

Neck pain and disability: a cross-sectional survey of the demographic and clinical characteristics of neck pain seen in a rheumatology clinic

Frank AO MBBS, FRCP¹, De Souza LH PhD, FCSP^{2a}, Frank CA^{2b}

1. Consultant Physician
Departments of Rehabilitation Medicine and Rheumatology
Arthritis Centre
Northwick Park Hospital and Institute of Medical Research
HARROW
HA1 3UJ
UK

Tel: (0044) 020 8869 2102; fax: 020 8426 4358;
Email: andrew.frank1@btinternet.com

2. a. Professor of Rehabilitation and Head of Department, b. Research Assistant
Centre for Research in Rehabilitation
Dept of Health and Social Care
Brunel University
Osterley Campus
ISLEWORTH
Middlesex
TW7 5DU
UK

Tel: (0044) 020 8891 0121 x 2527; fax: 020 8847 2030;
Email: lorraine.desouza@brunel.ac.uk

Key words:- neck pain; comorbidity; disability; sickness absence; Northwick Park Neck Pain Questionnaire.

Word count 4301 excl summary

Correspondence to Dr Andrew Frank

Conflict of Interest: Dr Frank is a Medical Adviser to Kynixa Ltd

Summary

This hospital-based cross-sectional cohort study examines the clinical and demographic features of neck pain, disability (using the Northwick Park neck pain Questionnaire) and relationships to handicap in employment.

Of 173 consecutive referrals to a rheumatology clinic with neck pain, 70% had neck/arm pain without neurological involvement; 13% other conditions, 11% nerve involvement and 5% other spinal pain. 141 patients (mean age 50y) had mechanical or degenerative neck pain of which 13% was probably work related and 13% was trauma-related. 44 had taken sickness absence for an average of 30 weeks. Comorbidities were frequent (lumbar pain 51%).

Those in work were significantly less disabled than those not working ($p=0.001$) and those off sick ($p<0.01$). Those reporting sleep disturbance, tearfulness and crying were significantly more disabled ($p=0.0001$) than those who did not.

Neck pain in secondary care is complicated by physical and emotional comorbidities. Comprehensive management requires a biopsychosocial model of care.

Introduction

Neck pain is the ‘poor cousin of back pain’¹ receiving much less attention by way of research.¹ It is estimated to affect up to 40% of the population over 1 year,²⁻³ with an annual incidence of 18%.⁴ Lifetime prevalence may be as high as 67%.⁵ It is the third most reported musculoskeletal pain in the Netherlands;⁶ and may persist over 10 years.⁷ It has been estimated that neck pain disables 4.6% of the adult population of Saskatchewan.⁵

Patients are usually managed in primary care,³ but are referred to hospital departments of physiotherapy, rheumatology and orthopaedics for imaging and neurological investigation and therapy. Unlike low back pain, there are no accepted national guidelines for the classification or management of neck pain, although guidelines for selected physical therapy interventions have been published.⁸

Psychological distress is common in neck pain as in other chronic pain states,^{9,10} and its evaluation may be critical to the overall management of the individual. In a working population, occupation is a well-recognised cause of neck and upper limb pain² and has important implications for patient management.¹¹ For those with occupationally related neck pain, suggestions for management have been published.¹¹ It is unclear to what extent sickness absence may be related to neck pain in the UK. However it is known that musculo-skeletal problems are the second commonest reason for needing Incapacity Benefits in the UK¹² and the commonest reason for long-term sickness absence in manual workers.¹³

Little work is available that has investigated neck pain referred for management in secondary care. It is unclear what proportions of such patients have an underlying systemic illness, may require surgery or conservative management. This cross-sectional study examines the clinical, demographic and physical information gained by systematic examination and structured questionnaires in a consecutive cohort of patients referred to a rheumatology service with “neck pain”, or symptoms derived from the neck. The aim was to characterise the neck impairment, physical disability and their relationships to demographic information and employment status.

Consequently this study reports a consecutive cohort of patients referred to a district hospital rheumatology service with referral letters suggestive of a neck problem. It further explores the nature of neck-induced disability to determine how the management of neck pain could be improved.

Methods

All patients referred to a district hospital department of Rheumatology (by general practitioners or hospital doctors) with neck-related issues, as determined by a consultant rheumatologist, were seen in a neck pain clinic. There was no age limit although children would normally be seen in the Paediatric Department. One hundred and seventy two consecutive National Health Service patients and 1 private patient were seen and assessed during 1996, totalling 173 subjects.

One hundred and forty one patients were found to suffer from neck pain thought to derive from a mechanical or degenerative musculo-skeletal condition. Other diagnoses presenting as a neck problem are given in Table 1.

