photon}, and $E_T^{neutral}$ are transverse energies of the charged particles, the reconstructed photons, and the neutral particles not identified as photons. The sum of the transverse energies is computed in a cone of size $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\phi)^2 + (\Delta\eta)^2} = 0.3$ around the lepton direction, excluding the lepton candidate itself. We require I_{rel} to be less than 0.125 for muons and 0.10 for electrons.

The signal events are required to have only one electron or muon whose origin is consistent with the reconstructed primary pp interaction vertex [16], defined as the vertex with the largest value for the scalar sum of the p_T of the associated tracks. Events with an additional electron or muon candidate that satisfies less strict lepton identification requirements are vetoed.

Jets are reconstructed using the particle-flow algorithm and are clustered using the anti-k_T jet technique [17] with a distance parameter of 0.5, as implemented in FASTJET v2.4.2 [18, 19]. In order to account for extra activity within a jet cone from multiple pp interactions per beam crossing, referred to as a pileup, jet energies are corrected for charged hadrons that originate from a vertex other than the primary one, and for the amount of pileup expected in the jet area from neutral jet constituents. Jet energies are also corrected for non-linearities due to different responses in the endcap and barrel calorimeters, and differences between true and simulated calorimeter responses [20]. Each jet is required to have a transverse momentum $p_T > 35$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. We select events with at least one jet, or at least three jets for events collected with the electron + jets trigger. To reduce background processes, we require at least one of the jets to be identified as a b-jet by a displaced secondary vertex algorithm known as *Simple Secondary Vertex High Efficiency* [21] with a medium working point. The algorithm has a b-tag efficiency of 55% and a light parton (u, d, s, g) mistag rate of 1.5%.

In addition, events are required to have a significant amount of missing transverse energy ($\not{E}_T$) as evidence of a neutrino from the W boson decay. This is defined as the magnitude of the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of all of the objects found by the particle-flow

algorithm. We require $\not{E}_T > 20\,\text{GeV}$ for both the electron + jets and muon + jets channels.

4 Signal and Background Modeling

Pair production of top quarks is modeled using the MADGRAPH v5.1.1 [22] Monte Carlo (MC) event generator, assuming the mass of the top quark $m_t = 172.5\,\text{GeV}$. The top quark pairs are generated with up to three additional hard jets using PYTHIA v6.424 with tune Z2 [23] to model parton-showering (PS), and the shower matching is performed using the k_T-MLM prescription [22]. The generated events are further passed through the full CMS detector simulation based on GEANT4 [24]. The presence of pileup is incorporated by simulating additional interactions with a multiplicity matching that observed in data.

Leptonically decaying W + jets events constitute by far the largest background. These together with Z + jets events are also generated using MADGRAPH with up to four jets subject to the matrix-element (ME) description. The W + jets events are generated inclusively with respect to jet flavor. Reconstructed jets are further matched to partons in the simulation, and the W + bottom quark and W + charm quark components are separated from the W + light-flavor (u, d, s, and gluon) component based on the parton flavor.

Other backgrounds include single-top-quark production, simulated with POWHEG v1.0 [25–27], QCD multijet simulated with PYTHIA, and photon + jet events, which constitute a background for the electron + jets channel, generated by MADGRAPH. The set of parton distribution functions used by MADGRAPH is CTEQ6L1 [28], while POWHEG and PYTHIA use CTEQ6M [28].

The W and Drell–Yan production processes are normalized based on NNLO cross sections, determined using FEWZ [29]. They correspond to $\sigma_{W \to \ell \nu} = 31.3 \pm 1.6\,\text{nb}$ and $\sigma_{Z/\gamma^* \to \ell\ell} = 3048 \pm 132\,\text{pb}$, where for the Drell–Yan production the invariant mass of two leptons ($\ell = e$ or μ) is greater than $50\,\text{GeV}$. The single-top-quark t-channel production is normalized to the recent CMS measurement of $\sigma_t = 67.2 \pm 6.1\,\text{pb}$ [30]. The single-top-quark associated production (tW) is normalized to the approximate NNLO cross section $\sigma_{tW} = 15.7 \pm 1.2\,\text{pb}$ [31], and the s-channel is normalized to the next-to-next-to-leading-logarithm prediction of $\sigma_t = 4.6 \pm 0.2\,\text{pb}$ [32].

The QCD multijet normalization is obtained by fitting SM contributions to the full $\not{E}_T$ distribution in data, though only the yield of QCD multijet events with $\not{E}_T > 20\,\text{GeV}$ enters the normalization. For the electron + jets channel, the QCD multijet background distributions are obtained from MC, and for the muon + jets channel, they are obtained from a background-enriched data sample defined as $I_{\text{rel}} > 0.125$ and $\not{E}_T < 20\,\text{GeV}$.

5 Cross Section Measurement

The $t\bar{t}$ cross section measurement is performed using a maximum profile likelihood fit to the number of reconstructed jets (N_{jet}), the number of b-tagged jets (N_{tag}), and the secondary vertex mass (SVM) distribution in the data. We consider ten event subsamples with N_{jet} values of 1–4 and ≥ 5, and N_{tag} values of 1 and ≥ 2. The SVM is defined as the mass of the sum of four-vectors of the tracks associated to the secondary vertex with an assumption that all particles have the pion mass. For events with two b-tagged jets, SVM corresponds to the highest-p_T b-tagged jet. The SVM distribution yields a good discrimination between the contributions from light- and heavy-flavor quark production [4]. The results are obtained by maximizing a binned Poisson likelihood that incorporates contributions from $t\bar{t}$, W + jets, Z + jets, single-top-quark, and QCD multijet production processes. Performing a simultaneous fit across different

jet and b-tag multiplicity bins, including regions dominated by background events, constrains the background contributions, resulting in a more precise measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section.

The W + jets, Z + jets, and single-top-quark background processes are initially normalized to the expected event yields according to their theoretical cross sections. The QCD and photon + jets normalizations are evaluated as described above individually in each N_{jet} and N_{tag} sub-sample, for both channels. These background normalizations are the initial values that enter the profile likelihood fit. The cross section measurement is performed by fitting to the data to obtain corrections to these initial values. The W + jets backgrounds are split into W + b jets, W + c jets, and W + light-flavor (LF) sub-samples, with all three components free in the fit. During the likelihood maximization, the normalizations of each of these components are extracted. The normalizations of the $t\bar{t}$ and W + jets contributions are allowed to float freely. The contributions from small backgrounds, QCD multijet and Z + jets, are conservatively constrained with Gaussian uncertainties of 100% and 30% of their expected event yields, respectively. The single-top-quark contribution is constrained with an uncertainty of 10% [30].