Data collected comprised of clinical, demographic (including postcode) and occupational details obtained using a purpose-designed proforma. A routine physical examination was performed with radiological examinations if needed. Social class was derived from the current or previous occupation.¹⁶ Causes for the pain were documented as due to road traffic accidents, other trauma, occupationally related or idiopathic. Patients were categorised as acute, acute on chronic and chronic as has been described previously.¹⁷

Subjects were asked their country of birth to give some indication of ethnic background.¹⁵ The total and episode duration of pain were derived as described previously.¹⁷

Clinical pattern was derived from the assessment in clinic, including further investigations where needed e.g. MRI scanning. Six groups were modelled on those of Spitzer et al¹⁸ and the categories modified for cervical pain as follows: -

- 1 - Neck pain including trapezius & interscapular pain
- 2 - Arm pain / paraesthesiae / numbness
- 3 - Probable root compression
- 4 - Confirmed root compression with imaging
- 5 - Cord compression
- 6 - Other

Functional disability was assessed using the Northwick Park Neck Pain Questionnaire (NPQ),¹⁹ which is widely seen to be valid and reliable,²⁰ although it does not cover emotional and some social issues.²¹ As some subjects did not drive, the items 1-8 were scored by adding the scores of the individual sections. The driving section was scored separately.

Individual's work status was classified into the following groups: - employed and working, employed but off sick, self-employed, not working due to spinal disability, unemployed, housewives, retired and other. Data were collected concerning sickness absence: -

- currently 'off sick'
- working but 'off sick' during the current episode of pain
- 'off sick' during any previous episode of pain
- 'off sick' - total duration of sick leave at any time

For those whose neck pain might relate to occupation (either as causal or aggravating factors), details of their occupation were obtained and categorised retrospectively into sitting, standing, lifting, or other potential aggravating factors.

Tearfulness and sleep disturbance questions were included in the clinical interview (Appendix). Additional diagnostic data were collected at assessment and at follow-up by further tests when clinically indicated to confirm the clinical pattern. Patients were asked about comorbidities and where they experienced pain (Appendix).

Where appropriate, comparisons are made between this sample of patients presenting with neck pain with a similar cohort reported from this service presenting with low back pain.¹⁷

Analytical methods

Descriptive statistics were used to characterise the group data. Analysis of demographic data was performed using appropriate parametric or non-parametric statistics. Sub-group analysis was carried out using two-sampled t-tests for interval and ratio data and Mann-Whitney tests for ordinal data. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to determine significant relationships. All analysis was carried out using Microsoft Excel for Windows 1997, or SPSS for Windows Version 9.

The study was approved by Harrow Research Ethics Committee.

RESULTS

Subjects

Data were collected on all 141 subjects with mechanical or degenerative neck pain who comprise the sample. Their mean age was 49.5 (sd 14.8, median 49, range 23-88) years, with no differences between men and women. Ten patients were aged 65-74 and 12 were aged 75 or over. The majority were referred from primary care (87%), with only 13% being referred from other consultants, almost exclusively orthopaedic

colleagues. Postcode analysis showed that 147 (86%) came from the London boroughs of Brent and Harrow and a further 22 (13%) came from adjacent boroughs.

Patients were classified by the nature of the neck pain into: -

- Post-traumatic (n=18)
- Work-related (n=19)
- Idiopathic (n=104)

Those with post-traumatic neck pain (mean age 43, sd 11.4, range 31-71) were significantly younger than those with idiopathic neck pain (mean age 52, sd 15.1, range 28-88; $P < 0.02$). Those with work-related neck pain (mean age 41, sd 11.3, range 23-60) were significantly younger than those with idiopathic neck pain ($P = 0.003$). The total duration of pain was longer in the idiopathic group (mean 76, sd 93, range 1-530 months) than in post-traumatic (mean 45, sd 64, range 5-248 months). The episode duration of pain was longer in the idiopathic group (mean 17, sd 37, range 0-240 months) than in post-traumatic (mean 12, sd 8.8, range 1-26 months), but these differences were not significant. Similar non-significant differences in total and episode duration of pain were noted in the work-related compared to the idiopathic group. The idiopathic, post-traumatic and work-related groups all had a similar level of disability.

The female: male ratio was 1.8:1 for the whole group, but the post-traumatic sex ratio was 0.8:1.

Analysis of place of birth revealed that 56 (40%) were born in the UK. Those from other countries were East Africa 25 (18%), the Indian subcontinent 23 (16%), other European countries (including the Republic of Ireland) 12 (8%), West Indies 11 (7.8%), Middle East 7 (5%) and 7 (5%) from other countries altogether totalling 25 countries.

Pain characteristics

The mean duration of neck pain from the first ever experience was 5.8 (sd 7.3, range 0.1-44) years and the mean duration of the current attack of pain (n=139) was 1.3 (sd 2.7, range 0.01-20) years. One hundred and one subjects had chronic pain (73%), 8 subjects had acute pain (6%) of whom 3 had pre-existing neck pain. Thirty (22%) subjects had subacute pain. Only 17 (12%) were in pain at the onset of the current episode (acute/subacute on chronic pain), and two stated that they were pain free when they were seen. Those who were older had significantly longer duration of pain than those who were younger ($p=0.024$), but were not more disabled.

The majority 104 (74%) had idiopathic neck pain. Eighteen (13%) had trauma-related pain of which 16 (11%) were due to road traffic accidents and 2 (1%) other trauma. The remaining 19 (13 %) were possibly work-related (see Table 2).