The expected event yield for each background component, per N_{jet} and N_{tag}, is also a function of other parameters, such as the jet energy scale (JES), the b-tagging efficiency and the mistag rate. In addition, the N_{jet} spectrum is affected by the choice of the renormalization and factorization (Q^2) scales. For the W + jets simulation we use a dynamical mass scale of $(m_{\text{W}})^2 + (\sum p_{\text{T}}^{\text{jet}})^2$, where m_{W} is the mass of the W and $\sum p_{\text{T}}^{\text{jet}}$ is the sum of the transverse momenta from the jets in the event. The magnitude of the scale is allowed to vary in the fit by incorporating an effective parameter c_{Q^2} into the likelihood with initial value 1.0, and which is allowed to vary between 0.5 and 2.0. The profile likelihood maximization provides simultaneous measurements of each of these parameters, background contributions and the $t\bar{t}$ cross section.

There are alternative control samples to estimate the JES, the b-tagging efficiency and the mistag rate. The JES uncertainty is measured in control samples to be approximately 3% [20], and this determines a Gaussian constraint on this parameter in the likelihood. To account for differences between simulation and data in the b-tagging efficiencies and the mistag rates, we weight the tagged jets in the simulation up or down by a data-to-simulation scale factor. The b-tagging efficiency and the mistag rate scale factors are constrained to be 1.0 ± 0.1 in the fit, where 10% is the uncertainty in the b-tagging efficiency and the mistag rate [21].

The systematic uncertainties related to JES, Q^2 scale, b-tagging and mistag scale factors are included as nuisance parameters in the profile likelihood fit. Other systematic uncertainties are not directly included in the profile likelihood and taken as additional systematic uncertainties outside of the fit result and are described below.

The efficiencies for triggering, reconstructing, and identifying isolated leptons are determined using Z $\rightarrow$ ee and Z $\rightarrow$ $\mu\mu$ samples of events, and found to be very similar in the data and simulation. We correct for small differences observed, and account for an additional systematic uncertainty of 3% on these values. The unclustered energy in the detector results in an additional resolution uncertainty of less than 1% on the $\not{E}_{\text{T}}$ scale. The difference in jet energy resolution determined in simulation and data results in an uncertainty of less than 1%.

The theoretical uncertainties in modeling of $t\bar{t}$ production are evaluated from dedicated simulated event samples by varying the theoretical parameters of interest around their nominal values. Such variations are used to construct alternative distributions, from which simulated events can be generated. For each variation, 4000 pseudo-experiments are generated and fitted

with the standard configuration. The mean bias of the fitted $t\bar{t}$ cross section is taken as the size of the systematic uncertainty due to the source under study. These include differences in the $t\bar{t}$ signal due to renormalization and factorization scales (4%), the scale for the ME partons to PS matching scheme (2%), pileup modeling in simulation (less than 1%), and the parton distribution function model (less than 1%). The total uncertainty for the $t\bar{t}$ modeling, when adding the above uncertainties in quadrature, is 5.0%.

The systematic uncertainty on the SVM shape is also considered. We have studied several effects, which include pixel resolution and jet-track-association modeling, as well as pileup dependence. These have a negligible effect on the SVM shapes of $t\bar{t}$, single-top-quark, W and Z + jets events. The uncertainty on the SVM shape from QCD multijet background is obtained as follows. For the electron + jets channel we generate pseudo-experiments based on the default and alternative QCD shapes obtained from simulation. To increase the statistical accuracy of the QCD multijet background, the default shape employed in the fit is taken from events with relaxed requirements on the electron isolation and identification, and no $\not{E}_T$ requirement imposed. The alternative shape is obtained from the region corresponding to the event selection used in the $t\bar{t}$ cross section measurement. For the muon + jets channel, the statistical fluctuations in the normalization for the $\not{E}_T$ distributions obtained from muon non-isolated ($I_{\rm rel} > 0.125$) and isolated ($I_{\rm rel} < 0.125$) regions are taken as the systematic uncertainty. The integrated luminosity of the event sample is determined with an uncertainty of 2.2% [33].

The list of systematic uncertainties is summarized in Table 1. These include both the uncertainties related to the nuisance parameters in the likelihood fit and the additional uncertainties evaluated from alternative distributions as described above. The individual systematic uncertainties related to the nuisance parameters in the fit are shown for illustrative purposes only. These are obtained as follows. First, the total fit uncertainty is evaluated when the parameter of interest is fixed in the fit. Then this uncertainty is subtracted in quadrature from the total fit uncertainty when all parameters are varied in the fit. Since the treatment of the Q^2 uncertainty in the likelihood fit is dependent on parametrization, we also performed the cross-check with the Q^2 uncertainty treated outside of the fit, and obtained consistent results. The combined systematic uncertainty of the measurement is 6.5%, taking into account the correlations between the nuisance parameters.

The measurement is performed separately for the electron + jets and muon + jets channels, as well as simultaneously for both channels, yielding

Electron + jets
$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 160.6 \pm 3.2\,(\text{stat.}) \pm 11.2\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.5\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb}, \tag{1}$$

Muon + jets
$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 164.2 \pm 2.8\,(\text{stat.}) \pm 10.1\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.6\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb, and} \tag{2}$$

Combined
$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 158.1 \pm 2.1\,(\text{stat.}) \pm 10.2\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.5\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb}. \tag{3}$$

The comparison of the corresponding observed and fitted SVM distributions is shown in Figures 1 and 2. As a by-product, the fit provides the size of contributions from the SM processes that are backgrounds to $t\bar{t}$ production, as well as in-situ evaluations of other parameters varied in the profile likelihood fit, such as the b-tagging efficiency and the JES correction factor (on top of the standard jet corrections). The results of the combined fit, as well as the results of the fits performed in the electron + jets and muon + jets samples separately, are listed in Table 2, with

Table 1: List of systematic uncertainties for the electron + jets, muon + jets, and the combined analysis. Due to the correlation between the fit parameters, the combined number is not the root of the quadratic sum of the contributions.