Medical assessment found that pain was confined to the neck or trapezius areas in 27 (19%); referred to the arms without neurological deficit in 94 (67%); and had neurological deficit in 19 (13%) patients, including one patient with confirmed root compression and 1 with cord compression. One patient was not classified as she

described herself as ‘pain-free’, although she scored 1 on the NPQ ‘I can do my usual work but it causes me extra pain’.

Work status

Of those of working age, the majority were employed and working (n=61, 43%) or self-employed and working (n=6, 4%). Other subjects were retired (n=30, 21%), housewives (n=17, 12%), employed but off sick (n=9, 6%), not working due to disabling spinal pain (n=8, 6%), unemployed (n=6, 4%), disabled from non-spinal conditions (n=3, 2% - failed hip surgery, dermatomyositis and partial blindness) and 1 subject was working reduced hours as part of a ‘return to work’ programme.

Excluding those retired and housewives, there were 94 potentially employable people, of which 67 (71%) were working normally. Forty-four (47%) individuals had taken sickness absence for neck pain at some time. Data on the duration were available from 42 (45%) -17 men and 25 women. The mean sickness absence was 30 (sd 98, range 0.4-624) weeks (equivalent to 3.6 years of sickness absence in total).

Comorbidities

All subjects except 15 (11%) reported 1 or more comorbidity. One third (n=42, 30%) reported 1 comorbidity, a further one third (n=43, 31%) reported 2 comorbidities, whilst 40 (29%) reported 3 or more comorbidities. In all, comorbidities were reported 262 times. Lumbar pain was the most common (n=76, 54%). The other comorbidities

reported were thoracic pain (n=42, 30%), peripheral joint arthritis (n=33, 24%), gastrointestinal diseases (n=30, 21%), cardiac disease (n=21, 15%), other musculo-skeletal complaints (n=18,13%), thoracic diseases (n=15, 11%), and all other complaints numbered 27 (19%). While 15 (11%) subjects reported no comorbidity, only a further 17 subjects (12%) had no musculoskeletal comorbidity.

Only 53 subjects (38%) were given neck pain as a sole diagnosis made by the clinicians. Additional diagnoses made in clinic are shown in Table 3, and included low back pain (26%), spinal deformity (10%), and clinical depression (9%). Four percent had previous spinal surgery.

Disability (as measured with the NPQ)

The NPQ was completed by 132 subjects. The mean disability score excluding driving (maximum possible score 32), was 14 (Table 4) with no difference between the sexes. The driving question was completed by 90 subjects (34 women). The mean scores on all NPQ items are given in Table 5. There were 42 non-drivers of which 34 were women. A comparison of the non-drivers with those who did drive showed that the non-drivers were significantly older (mean age 58) than the drivers – (mean age 46, $p<0.0001$).

Subjects aged 50 or less (n=75) were not significantly less disabled than those aged 51 and over (n=57). Younger men were more disabled than older men (Table 4). There were no differences in NPQ between older and younger women. There were no significant relationships between the NPQ and:- route of referral, cause of disability,

clinical pattern, episode & total duration of pain and social class. Data on NPQ and country of birth are given in Table 4 but there were no significant differences.

Relationships between work status and disability

These relationships are given in Table 4 and show the highest disability score was found in those who were not working through disability and the lowest in those who were self-employed and able to work. Those in work (n= 63) were significantly less disabled than those not working (n=22, p=0.001) and those 'off sick now' (n=9, p<0.01).

Nineteen subjects were thought to have potentially work-related pain. The main features at work thought to aggravate the neck pain are given in Table 2. These 19 individuals represent 16% of those aged 64 or less (or 18% excluding housewives).

Comorbidity

There was a significant relationship between the increasing number of comorbidities and worsening disability (Table 4). Those with musculoskeletal comorbidities were not significantly more disabled than those without. However those with low back pain were significantly more disabled on the NPQ than those without (P=0.017).

Sleep and tearfulness

Sleep was disturbed by pain in 104 (75%) patients for whom answers were given (n=139). When asked about other factors that disturbed sleep, 56 of the 137 respondees (41%) reported other symptoms. Of these 16 (12%) probably reflected other systemic problems (nocturia reported by 10 patients), the spinal nature of the problems (10 patients) whilst other symptoms may reflect possible psychological factors (9 patients). Individuals whose sleep was disturbed by pain were significantly more disabled than those without sleep disturbance (Table 4).

Eighty-three of 139 respondents (60%) admitted that the pain made them feel tearful, and 58 (42%) reported that the pain made them cry. Those who cried were significantly more disabled than those who did not (Table 4). Those who felt tearful were also significantly more disabled than those who did not.

Comparisons between this cohort and a similar cohort of patients reported in this journal with low back pain (17) are shown in Table 6. The age and sex distributions are remarkably similar. Conversely those presenting with neck pain present earlier than those presenting with back pain and appear to have a much greater musculo-skeletal comorbidity. In spite of this the proportion of working age receiving benefits was much smaller.

DISCUSSION

This study has reported the diversity of patients presenting with neck pain to a rheumatology outpatient service, characterised by the range of symptom presentation, and the impact of the functional, emotional and work handicap experienced. Neck pain is not a diagnosis in itself, but is a presentation of symptoms and signs around the neck region,¹⁴ often occurring with pain reported at other sites.⁶ As with low back pain, it is thought to be multidimensional. In this study, neck pain as the sole symptom was seen in a minority of patients. Thus the picture of neck pain referred to secondary care is complex.

Although several studies have emphasised the importance of assessing disability in neck pain patients,^{9,22} and is appreciated as an outcome measure for neck pain, few studies have described the nature of the neck-related disability. This study is the first, to our knowledge, to characterise neck pain and its consequences, including an exploration of the potential contribution of neck pain to sickness absence, in an UK secondary care sample.

The sample

Nearly one in five patients had conditions other than mechanical or degenerative neck pain (Table 1). The commonest reason was pain arising from other sites in the spine (5%). This is similar to the patients seen in a low back pain clinic when 8% had pain arising from the thoracic and cervical spine (Table 6).¹⁷ Tumours presenting as pain in

the neck were rare (n=1, 0.6%) and similar to that reported from a low back pain clinic (0.5%).¹⁷

One patient presenting with neck pain was found to have Parkinson's Disease. This presentation has not been noted previously to our knowledge, although neck pain is not uncommon in Parkinson's Disease. Neither has it been noted in major reviews of neck pain.^{1,23,24}

Postcode analysis showed that 99% of the sample lived locally, indicating that this suburban general hospital service provides for its local population.

Clinical characteristics

The majority of patients were found to have pain referred to the arms and a small number had a neurological deficit. These two groups accounted for 80% of the study population, as expected in view of the tendency of radiating neck pain to persist.²⁵ Referred symptoms to the arms or hands may be more problematic to patients as sensation in the arms is functionally important e.g. giving rise to dropping things, while sensory impairment in the legs may be tolerable. This may also explain why those presenting with neck pain do so earlier than those with low back pain (Table 6).

The proportion of patients with neck pain and comorbidity was substantially higher than that in a back pain cohort and the proportion with musculo-skeletal comorbidity was over twice as high (Table 6).¹⁷ This has also been noted in a cohort of female

workers.²⁶ Thus those referred to secondary care with neck pain need a holistic assessment to obtain the full clinical picture.

Only a small proportion of post-traumatic neck pain, mostly road traffic accidents was found in this sample. Bogduk has noted that neck pain resulting from whiplash has its own literature that is almost quarantined from that on neck pain.¹ Whereas the classification of whiplash-associated disorders has been used in practice,²⁷ similar attempts in non-specific neck pain are not readily found²⁸ although Borenstein and colleagues have a useful approach to diagnosis and management.¹⁴ This clinic triaged patients into 3 groups for therapeutic purposes. This approach is similar to others^{29,30} and follows the model used for low back pain including the use of red flags.³¹ Those practising manual treatments may use more complex classifications.³²

Excluding 9 patients with spinal pain from other sites and 1 pain free, our triage revealed 23 patients with other conditions (14%). Nineteen patients had nerve involvement (11%) and 121 had neck/arm pain (without neurological involvement – 70%). This triage appears as useful as that used for low back pain.

We have found it helpful to group mechanical or degenerative neck disorders into idiopathic (74%), probably work-related (13%) and traumatic (13%) groups.

Trauma should be a ‘red flag’³¹ for neck pain as we found a missed fracture and psychological morbidity (Table 1). The psychological consequences of trauma are common,³³ neglected in practice and complex to evaluate.

Work status

Although nearly half of the patients had taken sickness absence for neck pain, only 12% were unable to work because of neck-related pain when seen in clinic. This is reflected in the relatively low levels of disability reported, and is similar to the 13% noted by Kamwendo et al.³⁴ Nonetheless the total amount of sickness absence (3.6 years) reported in this cohort has important economic implications, as noted in Holland.³⁵

In this study, only a small number were found to have work-related neck pain. These conditions are important and affect management. It is recognised that neck and arm pain may not be caused by work;^{2,36-40} but that clinical management requires evaluation of working practice.^{11,41} Posture is thought to be important in the context of work.³⁴ Both ergonomics and the employer's working practices may need modification, particularly if sickness absence has resulted or when repetitive work, or working with static postures is involved.^{28,34,41}

Symptom duration

Duration of symptoms from the first episode of neck pain was nearly 6 years, less than for low back pain (Table 6),¹⁷ but much less than the neck pain group studied by Wlodyka-Demaille et al.²⁰ The episode duration, just over 1 year, was much less than that for low back pain,¹⁷ but more than the French study.²⁰

This symptom duration may justify referral to a multiprofessional rehabilitation programme as described by Pither⁴² where available, when other treatments have been

unsuccessful. Not all general practitioners had open access referral to physiotherapists at the time of this study as is now recommended.⁸

Ethnicity

The Rheumatology Service receives referrals from North West London, which is an area of ethnic diversity. Our data confirm the diversity of ethnicity in terms of country of origin in North West London. In general, South Asian patients experience significantly worse low back pain than British-born patients. In addition, Muslims consistently reported the worst experience of low back pain compared to all other religious groups.¹⁵ Ethnic influences on the experience of pain have been found in the United States⁴³ although they were not clearly related to medical status.⁴⁴ Our results (not shown) are in keeping with previous data suggesting that Muslims may experience pain more severely than other religious groups.¹⁵ Our findings did not reach statistical significance, probably due to small numbers. Further work is needed to elucidate whether such findings, if replicated, may reflect work / leisure activities or purely psychological influences.