Source	Electron channel	Muon channel	Combined analysis
Quantity	Uncertainty (%)		
Lepton ID/reconstruction/trigger efficiency	3.0	2.0	3.0
$\not{E}_T$ resolution due to unclustered energy	0.9	0.3	0.8
Jet energy resolution	0.5	0.5	0.6
$t\bar{t}$ + jets renorm./fact. scales	3.5	4.3	4.3
$t\bar{t}$ + jets ME to PS matching	2.2	1.8	2.2
Pileup	0.5	0.2	0.6
Parton distribution function choice	0.3	0.3	0.3
QCD multijet SVM distribution	1.4	0.4	0.6
Subtotal	5.5	5.1	5.9
Nuisance parameter	Uncertainty (%)		
Jet energy scale	3.9	3.0	2.4
b-tagging efficiency and mistag rate	3.5	2.8	2.1
W + jets renorm./fact. scale	1.6	1.5	1.6
Total systematic uncertainty	7.0	6.2	6.5

correlations among parameters shown in Table 3. The $t\bar{t}$ cross section is given in pb, while the contributions from other standard model processes are quoted as scale factors with respect to their theoretical predictions described above. These measured scale factors do not account for a full treatment of the systematic uncertainties and hence are strictly valid only in the context of the fit presented in this paper. The b-tagging scale factor defined as the ratio of the b-tagging efficiencies in data and simulation is determined to be $96 \pm 1\%$, consistent between the electron + jets and muon + jets channels. The JES correction factor is found to be $100.4 \pm 1.6\%$ and $98.1 \pm 1.2\%$ in the electron + jets and muon + jets channels, respectively, yielding $100.2 \pm 1.0\%$ in the combined fit.

The W + c jets contribution in the data is found to be larger than SM predictions, both in the electron + jets and muon + jets channels. This contribution includes single charm and double charm production, which are both present in the selected events. The W + b jets contribution in the data is also found to be slightly higher than in the simulation. The W + LF jets scale factor in the electron channel is significantly lower than in the muon case. This is because of the presence of a much larger QCD multijet contribution in the electron sample, and its large correlation with the W + LF jets component. The combined W + LF jets/QCD multijet scale factors for muons and electrons are in agreement, being $0.84 \pm 0.09\%$ and $0.71 \pm 0.07\%$, respectively.

Because of large correlated uncertainties and due to differences in the correlation matrix for the electron + jets and muon + jets channels, which result from different QCD multi jet contributions in the two channels, the result of the combined fit resides outside of the individual electron + jets and muon + jets measurements. The correlation matrix for the combined fit is given in Table 3. Using 4000 alternative data sets constructed from the simulated events we determine that the combined cross section lies between the individual channel results only in 60% of the cases. For the combined fit we have seven out of ten parameters that are common to both channels, residing outside of the $\pm 1\sigma$ interval between individual electron + jets and

Figure 1: Results of the combined fit for the electron + jets channel, for single b-tag events (top panel), and for ≥2b-tag events (bottom panel). The distributions within each panel correspond to events with 1-, 2-, 3-, 4-, and ≥5-jets, respectively. The error bars indicate statistical uncertainties only.

muon + jets measurements. Using simulated events we determine this to occur in 10% of the cases.

The $t\bar{t}$ cross section is measured assuming a value of the top quark mass $m_t = 172.5\,\text{GeV}$. The

Figure 2: Results of the combined fit for the muon + jets channel, for single b-tag events (top panel), and for ≥2b-tag events (bottom panel). The distributions within each panel correspond to events with 1-, 2-, 3-, 4-, and ≥5-jets, respectively. The error bars indicate statistical uncertainties only.

measured cross section of $t\bar{t}$ production has a dependence on m_t, which is evaluated using dedicated MC samples and can be parameterized in the range of 160–185 GeV as

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 158.1\,\text{pb} - (m_t - 172.5\,\text{GeV}) \times (1.14 \pm 0.18\,\text{pb/GeV}). \tag{4}$$

Table 2: Results of the fit to the combined electron + jets and muon + jets sample, and each channel individually. The contributions from the background processes are quoted as scale factors with respect to their theoretical predictions. The scale factors do not account for a full treatment of the systematic uncertainties and are strictly valid only in the context of the fit. For brevity, the QCD parameters are not shown.

Fit parameters	Electron + jets	Muon + jets	Combined
$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ (pb)	160.6 ± 6.6	164.2 ± 5.5	158.1 ± 4.1
Single top	1.05 ± 0.10	1.08 ± 0.10	1.17 ± 0.10
W + b jets	1.19 ± 0.35	0.95 ± 0.18	1.28 ± 0.16
W + c jets	1.54 ± 0.15	1.48 ± 0.05	1.55 ± 0.04
W + LF jets	0.20 ± 0.08	0.57 ± 0.07	0.52 ± 0.06
Z + jets	1.13 ± 0.29	1.08 ± 0.29	1.43 ± 0.29
c_{Q^2}	1.02 ± 0.16	0.94 ± 0.06	1.05 ± 0.05
b-tag	0.95 ± 0.01	0.97 ± 0.01	0.96 ± 0.01
JES	1.00 ± 0.02	0.98 ± 0.01	1.00 ± 0.01
Mistag	1.00 ± 0.10	1.00 ± 0.10	1.00 ± 0.10

Table 3: Correlation matrix of the combined fit to the electron + jets plus muon + jets samples. Only non-QCD parameters are shown.

	$t\bar{t}$	Single t	W + b	W + c	W + LF	Z + jets	c_{Q^2}	b-tag	JES	Mistag
$t\bar{t}$	1.00	−0.13	−0.48	0.33	0.03	0.07	−0.07	−0.70	−0.81	0.00
Single t	−0.13	1.00	−0.52	0.04	0.03	−0.03	0.06	−0.08	0.09	−0.00
W + b	−0.48	−0.52	1.00	0.05	0.13	−0.16	0.27	0.26	0.42	−0.02
W + c	0.33	0.04	0.05	1.00	0.01	0.15	0.71	−0.38	−0.26	−0.02
W + LF	0.03	0.03	0.13	0.01	1.00	−0.19	0.21	−0.03	−0.05	−0.83
Z + jets	0.07	−0.03	−0.16	0.15	−0.19	1.00	0.23	−0.01	−0.10	0.01
c_{Q^2}	−0.07	0.06	0.27	0.71	0.21	0.23	1.00	−0.02	0.15	−0.02
b-tag	−0.70	−0.08	0.26	−0.38	−0.03	−0.01	−0.02	1.00	0.43	−0.02
JES	−0.81	0.09	0.42	−0.26	−0.05	−0.10	0.15	0.43	1.00	0.01
Mistag	0.00	−0.00	−0.02	−0.02	−0.83	0.01	−0.02	−0.02	0.01	1.00

6 Alternative Analyses

In addition to the main result, we have performed several alternative analyses in the electron + jets and the muon + jets channels using different event selections and different methods to suppress background contributions and measure the $t\bar{t}$ cross section. One analysis does not rely on b-tagging, a second one makes use of the kinematical information from the top quark decays, and a third one relies on a data-based estimate of the dominant background.