Comorbidity

A high degree of comorbidity was found in this study, particularly musculoskeletal comorbidity due to the large number of patients with low back pain (54%). This degree of comorbidity was not reflected in the working clinical diagnosis reported back to the general practitioner after consultation. However the finding agrees with Kamwendo et al³⁴ who found 51% of medical secretaries with neck and shoulder

disorders also had back pain. We recommend that all patients with neck pain are asked about other spinal sites of pain. We have also reported the high incidence of neck pain in a cohort of patients with low back pain (24%) of almost identical age.¹⁷ All patients presenting with either low back or neck pain should have the whole spine examined in order to give appropriate advice or therapy.

There are considerable implications for rehabilitating patients back into employment if both lumbar and cervical areas of the spine are involved.¹¹ Whether the presence of both lumbar and cervical problems reflect congenital factors e.g. narrow spinal canal diameter or a predisposition to discal degeneration or to lifestyle or work factors needs further exploration. This data supports the view that “the spine should be considered a functional unit”.⁴⁵

Disability

Of the functional items on the NPQ, limitations in carrying were found to be the most reported (Table 5). This may reflect the fact that carrying is an upper limb function and often related to clerical activities e.g. taking papers to school or work, carrying portable computers etc. It may indicate traction on the neck and brachial plexus. Social activities and driving were the least reported, although almost one third of the sample were not drivers (in contrast to the 5% noted by Wlodyka-Demaille et al.²⁰)

We analysed the NPQ driving question separately and found that, of those unable to drive, the majority were elderly women. This item may not be appropriate for inclusion in a questionnaire used in a general spinal clinic population with no age

limits. The high levels of comorbidity in this population may limit the value of questionnaires specifically designed for neck pain. Consideration should be given to the use of more generic questionnaires e.g. the Aberdeen Questionnaire.⁴⁵ The NPQ does not explore the emotional dimension²¹ but is straightforward to use in a multicultural environment including elderly individuals. In this study 132 (94%) subjects completed the NPQ.

Sleep

Individuals with sleep disturbance were significantly more disabled than those without. Improving sleep may result in a reduction of a patient's suffering.⁴⁶ The fact that many wake with neck pain and stiffness should point clinicians to unsatisfactory pillows or sleeping position. There is evidence that pillows are important to patients'.⁴⁷ Those that offer firm support for the neck lordos⁴⁷ seem preferable. Alternatively, or in addition, long-acting analgesics may be helpful.

The 16 subjects with sleep disturbed by systemic symptoms reflect the fact that there was considerable comorbidity compounding their neck problems. Although only a small proportion of the sample, they need to have the cause of their insomnia managed.

Tearfulness and crying

Our findings demonstrated that those who were tearful and reported crying because of their neck pain were significantly more disabled than those who were not. Workplace

stress^{2,25,48} and other psychological factors are known to influence the experience of neck pain and may aggravate pain through increased tension in the trapezei. Those with clearly identified psychological distress need appropriate management e.g. antidepressant therapy or psychological support. Such individuals may be better managed in a multidisciplinary rehabilitation programme.⁴⁹

Weaknesses of the study

We made no formal assessment of hypermobility although this could potentially predispose to neck pain related either to trauma or repetitive movements. We made no formal assessment of mood. Previous studies had shown low compliance with standard assessment tools¹⁷ and questioned the cross-cultural validity of some commonly used psychometric scales¹⁵. Although country of birth is not a reliable proxy for ethnic background, the diversity of places of birth shows the need for sensitivities on the part of clinicians investigating possible psychosocial dimensions to the experience of pain.

Additionally, no extra help was available to assist patients in the completion of their questionnaires. The data was collected as part of a routine NHS clinic without additional time for extended assessment or examination, and therefore reflects the clinical reality of this secondary care rheumatology service in a suburban district general hospital serving the local population.

Conclusion

This study illustrates that neck pain presenting to an outpatient clinic is complex and usually associated with both physical and psychological comorbidity. An approach to the management of neck pain using a biopsychosocial model of care⁵⁰ facilitates a focus on the individual as a whole where neck pain is one important component of their presentation. With this in mind we have not focussed on the concept of fibromyalgia as we, in agreement with current opinion,⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ have found that investigating tender points does not advance patient management.

Comprehensive management of neck pain requires a biopsychosocial model of care that includes recognising features related to trauma or to lifestyle, including work.

This study suggests that there are considerable economic costs in terms of sickness absence from neck pain, which would appear to justify further research into this neglected area.

Acknowledgements

Aisha Shah for help with original analysis and Dr Pat Thrower for assistance in collecting the data.

References

1. Bogduk N. The neck. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 1999; 13: 261-285
2. Palmer KT, Walker-Bone K, Griffin MJ et al. Prevalence and occupational associations of neck pain in the British population. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 2001; 27: 49-56
3. Ariëns GAM, Borghouts J, Koes BW. Neck pain. In Crombie IK, Croft PR, Linton SJ, LeResche L, Von Korff M (eds) *Epidemiology of pain*. Seattle: IASP Press, 2003; 235-255
4. Croft PR, Lewis M, Papageorgiou AC et al. Risk factors for neck pain: a longitudinal study in the general population. *Pain* 2001; 93: 317-325
5. Cote P, Cassidy JD, Carroll L. The Saskatchewan Health and Back Pain Survey. The prevalence of neck pain and related disability in Saskatchewan adults. *Spine* 1998; 23: 1689-1698
6. Picavet H, Schouten J. Musculoskeletal pain in the Netherlands: prevalences, consequences and risk groups, the DMC3 study. *Pain* 2003; 102: 167-178
7. Gore DR, Sepic SB, Gardner GM, Murray MP. Neck Pain: a long-term follow up of 205 patients. *Spine* 1987; 12: 1-5
8. Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on selected rehabilitation interventions for neck pain. *Phys Ther* 2001; 81: 1701-1717
9. Wheeler AH, Goolkasian P, Baird AC, Darden BV. Development of the Neck Pain and Disability Scale. Item analysis, face, and criterion-related validity. *Spine* 1999; 24: 1290-1294
10. van der Windt D, Croft P, Penninx B. Neck and upper limb pain: more pain is associated with psychological distress and consultation rate in primary care. *J Rheumatol* 2002; 29: 564-569
11. Hartigan C, Miller L, Liewehr SC. Rehabilitation of acute and subacute low back and neck pain in the work-injured patient. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1996; 27: 841-860

12. Department for Work and Pensions. Pathways to work: helping people into employment. Cm 5690, 2002: 1-65
13. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development. Employee absence 2002: a survey of management policy and practice. London: Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development. 2002: 1-21
14. Borenstein DG, Wiesel SW, Boden SD. A standardised approach to the diagnosis and management of neck pain. In Borenstein DG, Wiesel SW, Boden SD (eds). Neck pain. Medical diagnosis and comprehensive management. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co, 1996: 140-162.
15. McAuley, JH. Cultural influences on low back pain: extending the biopsychosocial model. PhD Thesis, Brunel University, 2001: 1-208
16. Office of Population Census and Surveys. Standard Occupational Classification. London: HMSO, 1990.
17. Frank AO, De Souza LH, McAuley JH et al. A cross-sectional survey of the clinical and psychological features of low back pain and consequent work handicap: use of the Quebec Task Force Classification. *Int J Clin Pract* 2000; 54: 639-644
18. Spitzer WO, LeBlanc FE, Dupuis M. Scientific approach to the assessment and management of activity-related spinal disorders. A monograph for clinicians. *Spine* 1987; 12: S1-S59
19. Leak AM, Cooper J, Dyer S et al. The Northwick Park Neck Pain Questionnaire devised to measure neck pain and disability. *Br J Rheumatol* 1994; 33: 469-474
20. Wlodyka-Demaille S, Poiraudou S, Catanzariti JF et al. French translation and validation of 3 functional disability scales for neck pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002; 83: 376-382
21. Hoving JL, O'Leary EF, Niere KR et al. Validity of the neck disability index, Northwick Park neck pain questionnaire, and problem elicitation technique for measuring disability associated with whiplash-associated disorders. *Pain* 2003; 102: 273-281

22. Wlodyka-Demaille S, Poiraudreau S, Fermanian J et al. French translation and validation of a functional disability scale for neck pain. *Ann Readaptation Med Phys* 2002; 44: 132-142
23. Barry M, Jenner JR. Pain in neck, shoulder, and arm. *BMJ* 1995; 310: 183-186
24. Borenstein DG, Weisel SW, Boden SC. Neck pain. Medical diagnosis and comprehensive management. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company, 1996
25. Viikari-Juntura E, Martikainen R, Luukkonen R et al. Longitudinal study on work related and individual risk factors affecting radiating neck pain. *Occup Environ Med* 2001; 58: 345-352
26. Makela M, Heliovaara M, Sievers K et al. Prevalence, determinants, and consequences of chronic neck pain in Finland. *Am J Epid* 1991; 134: 1356-1367
27. Hartling L, Brison RJ, Ardem C, Pickett W. Prognostic value of the Quebec Classification of Whiplash-associated disorders. *Spine* 2001; 26: 36-41
28. Nordin M, Pietrek M, Halpern M. (Combination treatment for non-specific neck pain. In Szpalski M, Gunzberg R (eds). *The degenerative cervical spine*. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2001: 137-142.
29. Tsang I. Rheumatology: 12. Pain in the neck. *Can Med Assoc J* 2001; 164: 1182-1187
30. Greenough CG. Diagnosis and treatment in evidence-based healthcare systems. In Szpalski M, Gunzberg R (eds) *The degenerative cervical spine*. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2001: 319-325
31. Clinical Standards Advisory Group (Chairman Prof. M Rosen). *Back Pain*. London: HMSO. 1994: 1-89
32. McClure P. The degenerative cervical spine: pathogenesis and rehabilitation concepts. [Review]. *J Hand Ther* 2000; 13: 163-174
33. Mayou R, Bryant B, Duthie R. Psychiatric consequences of road traffic accidents. *BMJ* 1993; 307: 647-651