The analysis without relying on use of the b-tagging algorithms considers the data set corresponding to $4.6\,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ ($4.9\,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$) in the electron (muon) + jets channel. The selected events are required to have an electron with $p_T > 35\,\mathrm{GeV}$ or a muon with $p_T > 26\,\mathrm{GeV}$, and at least 4 jets with $p_T > 30\,\mathrm{GeV}$. No missing transverse energy requirement is imposed.

The cross section is measured using a binned log-likelihood fit to the mass of the three-jet combination with the highest p_T in the event (M_3). The $t\bar{t}$, W/Z + jets, and QCD multijet com-

ponents are unconstrained during the fit, with the QCD multijet contributions in the electron + jets and muon + jets channels treated independently. The single-top-quark normalization is constrained to within 30% of its theoretical value.

The $t\bar{t}$, single-top-quark, and W/Z + jets processes are modeled using the simulation, while the QCD multijet contribution is estimated from data using a side-band region with the relative isolation of the lepton greater than 0.25. Signal events, as well as W + jets events, are heavily suppressed by this selection, and subtracted based on simulation. The shape of the subtracted QCD multijet contribution is used for the fit in the signal region, since the M_3 distribution of QCD events does not depend on the relative isolation of the lepton in the event.

Figure 3: The mass of the three-jet combination with the highest transverse momenta.

The observed and the fitted M_3 distributions are shown in Figure 3. The dominant sources of systematic uncertainty are JES, ME to PS matching, and the Q^2 scale uncertainties. In the electron + jets channel the cross section measurement yields

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 157.1 \pm 3.7\,(\text{stat.})^{+17.2}_{-11.4}\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.5\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb},\tag{5}$$

in the muon + jets channel the cross section is measured as

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 161.6 \pm 3.5\,(\text{stat.})^{+14.8}_{-22.1}\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.6\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb},\tag{6}$$

The combined measurement in the electron + jets and muon + jets channels yields a cross section of

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 159.7 \pm 2.6\,(\text{stat.})^{+13.1}_{-14.7}\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.5\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb}.\tag{7}$$

Another measurement uses kinematic information from the leptonic top quark decay $t \rightarrow bW \rightarrow b\ell\nu_\ell$, namely the mass of the two-particle system consisting of a lepton and a jet associated with a b quark. The jet-to-parton assignment among the four leading jets is performed minimizing a least-squares residual based on the masses of the reconstructed W boson and hadronically decaying top quark in $t \rightarrow bW \rightarrow bq\bar{q}'$. The baseline event selection is similar to the reference analysis, complemented with the requirement that the jet assigned to the leptonic top quark decay is b-tagged using an algorithm based on measuring the significance of a track impact parameter [21]. The technique is applied to the muon + jets data

sample, corresponding to an integrated luminosity 4.9 fb^{-1}. The result of this measurement is $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 162.4 \pm 5.4\,(\text{stat.})^{+7.5}_{-11.0}\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.6\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb}$, where the dominant systematic uncertainty is due to the JES.

Finally, the third method does not rely on MC simulation for the W + jets background, but exploits the W charge asymmetry [34] in W + jets production at the LHC. The shape of the lepton pseudo-rapidity distribution for the W + jets component is obtained from the data by subtracting the observed distribution for ℓ^- from the one corresponding to ℓ^+. The $t\bar{t}$ cross section is measured by fitting a combination of signal and background components to the observed lepton $|\eta|$ spectrum using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 0.9 (1.0) fb^{-1} for electron (muon) + jets. The $t\bar{t}$ cross section is measured with a large expected uncertainty of 42% (23%) in the electron (muon) + jets channel, and agrees with the results of the other analyses.

7 Summary

The $t\bar{t}$ production cross section measurement has been performed at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the data collected with the CMS detector and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.3 fb^{-1}.

The $t\bar{t}$ cross section is measured using a profile likelihood fit to the number of reconstructed jets, the number of b-tagged jets, and the secondary vertex mass distribution. The measured cross section for an assumed top quark mass of 172.5 GeV is

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 158.1 \pm 2.1\,(\text{stat.}) \pm 10.2\,(\text{syst.}) \pm 3.5\,(\text{lum.})\,\text{pb}, \tag{8}$$

which is in agreement with the QCD predictions of 164^{+10}_{-13} pb [8, 9], 163^{+11}_{-10} pb [10] and 149 ± 11 pb [11] that are based on the full next-to-leading-order (NLO) matrix elements and the re-summation of the leading and NLO soft logarithms.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] CDF Collaboration, "Observation of top quark production in p$\bar{\text{p}}$ collisions", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 2626, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.2626`, `arXiv:hep-ex/9503002`.

[2] D0 Collaboration, "Observation of the top quark", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 2632, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.2632`, `arXiv:hep-ex/9503003`.

[3] L. Evans and P. Bryant (editors), "LHC Machine", *JINST* **03** (2008) S08001, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08001`.

[4] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the t$\bar{\text{t}}$ production cross section in pp collisions at 7 TeV the lepton+jets events using b-quark jet identification", *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 092004, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.092004`, `arXiv:1108.3773`.

[5] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the t$\bar{\text{t}}$ production cross section and the top quark mass in the dilepton channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ =7 TeV", *JHEP* **07** (2011) 049, `doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2011)049`, `arXiv:1105.5661`.

[6] ATLAS Collaboration, " Measurement of the top quark pair production cross section with ATLAS in the single lepton channel", *Phys. Lett. B* **711** (2012) 244, `doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.03.083`, `arXiv:1201.1889`.

[7] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement of the top quark pair production cross section in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in dilepton final states with ATLAS", *Phys. Lett. B* **707** (2012) 459, `doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.12.055`, `arXiv:1108.3699`.

[8] M. Aliev et al., "HATHOR: HAdronic Top and Heavy quarks crOss section calculatoR", *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182** (2011) 1034, `doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2010.12.040`, `arXiv:1007.1327`.

[9] U. Langenfeld, S. Moch, and P. Uwer, "Measuring the running top-quark mass", *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 054009, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.054009`, `arXiv:0906.5273`.

[10] N. Kidonakis, "Next-to-next-to-leading soft-gluon corrections for the top quark cross section and transverse momentum distribution", *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 114030, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.114030`, `arXiv:1009.4935`.