34. Kamwendo K, Linton SJ, Moritz U. Neck and shoulder disorders in medical secretaries. Part 1. Pain prevalence and risk factors. *Scand J Rehab Med* 1991; 23: 127-133
35. Borghouts J, Koes BW, Vondeling H, Bouter LM. Cost of illness of neck pain in The Netherlands in 1996. *Pain* 1999; 80: 629-636
36. Helliwell PS. Diagnostic criteria for work-related upper limb disorders. *Br J Rheumatol* 1996; 35: 1195-1196
37. Barton NJ, Hooper G, Noble J, Steel WM. Occupational causes of disorders in the upper limb. *BMJ* 1992; 304: 309-311
38. Coggon D, Palmer KT, Walker-Bone K. Occupation and upper limb disorders. *Rheumatol* 2000; 39: 1057-1059
39. British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine. Vocational Rehabilitation: the way forward (Chair Frank AO), 2nd edn. London: British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine, 2003
40. Macfarlane GJ, Hunt IM, Silman AJ. Role of mechanical and psychosocial factors in the onset of forearm pain: prospective population based study. *BMJ* 2000; 321: 676-679
41. Mclean L, Tingley M, Scott RN, Rickards J. Computer terminal work and the benefit of microbreaks. *Appl Ergonomics* 2001; 32: 225-237
42. Pither CE. Pain clinic. In Szpalski M, Gunzberg R (eds) *The degenerative cervical spine*, Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2001: 161-173
43. Riley JL, Wade JB, Myers CD et al. Racial/ethnic differences in the experience of chronic pain. *Pain* 2002; 100: 291-298
44. Edwards C, Keefe F. New directions in research on pain and ethnicity: a comment on Riley, Wade, Myers et al. *Pain* 2002; 100: 211-212

45. Williams NH, Wilkinson C, Russell IT. Extending the Aberdeen back pain scale to include the whole spine: a set of outcome measures for the neck, upper and lower back. *Pain* 2001; 94: 261-274
46. McCracken LM, Iverson GL. Disrupted sleep patterns and daily functioning in patients with chronic pain. *Pain Res & Management* 2002; 7: 75-79
47. Ambrogio N, Cuttifford J, Lineker S, Li L. A comparison of three types of neck support in fibromyalgia patients. *Arthritis Care Res* 1998; 11: 405-410
48. Vasseljen O, Holte KAW, Westgaard RH. Shoulder and neck complaints in customer relations: individual risk factors and perceived exposures at work. *Ergonomics* 2001; 44: 355-372
49. Persson LCG, Lilja A. Pain, coping, emotional state and physical function in patients with chronic radicular neck pain. A comparison between patients treated with surgery, physiotherapy or neck collar - a blinded, prospective randomised study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2001; 23: 325-335
50. Waddell G. A new clinical model for the treatment of low back pain. 1987 Volvo award in clinical sciences. *Spine* 1987; 12: 632-644
51. Croft P. Symptoms without pathology: should we try a little tenderness? *Rheumatol* 2003; 42: 815-817
52. Hazemeijer I, Rasker JJ. Fibromyalgia and the therapeutic domain. A philosophical study on the origins of fibromyalgia in a specific social setting. *Rheumatol* 2003; 42: 507-15
53. Schochat T, Raspe H. Elements of fibromyalgia in an open population. *Rheumatol* 2003; 42: 829-35.
54. Quintner JL, Cohen ML. Fibromyalgia falls fowl of a fallacy. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 1092-4.

Table 1

Other conditions presenting to a neck pain clinic (n=32)

Other sites of spinal pain (n=9)

Lumbar pain	6
Thoracic pain	3

Shoulder pain (n=8)

Idiopathic shoulder pain	6
Wheelchair-dependent athlete with a ventriculo-peritoneal shunt	1
Carpal tunnel syndrome and shoulder pain	1

Conditions mimicking neck symptoms (n=6)

Giddiness ? Cause	1
Labyrinthitis	1
Menieres disease	1
Parkinson's disease	1
Pulsatile tinnitus	1
Raynaud's phenomenon	1

Neck pain due to other primary conditions (n=5)

Depression	1
Lymphoma compressing cord	1
Rheumatoid arthritis	1
Fractured C1 vertebra	1
Spasmodic torticollis	1
Carpal tunnel syndrome (n=4)	4
TOTAL	32

Table 2

Work thought to be partly responsible for neck pain (n=19)

Sitting n=10

Computer consultant

P/T receptionist in retail chemist

Building society clerk

Health promotion administrator

VDU operator (typing and graphics)

Typist

Sewing machinist

Checkout worker (and packer)

Driver – part-time Meals-on-Wheels driver (includes delivery and cleaning)