[11] V. Ahrens et al., "Renormalization-Group Improved Predictions for Top-Quark Pair Production at Hadron Colliders", *JHEP* **09** (2010) 097, `doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2010)097`, `arXiv:1003.5827`.

[12] CMS Collaboration, "The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC", *JINST* **03** (2008) S08004, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004`.

[13] CMS Collaboration, "Commissioning of the Particle-Flow Reconstruction in Minimum-Bias and Jet Events from pp Collisions at 7 TeV", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-002, (2010).

[14] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV", *JINST* **7** (2012) P10002, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002`, `arXiv:1206.4071`.

[15] CMS Collaboration, "Electron Reconstruction and Identification at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-EGM-10-004, (2010).

[16] CMS Collaboration, "CMS tracking performance results from early LHC operation", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) `doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1491-3`, `arXiv:1007.1988`.

[17] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "The anti-k_t jet clustering algorithm", *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063`, `arXiv:0802.1189`.

[18] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, "Dispelling the N3 myth for the k(t) jet-finder", *Phys. Lett. B* **641** (2006) 57, `doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.037`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0512210`.

[19] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "Fastjet User Manual", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, `doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2`, `arXiv:hep-ph/1111.6097`.

[20] CMS Collaboration, "Determination of Jet Energy Calibration and Transverse Momentum Resolution in CMS", *JINST* **6** (2011) 11002, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002`, `arXiv:1107.4277`.

[21] CMS Collaboration, "b-Jet Identification in the CMS Experiment", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-BTV-11-004, (2011).

[22] J. Alwall et al., "MadGraph 5: going beyond", *JHEP* **06** (2011) 128, `doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128`, `arXiv:1106.0522`.

[23] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, "PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual", *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0603175`.

[24] GEANT4 Collaboration, "GEANT4: A simulation toolkit", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, `doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8`.

[25] P. Nason, "A New method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms", *JHEP* **11** (2004) 040, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0409146v1`.

[26] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, "Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method", *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070`, `arXiv:0709.2092`.

[27] S. Alioli et al., "A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX", *JHEP* **06** (2010) 043, `doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043`, `arXiv:1002.2581v1`.

[28] H.-L. Lai et al., "Uncertainty induced by QCD coupling in the CTEQ-TEA global analysis of parton distributions", (2010). `arXiv:1004.4624`.

[29] K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, "Electroweak gauge boson production at hadron colliders through O(alpha(s)**2)", *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 114017, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.114017`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0609070`.

[30] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the single-top-quark *t*-channel cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV", *JHEP* **12** (2012) 035, `doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2012)035`, `arXiv:1209.4533`.

[31] N. Kidonakis, "Two-loop soft anomalous dimensions for single top quark associated production with a W^- or H^- ", *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 054018, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054018`, `arXiv:1005.4451`.

[32] N. Kidonakis, "Next-to-next-to-leading logarithm resummation for *s*-channel single top quark production", *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 054028, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.054028`, `arXiv:1001.5034`.

[33] CMS Collaboration, "Absolute Calibration of the Luminosity Measurement at CMS: Winter 2012 Update", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-SMP-12-008, (2012).

[34] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV with the CMS experiment", *JHEP* **10** (2011) 132, `doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132`, `arXiv:1107.4789`.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria
W. Adam, E. Aguilo, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan[1], M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth[1], V.M. Ghete, J. Hammer, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler[1], W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer[1], I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, M. Pernicka[†], B. Rahbaran, C. Rohringer, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, W. Waltenberger, G. Walzel, E. Widl, C.-E. Wulz[1]

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus
V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium
M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, S. Luyckx, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, M. Selvaggi, Z. Staykova, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, R. Gonzalez Suarez, A. Kalogeropoulos, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Villella

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, V. Dero, A.P.R. Gay, T. Hreus, A. Léonard, P.E. Marage, A. Mohammadi, T. Reis, L. Thomas, G. Vander Marcken, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
V. Adler, K. Beernaert, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, G. Garcia, M. Grunewald, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, A. Marinov, J. Mccartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
S. Basegmez, G. Bruno, R. Castello, L. Ceard, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco[2], J. Hollar, V. Lemaitre, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrzkowski, N. Schul, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium
N. Beliy, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
G.A. Alves, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Martins, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, L. Soares Jorge, A. Sznajder

Universidade Estadual Paulista [a], Universidade Federal do ABC [b], São Paulo, Brazil
T.S. Anjos[b], C.A. Bernardes[b], F.A. Dias[a,3], T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei[a], E.M. Gregores[b], C. Lagana[a], F. Marinho[a], P.G. Mercadante[b], S.F. Novaes[a], Sandra S. Padula[a]

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria
V. Genchev[4], P. Iaydjiev[4], S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, V. Tcholakov, R. Trayanov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
A. Dimitrov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China
J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, J. Tao, J. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Xiao, M. Xu, J. Zang, Z. Zhang

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, H. Teng, D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia
C. Avila, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, A.F. Osorio Oliveros, J.C. Sanabria

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia
N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, R. Plestina[5], D. Polic, I. Puljak[4]

University of Split, Split, Croatia
Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia
V. Brigljevic, S. Duric, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, S. Morovic

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
A. Attikis, M. Galanti, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt
Y. Assran[6], S. Elgammal[7], A. Ellithi Kamel[8], M.A. Mahmoud[9], A. Radi[10,11]

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
M. Kadastik, M. Müntel, M. Raidal, L. Rebane, A. Tiko

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland
J. Härkönen, A. Heikkinen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, D. Ungaro, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland
K. Banzuzi, A. Karjalainen, A. Korpela, T. Tuuva

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
M. Besancon, S. Choudhury, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, L. Millischer, A. Nayak, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, I. Shreyber, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, L. Benhabib, L. Bianchini, M. Bluj[12], C. Broutin, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms, M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Haguenauer, P. Miné, C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
J.-L. Agram[13], J. Andrea, D. Bloch, D. Bodin, J.-M. Brom, M. Cardaci, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte[13], F. Drouhin[13], C. Ferro, J.-C. Fontaine[13], D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, P. Juillot, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France
F. Fassi, D. Mercier

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, O. Bondu, G. Boudoul, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici[4], D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, Y. Tschudi, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
Z. Tsamalaidze[14]

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany
G. Anagnostou, C. Autermann, S. Beranek, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, N. Heracleous, O. Hindrichs, R. Jussen, K. Klein, J. Merz, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov[15]

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, P. Papacz, H. Pieta, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, J. Steggemann, D. Teyssier, M. Weber

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany
M. Bontenackels, V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, J. Lingemann[4], A. Nowack, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, P. Sauerland, A. Stahl