Driver - bus driver

Standing n=5

Sales assistant

Dental technician

Laboratory technician

Display assistant

Hairdresser

Lifting n=3

Assembly line worker – lifts 5-25 litre drums on a production line

Dark room worker – stands all the time & lifts up to 40 lbs. repetitively

District Nurse

Other n=1

Electronic Engineer

Table 3**Additional diagnoses noted in clinic**

<u>Additional diagnosis *</u>	<u>No. (n=141)</u>	<u>%</u>
Musculoskeletal		
Low back pain	36	26
Spinal deformity	14	10
Osteoarthritis of the lower limbs	11	08
Shoulder pain	06	04
Thoracic pain	06	04
Previous spinal surgery	05	04
Osteoarthritis of the upper limbs	04	03
Carpal tunnel syndrome	03	02
Osteoporosis	03	02
Repetitive strain injury (probable)	02	01
Vertebro-basilar insufficiency	02	01
Other musculo-skeletal	07	05
Other diagnostic groups		
Depression / anxiety	13	09
Diabetes mellitus	04	03
Asthma	03	02
Cardiovascular	07	05
Post-traumatic psychological distress	03	02

Other	05	04
Gastro-intestinal	03	02
Neck pain only	53	38

*** Some individuals had more than 1 diagnosis**

Table 4**Clinical and demographic relationships with disability****(NPQ excluding driving)**

Variable	N	Mean NPQ	Range	SD	Significance
All patients	132	14	1-32	6.5	
Men aged 0-50	75	10.7	1-20	5.9	
Men aged 51+	57	17.3	4-32	7.3	
Country of birth					
Other European	10	11.5	3-21	6.4	
Other	6	13.0	1-21	6.7	
UK	54	13.5	1-28	5.9	
Middle East	7	13.8	1-22	8.6	
West Indies	10	14.2	7-25	5.8	
South Asia	45	15.2	1-32	7.0	
Work status					
Not working through disability	7	19.4	11-25	5.8	P=0.001 ²
Housewives	12	18.4	9-23	4.1	
Employed but off sick	9	18.2	5-32	7.1	P<0.01 ²

Retired	31	13.7	3-28	6.9	
Unemployed	6	13.7	10-16	2.6	
Employed and working	57	12.1	1-23	5.7	
Self-employed	6	9.3	1-19	7.6	
Comorbidities					
No comorbidity	14	10.2	1-21	7.2	P=0.004 ¹
1 comorbidity	40	12.6	1-26	6.3	
2 comorbidities	41	14.7	1-23	5.6	
3+ comorbidities	36	16.1	3-32	6.7	
Unknown	1	16.0			
Comorbidity – low back pain	72	15.4	1-32	5.8	P=0.017 ²
Sleep disturbed	99	15.7		5.8	P=0.0001 ²
Sleep not disturbed	33	8.8		5.7	
Crying	52	17.4		5.1	P=0.0001 ²
Not crying	78	11.7		6.3	
Felt tearful	77	16.6		5.2	P=0.0001 ²
Not feel tearful	53	10.2		6.2	

Key: ¹ Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient, ² Mann Whitney

Table 5

Means of NPQ * individual questions 1-9
(n=132 except for driving)

	Mean	sd	Range
Neck pain intensity	1.7	1.0	0-4
Neck pain and sleeping	1.7	1.1	0-4
Pins and Needles or numbness in the arms at night	1.3	1.0	0-4
Duration of Symptoms	2.8	1.3	0-4
Carrying	2.0	1.1	0-4
Reading and watching TV	1.6	1.0	0-4
Working / Housework etc.	1.6	1.2	0-4
Social activities	1.4	1.1	0-4
Driving (n=90)	1.4	1.1	0-4

* NPQ – Neck Pain Questionnaire¹⁹

Table 6**Comparison of patient characteristics between back and neck studies**

Variable	back study¹⁷	neck study
Second opinions (%)	13	13
N – total referred	657	173
N – other spinal pain (%)	8	5
N – total with spinal pain	538	141
N – with other conditions (%)	18	13
Age (y)	48.6 (sd 15, range 18-90)	49.5 (sd 15, range 23-88)
Sex (% female)	64	65
Mean total pain duration (y)	9.5 (range 0.1-60.9)	5.8 (sd 7.3, range 0.1-44)
Mean episode duration (y)	2.5 (sd 5, range 0.02-41.3)	1.3 (sd 2.7, range 0.01-20)
Comorbidity (%)		
Total	59	89Musculo-skeletal 38
	77	
Those of working age receiving benefits (%)	53	29

% with referred pain	75	81
(to limb)		

Appendix 1

Neck pain clinic proforma

Comorbidity

Thoracic pain

Low back pain

Peripheral joint arthritis

Other musculoskeletal

Cardiac

Respiratory

Gastro-intestinal

Other(s)

Sites of pain

Bilat symmetrical LBP

Refers to 1 or both buttocks

" " laterally- hip, iliac crest

Dorsi-lumbar pain

Lower thoracic pain

Mid-thoracic

Shoulders or trapezei

Neck

Refers leg - typical

Refers leg - atypical

Sites of pain

1. Bilat symmetrical LBP
2. Refers to 1 or both buttocks
3. " " laterally- hip, iliac crest
4. Dorsi-lumbar pain
5. Lower thoracic pain
6. Mid-thoracic
7. Shoulders or trapezei
8. Neck
9. Refers leg - typical
- 10 Refers leg - atypical

Sleep

Is your sleep disturbed by pain?

Does anything else disturb sleep?

Does the pain

Ever make you feel tearful?

Ever make you cry

Does anything else make you cry?