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
M. Aldaya Martin, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, M. Bergholz[16], A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, E. Castro, F. Costanza, D. Dammann, C. Diez Pardos, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, I. Glushkov, P. Gunnellini, S. Habib, J. Hauk, G. Hellwig, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, C. Kleinwort, H. Kluge, A. Knutsson, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, E. Kuznetsova, W. Lange, W. Lohmann[16], B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, M. Marienfeld, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, O. Novgorodova, J. Olzem, H. Perrey, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, C. Riedl, E. Ron, M. Rosin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, R. Schmidt[16], T. Schoerner-Sadenius, N. Sen, A. Spiridonov, M. Stein, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
V. Blobel, J. Draeger, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, U. Gebbert, M. Görner, T. Hermanns, R.S. Höing, K. Kaschube, G. Kaussen, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, J. Lange, B. Mura, F. Nowak, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Schröder, T. Schum, M. Seidel, J. Sibille[17], V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen, L. Vanelderen

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany
C. Barth, J. Berger, C. Böser, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, M. Guthoff[4], C. Hackstein, F. Hartmann, T. Hauth[4], M. Heinrich, H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, U. Husemann, I. Katkov[15], J.R. Komaragiri, P. Lobelle Pardo, D. Martschei, S. Mueller, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, A. Oehler, J. Ott, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, N. Ratnikova, S. Röcker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, D. Troendle, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, M. Zeise

Institute of Nuclear Physics "Demokritos", Aghia Paraskevi, Greece
G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Manolakos, A. Markou, C. Markou, C. Mavrommatis, E. Ntomari

University of Athens, Athens, Greece
L. Gouskos, T.J. Mertzimekis, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece
I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, V. Patras

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary
G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath[18], F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi[19]

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
J. Karancsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Kaur, M.Z. Mehta, N. Nishu, L.K. Saini, A. Sharma, J.B. Singh

University of Delhi, Delhi, India
Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India
S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India
A. Abdulsalam, R.K. Choudhury, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, P. Mehta, A.K. Mohanty[4], L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - EHEP, Mumbai, India
T. Aziz, S. Ganguly, M. Guchait[20], M. Maity[21], G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India
S. Banerjee, S. Dugad

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran
H. Arfaei[22], H. Bakhshiansohi, S.M. Etesami[23], A. Fahim[22], M. Hashemi, H. Hesari, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh[24], M. Zeinali

INFN Sezione di Bari [a]**, Università di Bari** [b]**, Politecnico di Bari** [c]**, Bari, Italy**
M. Abbrescia[a,b], L. Barbone[a,b], C. Calabria[a,b,4], S.S. Chhibra[a,b], A. Colaleo[a], D. Creanza[a,c], N. De

Filippis[a,c,4], M. De Palma[a,b], L. Fiore[a], G. Iaselli[a,c], G. Maggi[a,c], M. Maggi[a], B. Marangelli[a,b], S. My[a,c], S. Nuzzo[a,b], N. Pacifico[a,b], A. Pompili[a,b], G. Pugliese[a,c], G. Selvaggi[a,b], L. Silvestris[a], G. Singh[a,b], R. Venditti[a,b], P. Verwilligen, G. Zito[a]

INFN Sezione di Bologna [a], Università di Bologna [b], Bologna, Italy
G. Abbiendi[a], A.C. Benvenuti[a], D. Bonacorsi[a,b], S. Braibant-Giacomelli[a,b], L. Brigliadori[a,b], P. Capiluppi[a,b], A. Castro[a,b], F.R. Cavallo[a], M. Cuffiani[a,b], G.M. Dallavalle[a], F. Fabbri[a], A. Fanfani[a,b], D. Fasanella[a,b], P. Giacomelli[a], C. Grandi[a], L. Guiducci[a,b], S. Marcellini[a], G. Masetti[a], M. Meneghelli[a,b,4], A. Montanari[a], F.L. Navarria[a,b], F. Odorici[a], A. Perrotta[a], F. Primavera[a,b], A.M. Rossi[a,b], T. Rovelli[a,b], G.P. Siroli[a,b], R. Travaglini[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Catania [a], Università di Catania [b], Catania, Italy
S. Albergo[a,b], G. Cappello[a,b], M. Chiorboli[a,b], S. Costa[a,b], R. Potenza[a,b], A. Tricomi[a,b], C. Tuve[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Firenze [a], Università di Firenze [b], Firenze, Italy
G. Barbagli[a], V. Ciulli[a,b], C. Civinini[a], R. D'Alessandro[a,b], E. Focardi[a,b], S. Frosali[a,b], E. Gallo[a], S. Gonzi[a,b], M. Meschini[a], S. Paoletti[a], G. Sguazzoni[a], A. Tropiano[a,b]

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
L. Benussi, S. Bianco, S. Colafranceschi[25], F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova [a], Università di Genova [b], Genova, Italy
P. Fabbricatore[a], R. Musenich[a], S. Tosi[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca [a], Università di Milano-Bicocca [b], Milano, Italy
A. Benaglia[a,b], F. De Guio[a,b], L. Di Matteo[a,b,4], S. Fiorendi[a,b], S. Gennai[a,4], A. Ghezzi[a,b], S. Malvezzi[a], R.A. Manzoni[a,b], A. Martelli[a,b], A. Massironi[a,b], D. Menasce[a], L. Moroni[a], M. Paganoni[a,b], D. Pedrini[a], S. Ragazzi[a,b], N. Redaelli[a], S. Sala[a], T. Tabarelli de Fatis[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Napoli [a], Università di Napoli 'Federico II' [b], Università della Basilicata (Potenza) [c], Università G. Marconi (Roma) [d], Napoli, Italy
S. Buontempo[a], C.A. Carrillo Montoya[a], N. Cavallo[a,c], A. De Cosa[a,b,4], O. Dogangun[a,b], F. Fabozzi[a,c], A.O.M. Iorio[a,b], L. Lista[a], S. Meola[a,d,26], M. Merola[a], P. Paolucci[a,4]

INFN Sezione di Padova [a], Università di Padova [b], Università di Trento (Trento) [c], Padova, Italy
P. Azzi[a], N. Bacchetta[a,4], D. Bisello[a,b], A. Branca[a,b,4], R. Carlin[a,b], P. Checchia[a], T. Dorigo[a], U. Dosselli[a], F. Gasparini[a,b], A. Gozzelino[a], K. Kanishchev[a,c], S. Lacaprara[a], I. Lazzizzera[a,c], M. Margoni[a,b], A.T. Meneguzzo[a,b], J. Pazzini[a,b], N. Pozzobon[a,b], P. Ronchese[a,b], F. Simonetto[a,b], E. Torassa[a], M. Tosi[a,b], S. Vanini[a,b], P. Zotto[a,b], A. Zucchetta[a,b], G. Zumerle[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Pavia [a], Università di Pavia [b], Pavia, Italy
M. Gabusi[a,b], S.P. Ratti[a,b], C. Riccardi[a,b], P. Torre[a,b], P. Vitulo[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Perugia [a], Università di Perugia [b], Perugia, Italy
M. Biasini[a,b], G.M. Bilei[a], L. Fanò[a,b], P. Lariccia[a,b], G. Mantovani[a,b], M. Menichelli[a], A. Nappi[a,b,†], F. Romeo[a,b], A. Saha[a], A. Santocchia[a,b], A. Spiezia[a,b], S. Taroni[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Pisa [a], Università di Pisa [b], Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa [c], Pisa, Italy
P. Azzurri[a,c], G. Bagliesi[a], J. Bernardini[a], T. Boccali[a], G. Broccolo[a,c], R. Castaldi[a], R.T. D'Agnolo[a,c,4], R. Dell'Orso[a], F. Fiori[a,b,4], L. Foà[a,c], A. Giassi[a], A. Kraan[a], F. Ligabue[a,c], T. Lomtadze[a], L. Martini[a,27], A. Messineo[a,b], F. Palla[a], A. Rizzi[a,b], A.T. Serban[a,28], P. Spagnolo[a], P. Squillacioti[a,4], R. Tenchini[a], G. Tonelli[a,b], A. Venturi[a], P.G. Verdini[a]

INFN Sezione di Roma ^{a}, Università di Roma ^{b}, Roma, Italy
L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, D. Del Re^{a,b}, M. Diemoz^a, C. Fanelli^{a,b}, M. Grassi^{a,b,4}, E. Longo^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^{a,4}, F. Micheli^{a,b}, S. Nourbakhsh^{a,b}, G. Organtini^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, M. Sigamani^a, L. Soffi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino ^{a}, Università di Torino ^{b}, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) ^{c}, Torino, Italy
N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, C. Biino^a, N. Cartiglia^a, M. Costa^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, C. Mariotti^{a,4}, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, M. Musich^{a,4}, M.M. Obertino^{a,c}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, A. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, A. Vilela Pereira^a

INFN Sezione di Trieste ^{a}, Università di Trieste ^{b}, Trieste, Italy
S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, B. Gobbo^a, M. Marone^{a,b,4}, D. Montanino^{a,b,4}, A. Penzo^a, A. Schizzi^{a,b}

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea
S.G. Heo, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea
S. Chang, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, D.J. Kong, H. Park, S.R. Ro, D.C. Son, T. Son

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea
J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

Korea University, Seoul, Korea
S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, D.H. Moon, S.K. Park

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea
M. Choi, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea
Y. Cho, Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, M.S. Kim, E. Kwon, B. Lee, J. Lee, S. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
M.J. Bilinskas, I. Grigelionis, M. Janulis, A. Juodagalvis

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico
H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz, R. Lopez-Fernandez, R. Magaña Villalba, J. Martínez-Ortega, A. Sanchez-Hernandez, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico
S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico
E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda, M.A. Reyes-Santos

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand
A.J. Bell, P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft, H. Silverwood

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
M. Ahmad, M.H. Ansari, M.I. Asghar, J. Butt, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
H. Bialkowska, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, R. Gokieli, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal
N. Almeida, P. Bargassa, A. David, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, J. Seixas, J. Varela, P. Vischia

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
I. Belotelov, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, V. Smirnov, A. Volodko, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia
S. Evstyukhin, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, V. Matveev, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
V. Epshteyn, M. Erofeeva, V. Gavrilov, M. Kossov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
A. Belyaev, E. Boos, V. Bunichev, M. Dubinin[3], L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, A. Markina, S. Obraztsov, M. Perfilov, A. Popov, L. Sarycheva[†], V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Grishin[4], V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
P. Adzic[29], M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, D. Krpic[29], J. Milosevic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, P. Arce, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, C. Fernandez

Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
C. Albajar, G. Codispoti, J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain
H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias, J. Piedra Gomez

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain
J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Felcini[30], M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, A. Graziano, C. Jorda, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet[5], G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, D. D'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. De Roeck, S. Di Guida, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, B. Frisch, W. Funk, G. Georgiou, M. Giffels, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, P. Govoni, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, M. Hansen, P. Harris, C. Hartl, J. Harvey, B. Hegner, A. Hinzmann, V. Innocente, P. Janot, K. Kaadze, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, P. Lecoq, Y.-J. Lee, P. Lenzi, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, T. Mäki, M. Malberti, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, R. Moser, M.U. Mozer, M. Mulders, P. Musella, E. Nesvold, T. Orimoto, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, G. Polese, L. Quertenmont, A. Racz, W. Reece, J. Rodrigues Antunes, G. Rolandi[31], C. Rovelli[32], M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, I. Segoni, S. Sekmen, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas[33], D. Spiga, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres[19], J.R. Vlimant, H.K. Wöhri, S.D. Worm[34], W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, K. Gabathuler, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, F. Meier, D. Renker, T. Rohe

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
L. Bäni, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, J. Eugster, K. Freudenreich, C. Grab, D. Hits, P. Lecomte, W. Lustermann, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, N. Mohr, F. Moortgat, C. Nägeli[35], P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, L. Sala, A.K. Sanchez, A. Starodumov[36], B. Stieger, M. Takahashi, L. Tauscher[†], A. Thea, K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, C. Urscheler, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber, L. Wehrli

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
C. Amsler[37], V. Chiochia, S. De Visscher, C. Favaro, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Millan Mejias, P. Otiougova, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Tupputi, M. Verzetti

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan
Y.H. Chang, K.H. Chen, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Z.K. Liu, Y.J. Lu, D. Mekterovic, A.P. Singh, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan
P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, X. Wan, M. Wang

Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
B. Asavapibhop, N. Srimanobhas

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey
A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci[38], S. Cerci[39], C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, T. Karaman, G. Karapinar[40], A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk[41], A. Polatoz, K. Sogut[42], D. Sunar Cerci[39], B. Tali[39], H. Topakli[38], L.N. Vergili, M. Vergili

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey
I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, E. Yildirim, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey
E. Gülmez, B. Isildak[43], M. Kaya[44], O. Kaya[44], S. Ozkorucuklu[45], N. Sonmez[46]

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
K. Cankocak

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine
L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, S. Metson, D.M. Newbold[34], K. Nirunpong, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
L. Basso[47], K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev[47], C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Jackson, B.W. Kennedy, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, B.C. Radburn-Smith, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
R. Bainbridge, G. Ball, R. Beuselinck, O. Buchmuller, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko[36], A. Papageorgiou, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi[48], D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, M.J. Ryan, C. Seez, P. Sharp[†], A. Sparrow, M. Stoye, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle, T. Whyntie

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom
M. Chadwick, J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA
K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA
O. Charaf, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

Boston University, Boston, USA
A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, J. St. John, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, L. Sulak

Brown University, Providence, USA
J. Alimena, S. Bhattacharya, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, D. Nguyen, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, K.V. Tsang

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA
R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, J. Dolen, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, R. Houtz, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, O. Mall, T. Miceli, D. Pellett, F. Ricci-Tam, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, R. Vasquez Sierra, R. Yohay

University of California, Los Angeles, USA
V. Andreev, D. Cline, R. Cousins, J. Duris, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, C. Plager, G. Rakness, P. Schlein[†], P. Traczyk, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA
J. Babb, R. Clare, M.E. Dinardo, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, F. Giordano, G. Hanson, G.Y. Jeng[49], H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, H. Nguyen, S. Paramesvaran, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, R. Wilken, S. Wimpenny

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA
W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, D. Evans, F. Golf, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, B. Mangano, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, G. Petrucciani, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech[50], F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA
D. Barge, R. Bellan, C. Campagnari, M. D'Alfonso, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, J. Incandela, C. Justus, P. Kalavase, S.A. Koay, D. Kovalskyi, V. Krutelyov, S. Lowette, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, F. Rebassoo, J. Ribnik, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, M. Gataullin, Y. Ma, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, J. Veverka, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, Y. Yang, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA
B. Akgun, V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA
J.P. Cumalat, B.R. Drell, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, E. Luiggi Lopez, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA
J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, B. Heltsley, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, J. Vaughan, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA
D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA
S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, I. Bloch, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, D. Green, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Kilminster, B. Klima, S. Kunori, S. Kwan, C. Leonidopoulos, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko[51], C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, J.C. Yun

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Chen, T. Cheng, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, A. Drozdetskiy, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Gartner, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic[52], G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, M. Park, R. Remington, A. Rinkevicius, P. Sellers, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

Florida International University, Miami, USA
V. Gaultney, S. Hewamanage, L.M. Lebolo, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA
T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, S.V. Gleyzer, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, M. Jenkins, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA
M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, I. Vodopiyanov, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA
M.R. Adams, I.M. Anghel, L. Apanasevich, Y. Bai, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, J. Callner, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, F. Lacroix, M. Malek, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, D. Strom, P. Turner, N. Varelas

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA
U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak, B. Bilki[53], W. Clarida, F. Duru, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya[54], A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, C.R. Newsom, E. Norbeck, Y. Onel, F. Ozok[55], S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA
B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, D. Fehling, G. Giurgiu, A.V. Gritsan, Z.J. Guo, G. Hu, P. Maksimovic, S. Rappoccio, M. Swartz, A. Whitbeck

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, R.P. Kenny Iii, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, G. Tinti, J.S. Wood, V. Zhukova

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA
A.F. Barfuss, T. Bolton, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA
J. Gronberg, D. Lange, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA
A. Baden, M. Boutemeur, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, M. Kirn,

T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar, E. Twedt

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA
A. Apyan, G. Bauer, J. Bendavid, W. Busza, E. Butz, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, K.A. Hahn, Y. Kim, M. Klute, K. Krajczar[56], P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, S. Nahn, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, M. Rudolph, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, K. Sung, D. Velicanu, E.A. Wenger, R. Wolf, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, Y. Yilmaz, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA
S.I. Cooper, B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, G. Franzoni, A. Gude, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, M. Sasseville, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA
L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA
E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, M. Eads, J. Keller, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, H. Malbouisson, S. Malik, G.R. Snow

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA
A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar

Northeastern University, Boston, USA
G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, D. Nash, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA
A. Anastassov, A. Kubik, L. Lusito, N. Mucia, N. Odell, R.A. Ofierzynski, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, M. Velasco, S. Won

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA
L. Antonelli, D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA
B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, C. Vuosalo, G. Williams, B.L. Winer

Princeton University, Princeton, USA
N. Adam, E. Berry, P. Elmer, D. Gerbaudo, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, J. Hegeman, A. Hunt, P. Jindal, D. Lopes Pegna, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, B. Safdi, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA
E. Brownson, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
E. Alagoz, V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, Z. Hu, M. Jones, O. Koybasi, M. Kress, A.T. Laasanen, N. Leonardo, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, M. Vidal Marono, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA
S. Guragain, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, USA
A. Adair, C. Boulahouache, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA
B. Betchart, A. Bodek, Y.S. Chung, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA
A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA
S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, V. Rekovic, J. Robles, K. Rose, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, M. Walker

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA
G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA
R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon[57], V. Khotilovich, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, S. Sengupta, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA
N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dudero, C. Jeong, K. Kovitanggoon, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, Y. Roh, I. Volobouev

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA
E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, C. Florez, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, P. Kurt, C. Maguire, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA
M.W. Arenton, M. Balazs, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA
S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, A. Sakharov

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA
M. Anderson, D.A. Belknap, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, E. Friis, L. Gray, K.S. Grogg, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, J. Leonard, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, F. Palmonari, G.A. Pierro, I. Ross, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, J. Swanson

†: Deceased
1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
2: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
3: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
4: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

5: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
6: Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt
7: Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt
8: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
9: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
10: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
11: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
12: Also at National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
13: Also at Université de Haute-Alsace, Mulhouse, France
14: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
15: Also at Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
16: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
17: Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
18: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
19: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
20: Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India
21: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
22: Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
23: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
24: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
25: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
26: Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
27: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
28: Also at University of Bucharest, Faculty of Physics, Bucuresti-Magurele, Romania
29: Also at Faculty of Physics of University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
30: Also at University of California, Los Angeles, USA
31: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
32: Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
33: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
34: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
35: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
36: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
37: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
38: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
39: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
40: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
41: Also at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA
42: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
43: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
44: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
45: Also at Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey
46: Also at Ege University, Izmir, Turkey
47: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
48: Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
49: Also at University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
50: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA
51: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

52: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
53: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
54: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
55: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
56: Also at KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary
57: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea