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Abstract. Of concern is the following singularly perturbed semilinear elliptic problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon^{2} \Delta u-u+u^{p}=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
u>0 \text { in } \Omega \text { and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \epsilon>0$ is a small constant and $1<p<\left(\frac{N+2}{N-2}\right)_{+}$. Associated with the above problem is the energy functional $J_{\epsilon}$ defined by

$$
J_{\epsilon}[u]:=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}-F(u)\right) d x
$$

for $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} s^{p} d s$. Ni and Takagi ([28], [29]) proved that for a single boundary spike solution $u_{\epsilon}$, the following asymptotic expansion holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=\epsilon^{N}\left[\frac{1}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+o(\epsilon)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I[w]$ is the energy of the ground state, $c_{1}>0$ is a generic constant, $P_{\epsilon}$ is the unique local maximum point of $u_{\epsilon}$ and $H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$ is the boundary mean curvature function at $P_{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega$. Later, Wei and Winter ([42], [43]) improved the result and obtained a higher-order expansion of $J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=\epsilon^{N}\left[\frac{1}{2} I[\omega]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+\epsilon^{2}\left[c_{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+c_{3} R\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}>0$ are generic constants and $R\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$ is the scalar curvature at $P_{\epsilon}$. However, if $N=2$, the scalar curvature is always zero. The expansion (2) is no longer sufficient to distinguish spike locations with same mean curvature. In this paper, we consider this case and assume that $2 \leq p<+\infty$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the boundary near $P \in \partial \Omega$ is represented by the graph $\left\{x_{2}=\rho_{P}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}$. Then we have the following higher order expansion of $J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=\epsilon^{N}\left[\frac{1}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+c_{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}\right]+\epsilon^{3}\left[P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)=\rho_{P_{\epsilon}}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ is the curvature, $P(t)=A_{1} t+A_{2} t^{2}+A_{3} t^{3}$ is a polynomial, $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ are generic real constants and $S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)=\rho_{P_{\epsilon}}^{(4)}(0)$. In particular $c_{3}<0$. Some applications of this expansion are given.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the following singularly perturbed semilinear elliptic problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon^{2} \Delta u-b u+f(u)=0 \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u>0 \text { in } \Omega \text { and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \epsilon>0$ is a small constant, $\Delta:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{N}^{2}}$ denotes the Laplace operator in $\mathbf{R}^{N}, \nu$ stands for the
unit outer normal to $\partial \Omega$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ for the normal derivative, $b>0$ is a positive constant and $f(t)$ is a function in $C^{1+\sigma}(R)$ such that $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0$. Typical examples of the function $-b u+f(u)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& -b u+f(u)=-u+u_{+}^{p} \text { with } u_{+}=\max (0, u), \quad b=1  \tag{1.2}\\
& -b u+f(u)=u(u-a)(1-u) \text { with } 0<a<\frac{1}{2}, \quad b=a \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p<\left(\frac{N+2}{N-2}\right)_{+}\left(=\frac{N+2}{N-2} \text { when } N \geq 3 ;=+\infty \text { when } N=1,2\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.1) with (1.2) or (1.3) arises in many branches of the applied sciences. For example, it can be viewed as a steady-state equation for the shadow system of the Gierer-Meinhardt system in biological pattern formation ([16], [33], [39]) or of parabolic equations in chemotaxis, population dynamics and phase transitions ([5], [6], [27], [31]).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $b=1$.
Associated with (1.1) is the energy functional $J_{\epsilon}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}[u]:=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}-F(u)\right) d x \text { for } u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s$. It is well-known that any solution of (1.1) is a critical point of $J_{\epsilon}$ and vice versa. In this paper, we restrict ourselves to families of solutions $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}_{0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}}$ of (1.1) with finite energy, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{-N} J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]<+\infty \text { for } 0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be proved that for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, any family of solutions of (1.1) satisfying (1.6) can have at most a finite number of local maximum points (see [28]). Let the local maximum points be $\left\{P_{1}^{\epsilon}, \ldots, P_{K}^{\epsilon}\right\} \subset \bar{\Omega}$. If $P_{j}^{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega, j=1, \ldots, K$, we call $u_{\epsilon}$ a $K$-boundary spike solution. If $K=1$, we call $u_{\epsilon}$ a single boundary spike solution.

In the pioneering papers [27], [28] and [29], Lin, Ni and Takagi established the existence of least-energy solutions and showed that for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small the least-energy solution is a single boundary spike solution and has only one local maximum point $P_{\epsilon}$ with $P_{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega$. Moreover, $H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $H(P)$ is the mean curvature of $\partial \Omega$ at $P$.

Since then many works have been devoted to finding solutions with multiple spikes for the Neumann problem as well as the Dirichlet problem. See [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [18], [20], [21], [22], [23], [25], [26], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [35], [36], [40], [41], and the references therein. Recent surveys can be found in [33], [39].

A common tool for proving the existence of spike solutions is the energy expansion: In [28] and [29], Ni and Takagi proved, among others, that for a single boundary spike solution $u_{\epsilon}$ the following asymptotic expansion for $J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]$ holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=\epsilon^{N}\left[\frac{1}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+o(\epsilon)\right], \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}>0$ is a generic constant, $P_{\epsilon}$ is the unique local maximum point of $u_{\epsilon}, H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$ is the mean curvature function at $P_{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega, w$ is the unique solution of the following
ground-state problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta w-w+f(w)=0, w>0 \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}  \tag{1.8}\\
w(0)=\max _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}} w(y), \lim _{|y| \rightarrow+\infty} w(y)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $I[w]$ is the ground-state energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
I[w]=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{N}}}|\nabla w|^{2} d y+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{N}}} w^{2} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{N}}} F(w) d y . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that Ni and Takagi proved (1.7) for least-energy solutions. But it is easy to see that it also holds for any single boundary spike solution.)

Based on (1.7), Ni and Takagi showed that the least energy solution must concentrate at a maximum point of the mean curvature function. However, if $H(P)$ has more than one maximum point on $\partial \Omega$, the asymptotic expansion (1.7) is no longer sufficient to derive the spike location. In the light of this, Wei and Winter ([42], [43]) obtained a higher-order expansion of $J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=\epsilon^{N}\left[\frac{1}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+\epsilon^{2}\left[c_{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+c_{3} R\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right], \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}, c_{3}$ are generic constants and $R\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$ is the scalar curvature at $P_{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega$. In particular $c_{3}>0$. Based on this expansion, they showed that a least energy solution concentrates at a minimum point of the scalar curvature function among all maximum points of the mean curvature.

However, in the two-dimensional case, the scalar curvature is always zero. Thus the expansion (1.10) is no longer sufficient to locate the spike if there are several maximum points of the mean curvature and the next order term in (1.10) becomes important. This is exactly the motivation of this paper.

Before stating our main results, we introduce some notations.
First, we give some conditions on the function $f(t)$ :
(f1) $f \in C^{2}(\mathbf{R}), f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $f(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \leq 0$.
(f2) The problem (1.8) in the whole space has a unique solution $w$, which is nondegenerate, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Kernel}\left(\Delta-1+f^{\prime}(w)\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right\} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the well-known result of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg, [17], $w$ is radially symmetric: $w(y)=w(|y|)$ and strictly decreasing: $w^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r>0, r=|y|$. Moreover, we have the following asymptotic behavior of $w$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
w(r) & =A_{0} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right)  \tag{1.12}\\
w^{\prime}(r) & =-A_{0} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right), \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $A_{0}>0$ is generic constant.
The uniqueness of $w$ is proved in [24] for the case $f(u)=u^{p}$. For a general nonlinearity, see [8]. For $f(u)$ defined by (1.3), the uniqueness of the entire solution was proved by Peletier and Serrin [34].

In what follows, we always assume that $f(t)$ satisfies (f1) and (f2).

Remark: We have required $f(u)$ to be $C^{2}$. We believe that this is just a technical condition. This condition can be further weakened to $f \in C^{1+\sigma}$, where $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$.

Next, we introduce boundary deformations.
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. (We need $\partial \Omega \in C^{5}$.) For any boundary point $P=\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$, we define a diffeomorphism straightening the boundary in a neighborhood of it. After rotation and translation of the coordinate system, we may assume the inward normal to $\partial \Omega$ at $P$ points in the direction of positive $x_{2}$-axis and that $P$ is the origin.

We denote that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
B^{\prime}(\delta)=(-\delta, \delta), & B(P, \delta)=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}:|x-P|<\delta\right\}, \\
\Omega_{1}=\Omega \cap B(P, \delta), & \omega_{1}=\partial \Omega \cap B(P, \delta) . \tag{1.14}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\partial \Omega \in C^{5}$, we can find a positive constant $\delta$ such that $\partial \Omega \cap B(P, \delta)$ can be represented by the graph of a smooth function $\rho_{P}:(-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with $\rho_{P}(0)=\rho_{P}^{\prime}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in B(P, \delta): x_{2}-P_{2}>\rho_{P}\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right)\right\} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

¿From now on, we fix a boundary point $P$ and simply denote $\rho_{P}$ by $\rho$ if this can be done without causing confusion. From Taylor expansion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{24} \rho^{(4)}(0)\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right)^{4}+O\left(|x|^{5}\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $H(P)=\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)$ is the mean curvatures at $P$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(P)=\rho^{(4)}(0) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}=\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{2}: y_{2}>0\right\} . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can state the main theorem of this paper.
Theorem 1.1. Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be a single boundary spike solution of (1.1) with local maximum point $P_{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega$. Assume that $N=2$ and that $f$ satisfies (f1) and (f2). Then, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+c_{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+\epsilon^{3}\left[P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)\right] \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)=A_{1} H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+A_{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+A_{3}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{3}
$$

$c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ are generic constants to be defined later. Moreover, we have $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{3}<0$.

As in [43], we can also obtain a similar asymptotic expansion for multiple boundary spike solutions.

Theorem 1.2. Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be a $K$-boundary spike solution of (1.1) with local maximum point $P_{1}^{\epsilon}, \ldots, P_{K}^{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega$. Let $P_{j}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow P_{j}^{0} \in \partial \Omega$. Suppose that $P_{i}^{0} \neq P_{j}^{0}$ for $i \neq j$. Assume that
$N=2$ and that $f$ satisfies (f1) and (f2). Then, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have
$J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=\epsilon^{N}\left[\frac{K}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{K} H\left(P_{j}^{\epsilon}\right)+c_{2} \epsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{K}\left(H\left(P_{j}^{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+\epsilon^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{K}\left[P\left(H\left(P_{j}^{\epsilon}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(P_{j}^{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)\right]$.
¿From Theorem 1.1, we can give a refinement of the results of [28] and [29] in the case of $N=2$. To this end, we assume that $f$ satisfies (f1) and
(f3) For $t \geq 0, f$ admits the following decomposition in $C^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=f_{1}(t)-f_{2}(t) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(i) f_{1}(t) \leq 0$ and $f_{2}(t) \geq 0$ with $f_{1}(0)=f_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0$, whence it follows that $f_{2}(0)=$ $f_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0$ by (f1); and (ii) there is a $q \geq 1$ such that $\frac{f_{1}(t)}{t^{q}}$ is nondecreasing in $t>0$, whereas $\frac{f_{2}(t)}{t^{q}}$ is nonincreasing in $t>0$, and in case $q=1$, we require further that the above monotonicity condition for $\frac{f_{1}(t)}{t}$ is strict.
(f4) $f(t)=O\left(t^{p}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, where $2 \leq p<\infty$
(f5) There exists a constant $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that $F(t) \leq t \theta t f(t)$ for $t \geq 0$.
By taking a function $e(x) \equiv k$ for some constant in $\Omega$, and choosing $k$ large enough, we have $J_{\epsilon}[e]<0$ for all $\epsilon \in(0,1)$.Then for each $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, we can define the so-called mountain-pass value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\epsilon}=\inf _{h \in \Gamma} \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_{\epsilon}[h(t)], \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma=\left\{h:[0,1] \rightarrow H^{1}(\Omega) \mid h(t)\right.$ is continuous, $\left.h(0)=0, h(1)=e\right\}$.
In [28] and [29], it is proved that there exists a mountain-pass solution $u_{\epsilon}$ which is also a least energy solution. Moreover, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, u_{\epsilon}$ develops a spike layer behavior near a maximum point of the mean curvature function. Now we have

Corollary 1.1. Suppose that $N=2$ and $f(u)$ satisfies (f1), (f3), (f4) and (f5). Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be a least energy solution of (1.1) and let $P_{\epsilon}$ be the unique maximum point of $u_{\epsilon}$. Then, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P), \quad S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \max _{Q \in \partial \Omega, H(Q)=\max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P)} S(Q) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into three steps:
Step 1: We choose a good approximate function, concentrating at a boundary point $P$ and called $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} \Delta \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}-\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}+f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right)=O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is done in Section 3.
Step 2: Our key observation is that in order to obtain the term of order $\epsilon^{N+3}$ in the asymptotic expansion of $J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]$, we need not expand $u_{\epsilon}$ up to the order $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$. In fact, it is enough to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon}=\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}+O\left(\epsilon^{\tau}\right) \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\tau>\frac{3}{2}$. We do not even need to know the term of order $\epsilon^{\tau}$ in the asymptotic expansion of $u_{\epsilon}$. From (1.25) we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) . \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is proved in Section 6.

Step 3: It then remains to compute the energy of $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$. A higher-order energy expansion is derived in Section 4 and in Section 5 it is shown that $c_{1}<0$ and $c_{3}<0$.

Finally, the proofs of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.1 are contained in Section 7.

In three appendices, the technical proofs of Proposition 2.1, Proposition 3.1, and Lemma 4.1 are provided.

Throughout the paper, we use $C$ to denote various constants independent of $\epsilon$ small. Acknowledgments: This research of the first author is partially supported by an Earmarked Grant (CUHK4238/01P) from RGC of Hong Kong. The second author thanks the Department of Mathematics at CUHK for their kind hospitality.

## 2. Some Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some preliminary analysis.
For $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$, let $\nu(\mathbf{x})$ denote the unit outward normal at $x$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ the normal derivative. In our coordinate system, for $x \in \omega_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu(x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\rho^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}}}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right),-1\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu(x)} & =\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)^{2}}}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\right|_{x_{2}-P_{2}=\rho\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $x \in \Omega_{1}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon y_{1}=x_{1}-P_{1}, \quad \epsilon y_{2}=x_{2}-P_{2}-\rho\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the corresponding transformation by $T_{\epsilon}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\epsilon, 1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon} x_{1}, \quad T_{\epsilon, 2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[x_{2}-P_{2}-\rho\left(x_{1}-P_{1}\right)\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $y=T_{\epsilon}(x)$, where the Jacobian of $T_{\epsilon}$ is $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}$. Its inverse is called $x=T_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)$. It then holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=P_{1}+\epsilon y_{1}, \quad x_{2}=P_{2}+\epsilon y_{2}+\rho\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the transformation $T_{\epsilon}, \frac{|x-P|}{\epsilon}$ can be expanded

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{|x-P|}{\epsilon}\right)^{2}= & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\{\epsilon^{2} y_{1}^{2}+\left(\epsilon y_{2}+\rho\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
= & |y|^{2}+\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2} y_{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3} y_{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4}\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{3}\left[\frac{1}{12} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4} y_{2}+\frac{1}{6} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{5}\right]+O\left(\epsilon^{4} e^{-a|y|}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that for $x \in \Omega_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} \Delta_{x}=\Delta_{y}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x \in \omega_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\{\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}-\left(1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\epsilon, P}=\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{2}: \epsilon y+P \in \Omega\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $w_{\epsilon, P}$ be the unique solution of the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{y} w_{\epsilon, P}-w_{\epsilon, P}+f(w(y))=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{\epsilon, P}  \tag{2.10}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\epsilon, P}\end{cases}
$$

Set $h_{\epsilon, P}(x)=w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)-w_{\epsilon, P}\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)$. Then $h_{\epsilon, P}(x)$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{cases}\epsilon^{2} \Delta v-v=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.11}\\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right) & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Note that by (2.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} \Delta_{x} h-h=\Delta_{y} h+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_{2}}-h \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to analyze the behavior of $h_{\epsilon, P}$ up to $O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)$. To this end, we have to introduce five functions $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ and $v_{5}: v_{1}$ is the unique solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta v_{1}-v_{1}=0 & \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{2.13}\\ \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}=-\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2} & \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

$v_{2}$ is the unique solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta v_{2}-v_{2}-2 \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}=0 & \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{2.14}\\ \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}=\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} & \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

$v_{3}$ is the unique solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\Delta v_{3}-v_{3}=0 & \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{2.15}\\
\frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}}=-\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \frac{1}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3} & \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$v_{4}$ is the unique solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta v_{4}-v_{4}-2 \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}+\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}=0 & \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2} \\ \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}}=\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} y_{1}^{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{8} \rho^{(4)}(0)\right)+\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime} \frac{y_{1}^{6}}{|y|}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} & \text { on } \underset{(2.16)}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\end{cases}
$$

and $v_{5}$ is the unique solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta v_{5}-v_{5}-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\left[y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}+y_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}\right]=0 \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{2.17}\\
\frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}}=\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \frac{1}{2} y_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}+\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{1}} \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are even functions in $y_{1}$ and $v_{3}, v_{5}$ are odd functions in $y_{1}$, (i.e. $v_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=v_{1}\left(-y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ ).

Moreover, it is easy to see that $\left|v_{1}\right|,\left|v_{2}\right|,\left|v_{3}\right|,\left|v_{4}\right|,\left|v_{5}\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}$ for some positive constant $a$.

Let $\chi(x)$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi(x)=1$ for $x \in B\left(0, \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$ and $\chi(x)=0$ for $x$ outside $B(0, \delta)$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\epsilon, P}(x)= & \epsilon v_{1}(y) \chi(x-P)+\epsilon^{2}\left[v_{2}(y) \chi(x-P)+v_{3}(y) \chi(x-P)\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{3}\left[v_{4}(y) \chi(x-P)+v_{5}(y) \chi(x-P)\right]+\epsilon^{4} \Psi_{\epsilon, P}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y=T_{\epsilon}(x)$ is given by (2.5).
Then, we have the following asymptotic expansion
Proposition 2.1. For $\epsilon$ sufficiently small,

$$
\epsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \Psi_{\epsilon, P}\right|^{2}+\left|\Psi_{\epsilon, P}\right|^{2}\right) d x \leq C
$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is technical. We present it in Appendix A.

## 3. Approximate Function $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$

In this section, we introduce the important approximate function $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$.
We begin with the study of the properties of the following linear operator

$$
L_{0}:=\Delta-1+f^{\prime}(w): H^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \longmapsto L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)
$$

By our assumption (f2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Kernel}\left(L_{0}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we restrict $L_{0}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\nu}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\right) \cap\left\{\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{2}}=0 \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Kernel}\left(L_{0}\right) \cap H_{\nu}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{1}(y)$ is even in $y_{1}$, there exists a unique solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \Phi_{0}-\Phi_{0}+f^{\prime}(w) \Phi_{0}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
\frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}=0 \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}, \Phi_{0} \text { is even in } y_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We call this solution $\Phi_{0}$. In [43], Wei and Winter modified $\Phi_{0}$ to a new function $\Phi_{\epsilon, P}$ which satisfies the Neumann boundary condition. To this end, they introduced a function $\phi_{\epsilon, P}$ which is the solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\epsilon^{2} \Delta \phi_{\epsilon, P}-\phi_{\epsilon, P}=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.5}\\ \frac{\partial \phi_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial\left(\Phi_{0}\left(T_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \chi(x-P)\right)}{\partial \nu} & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\epsilon, P}=\Phi_{0}\left(T_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \chi(x-P)-\phi_{\epsilon, P} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\Phi_{\epsilon, P}$ satisfies the Neumann boundary condition, $\Phi_{\epsilon, P}\left(T_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right)=$ $\Phi_{0}(y)+O\left(\epsilon e^{-a|y|}\right)$ and $\left|\Phi_{\epsilon, P}\left(T_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right)\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}$ for some $a>0$. Then they introduced the approximating function

$$
\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}=w_{\epsilon, P}+\epsilon \Phi_{\epsilon, P}
$$

and show that $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$ solves the problem up to the order $O\left(\epsilon^{1+\sigma}\right)$.
In our problem, we need to expand $\phi_{\epsilon, P}$ up to the order $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. To this end, we introduce a new function $\Phi_{1}$ which is the solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \Phi_{1}-\Phi_{1}=0 & \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{3.7}\\ \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}=-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}} & \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\epsilon, P}(x)=\epsilon \Phi_{1}\left(T_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \chi(x-P)+\epsilon^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}(x) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\Phi_{1}$ is even in $y_{1}$ and $\left|\Phi_{1}\left(T_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right)\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}$ for some constant $a>0$. Then, similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1 in Section 2, we have the following asymptotic expansion, whose proof will be given in Appendix B.

Proposition 3.1. For $\epsilon$ sufficiently small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}(x)=w_{\epsilon, P}(x)+\epsilon \Phi_{0}\left(T_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \chi(x-P)-\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{1}\left(T_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \chi(x-P)-\epsilon^{3} \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}\right|^{2}\right) d x & \leq C  \tag{3.10}\\
\left|\tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}\left(T_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right)\right| & \leq C e^{-a|y|} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $a>0$.
The following lemma was proved in [43]. For the sake of completeness, we include the proof here.

Lemma 3.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]:=\epsilon^{2} \Delta \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}-\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}+f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]\right| \leq C \epsilon^{2} e^{-a|y|} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $a$.
Proof: Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}(x) & =w_{\epsilon, P}(x)+\epsilon \Phi_{0}\left(T_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \chi(x-P)-\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{1}\left(T_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \chi(x-P)-\epsilon^{3} \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P} \\
& =w_{\epsilon, P}(x)+\epsilon \Phi_{\epsilon, P}
\end{aligned}
$$

We expand $S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]= & S_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right]+\epsilon\left[\epsilon^{2} \Delta \Phi_{\epsilon, P}-\Phi_{\epsilon, P}+f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \Phi_{\epsilon, P}\right] \\
& +\left[f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}+\epsilon \Phi_{\epsilon, P}\right)-f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-\epsilon f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \Phi_{\epsilon, P}\right]=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are defined by the last equality.
Using (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}+S_{2}= & f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-f\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\epsilon\left[\epsilon^{2} \Delta \Phi_{\epsilon, P}-\Phi_{\epsilon, P}+f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \Phi_{\epsilon, P}\right] \\
= & {\left[f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-f\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\epsilon v_{1} \chi f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right] } \\
& +\epsilon\left[\epsilon^{2} \Delta \Phi_{\epsilon, P}-\Phi_{\epsilon, P}+f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \Phi_{\epsilon, P}-v_{1} \chi f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon^{2} \Delta \Phi_{\epsilon, P}-\Phi_{\epsilon, P}+f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \Phi_{\epsilon, P}-v_{1} \chi f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \\
= & {\left[\epsilon^{2} \Delta \Phi_{0}-\Phi_{0}+f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \Phi_{0}-v_{1} f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right] \chi } \\
= & +\left\{f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right\} \Phi_{0} \chi-f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \phi_{\epsilon, P}+E_{\epsilon}(\chi) \\
& \left.+\left.\left\{f_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{\epsilon, P}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi \\
& \left.\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right\} \Phi_{0} \chi-f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \phi_{\epsilon, P}+E_{\epsilon}(\chi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.1, we get that $S_{1}+S_{2}=O\left(\epsilon^{2} e^{-a|y|}\right)$. On the other hand, it follows by the mean-value theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(a+b)-f(a)-f^{\prime}(a) b\right| \leq C|a||b|^{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $a, b$ such that $|b| \leq 2|a| \leq C$. Thus,

$$
S_{3}=O\left(\epsilon^{2}\left|w_{\epsilon, P}\right|\left|\Phi_{\epsilon, P}\right|^{2}\right)=O\left(\epsilon^{2} e^{-a|y|}\right) .
$$

This proves the lemma.

## 4. The Computation OF $J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]$

In this section, we compute the energy of the approximating function $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$. In Section 6 , we will show that $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$ contributes the energy expansion up to the order $o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right)$.

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} & =w_{\epsilon, P}+\epsilon \Phi_{0} \chi-\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{3} \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P} \\
& =w_{\epsilon, P}+\epsilon \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}, \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\phi}$ are defined by the last equality. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]=\quad J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}+\epsilon \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\phi}\right]=J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right] \\
& \\
& +\epsilon \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla w_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}+w_{\epsilon, P} \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\widetilde{\Phi}_{0} f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
& \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2}-\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2} f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\epsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla w_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}+w_{\epsilon, P} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\widetilde{\Phi}_{1} f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\epsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{0} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}+\widetilde{\Phi}_{0} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\widetilde{\Phi}_{0} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1} f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\epsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla w_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \widetilde{\phi}+w_{\epsilon, P} \widetilde{\phi}-\widetilde{\phi} f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{6} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}^{3} f^{\prime \prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[F\left(\widetilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right)-F\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-\left(\epsilon \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\phi}\right) f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}^{2}-2 \epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\Phi}_{0} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\right) f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}^{3} f^{\prime \prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
& =J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right]+J_{1}+J_{2}-J_{3}-J_{4}-J_{5}-J_{6}-J_{7},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{7}$ are defined at the last equality.
We estimate $J_{7}$ first. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right)= & F\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)+\left(\epsilon \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\phi}\right) f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\phi}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(\epsilon \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\phi}\right)^{3} f^{\prime \prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the last integral $J_{7}$ is of the order $O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right)$.
Next we estimate $J_{1}$. Since $w_{\epsilon, P}$ satisfies the equation (2.10), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla w_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}+w_{\epsilon, P} \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\widetilde{\Phi}_{0} f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left[f\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)-f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] \widetilde{\Phi}_{0} d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon v_{1} \chi f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} v_{1}^{2} \chi^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right] \widetilde{\Phi}_{0} d x \\
& +O\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) \\
= & \epsilon^{N}\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \epsilon v_{1} f^{\prime}(w) \Phi_{0} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \epsilon^{2} v_{1} \frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \Phi_{0} d y\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \epsilon^{2} v_{2} f^{\prime}(w) \Phi_{0} d y-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} v_{1}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(w) \Phi_{0} d y\right]+O\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) \\
= & \epsilon^{N+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{0} d y+\epsilon^{N+2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[f^{\prime}(w) v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{2}+\frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2} v_{1} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}\right] \Phi_{0} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the following facts: $w_{\epsilon, P}=w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)-\epsilon v_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ and $v_{3}$ is odd in $y_{1}$.

Similarly for $J_{3}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla w_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}+w_{\epsilon, P} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\widetilde{\Phi}_{1} f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x & =\epsilon^{N+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{1} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+2}(4.1)\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
\int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla w_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \widetilde{\phi}+w_{\epsilon, P} \widetilde{\phi}-\widetilde{\phi} f\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x & =O\left(\epsilon^{N+1}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
\epsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{0} \nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}+\widetilde{\Phi}_{0} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\widetilde{\Phi}_{0} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1} f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x & =-\epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{1} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+1}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $J_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2}-\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2} f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)\right] d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2}-\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2} f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right] d x-\int_{\Omega}\left[f^{\prime}\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right]\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}\right|^{2} d x \\
= & -\epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{0} d y+\epsilon^{N+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}\left|\Phi_{0}\right|^{2} d y \\
& -2 \epsilon^{N+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}} d y-\frac{\epsilon^{N+1}}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}\left|\Phi_{0}\right|^{2} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here, we have used the fact that $\frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}=0$ on $\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}$.)

Combining the estimates for $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{7}$ together, we conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]= & J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}+\epsilon \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}-\epsilon^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}-\epsilon^{3} \widetilde{\phi}\right] \\
= & J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{N+2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{0} d y \\
& +\epsilon^{N+3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[f^{\prime}(w) v_{2} \Phi_{0}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{2} \Phi_{0}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{6} \Phi_{0}^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(w)\right] d y \\
& +\epsilon^{N+3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2} v_{1} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \Phi_{0}-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}-\frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)}{4} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}\left|\Phi_{0}\right|^{2}\right] d y \\
& +O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to compute $J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right]$ up to the order $o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right)$.
The computation of $J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right]$ is quite long. We begin with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right] \\
= & \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{\epsilon, P}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{\epsilon, P}^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) w_{\epsilon, P} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(w_{\epsilon, P}\right) d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w)\left(w-\epsilon v_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi-\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} F\left(w-\epsilon v_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi-\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right) d x+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} f(w) w-F(w) d x-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{1} \chi d x-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{2} \chi d x-\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{4} \chi d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left[F(w)-F\left(w-\epsilon v_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi-\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right)\right] d x+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& F\left(w-\epsilon v_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi-\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right) \\
= & F(w)-f(w)\left(\epsilon v_{1} \chi+\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi+\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(w)\left(\epsilon v_{1} \chi+\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi+\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(\epsilon v_{1} \chi+\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi+\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right)^{3}+o\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} F(w)-F\left(w-\epsilon v_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi-\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega} f(w)\left(\epsilon v_{1}+\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right)+\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right)\right) \chi d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(w)\left(\epsilon^{2} v_{1}^{2}+2 \epsilon^{3}\left(v_{1} v_{2}+v_{1} v_{3}\right)\right) \chi^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(w) \epsilon^{3} v_{1}^{3} \chi^{3} d x+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) \\
= & \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{1} \chi d x+\epsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{2} \chi-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}^{2} \chi^{2} d x \\
& +\epsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{4} \chi-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} v_{2} \chi^{2}+\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{3} \chi^{3} d x+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the facts that $v_{3}$ and $v_{5}$ are odd in $y_{1}$ and hence $\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f(w) v_{3} d y=$ $\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f(w) v_{3} d y=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right]= & \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} f(w) w-F(w) d x+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{1} \chi d x+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{2} \chi-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}^{2} \chi^{2} d x \\
& +\epsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} f(w) v_{4} \chi-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} v_{2} \chi^{2}+\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{3} \chi d x+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

¿From now on, we omit the factor $\chi$ in the integrals for simplicity.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1,1} & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} f(w) w-F(w) d x \\
I_{1,2} & =\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{1} d x \\
I_{1,3} & =\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(f(w) v_{2}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}^{2}\right) d x \\
I_{1,4} & =\epsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} f(w) v_{4}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} v_{2}+\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{3}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute these terms up to the order $o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right)$. We state the following useful lemma, whose proof is delayed to Appendix C.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $A(|y|)$ is a radially symmetric function such that

$$
\left|A^{\prime}(|y|)\right|+\left|A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)\right|+\left|A^{\prime \prime \prime}(|y|)\right|+\left|A^{(4)}(|y|)\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}
$$

for some $a>0$. Then, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)= & A(y)+\epsilon\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2} y_{2}\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\frac{1}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3} y_{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4}\right)\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right)\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2}\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{3}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\frac{1}{12} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4} y_{2}+\frac{1}{6} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{5}\right)\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{3}\left[\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{5} y_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} y_{1}^{6} y_{2}\right)\right] \\
+ & \epsilon^{3}\left[\frac{1}{48}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}-3 \frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{4}}+3 \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{5}}\right)\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} y_{1}^{6} y_{2}^{3}\right]+O\left(\epsilon^{4} e^{-a|y|}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right) d x= & \epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(y) d y-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{N+1} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(y) y_{1}^{2} d y_{1}  \tag{4.6}\\
& -\frac{1}{24} \epsilon^{N+3} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\rho^{(4)}(0) A(y) y_{1}^{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} A^{\prime}(|y|)\left|y_{1}\right|^{5}\right] d y_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

¿From Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1,1}= & \epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2}[w f(w)-F(w)] d y-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{N+1} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{1}{2} w f(w)-F(w)\right) y_{1}^{2} d y_{1} \\
& -\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{24} \rho^{(4)}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[\frac{1}{2} w f(w)-F(w)\right] y_{1}^{4} d y_{1}-\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{96}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[w f^{\prime}(w)-f(w)\right] w^{\prime}\left|y_{1}\right|^{5} d y_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the computation for $I_{1,1}$.
For $I_{1,2}$, we need to expand $\int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{1} d x$ up to the order $O\left(\epsilon^{N+2}\right)$. Using Lemma 4.1 again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{1} d y= & \epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f(w) v_{1} d y+\epsilon^{N+1} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{2|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{1} d y \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{N+2}}{6} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{3} y_{2} v_{1} d y+\frac{\epsilon^{N+2}}{8}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{4} v_{1} d y \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{N+2}}{8}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime \prime}}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|^{3}}\right] y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2} v_{1} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1,2}= & \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{1} d y \\
= & \epsilon^{N+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} f(w) v_{1} d y+\epsilon^{N+2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{4|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{1} d y \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{16}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{4} v_{1} d y \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{16}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime \prime}}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|^{3}}\right] y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2} v_{1} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we compute $I_{1,3}$. Observe that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)\right)=f^{\prime}(w(|y|))+\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{2|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} f(w) v_{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}^{2} d x=\epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[f(w) v_{2}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}^{2}\right] d y \\
& +\epsilon^{N+1} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{2|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{2}-\frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{2|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{1}^{2}\right] d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1,3}= & \frac{\epsilon^{N+2}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[f(w) v_{2}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}^{2}\right] d y \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{4} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{2}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{1}^{2} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we estimate $I_{1,4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1,4}=\epsilon^{N+3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} f(w) v_{4}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} v_{2}+\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{3}\right] d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the estimates for $I_{1,1}, I_{1,2}, I_{1,3}$ and $I_{1,4}$, we conclude that

$$
J_{\epsilon}\left[w_{\epsilon, P}\right]=\epsilon^{N} \frac{1}{2} I[w]+B_{1} \epsilon^{N+1}+\widetilde{B_{2}} \epsilon^{N+2}+\widetilde{B_{3}} \epsilon^{N+3}+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}= & \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} f(w) v_{1} d y-\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} w f(w)-f(w)\right] y_{1}^{2} d y_{1} \\
\widetilde{B_{2}}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[f(w) v_{2}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1}^{2}\right] d y+\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{4|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{1} d y \\
\widetilde{B_{3}}= & \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} f(w) v_{4}-f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} v_{2}+\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{3}\right] d y \\
& +\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2}\left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{16|y|} y_{1}^{4} v_{1} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime \prime}}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|^{3}}\right] y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2} v_{1} d y\right\} \\
& +\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\left[\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{2}-\frac{f^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} v_{1}^{2}\right]-\frac{1}{96} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[w f^{\prime}(w)-f(w)\right] w^{\prime}\left|y_{1}\right|^{5} d y_{1} \\
& -\rho^{(4)}(0) \frac{1}{24} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{1}{2} w f(w)-F(w)\right) y_{1}^{4} d y_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling (4.4), we conclude that

$$
J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]=\frac{1}{2} I[w] \epsilon^{N}+B_{1} \epsilon^{N+1}+B_{2} \epsilon^{N+2}+B_{3} \epsilon^{N+3}+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{2}= & \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{0} d y+\widetilde{B_{2}} \\
B_{3}= & \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[f^{\prime}(w) v_{2} \Phi_{0}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1}^{2} \Phi_{0}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(w) v_{1} \Phi_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{6} \Phi_{0}^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(w)\right] d y \\
& +\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2} v_{1} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \Phi_{0}-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}-\frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)}{4} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}\left|\Phi_{0}\right|^{2}\right] d y+\widetilde{B_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we are interested in the contributions of $\rho^{(4)}(0) \epsilon^{N+3}$, we only consider those coefficients of $\epsilon^{N+3}$ involving $\rho^{(4)}(0)$. It turns out that we only have to study the terms $\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f(w) v_{4}$ and $-\frac{1}{24} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{1}{2} w f(w)-F(w) y_{1}^{4} d y_{1}\right.$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f(w) v_{4} d y & =-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}(\Delta w-w) v_{4} d y=-\int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} w \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}} \\
& =-\int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} w\left[\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} y_{1}^{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{8} \rho^{(4)}(0)\right)+\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}\right] d y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we conclude that the coefficient of $\rho^{(4)}(0)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{3} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{w w^{\prime}}{8|y|} y_{1}^{4} d y_{1}-\frac{1}{24} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{1}{2} w f(w)-F(w)\right) y_{1}^{4} d y_{1}  \tag{4.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{48} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[3 \frac{w w^{\prime}}{|y|}-w f(w)+2 F(w)\right] y_{1}^{4} d y_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, we can also simplify the coefficient $-c_{1}$ of $\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \epsilon^{N+1}$ in the same way and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[\frac{w w^{\prime}}{|y|}-w f(w)+2 F(w)\right] y_{1}^{2} d y_{1} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

¿From the Lemma 3.2 in [43], we know that $B_{2}$ can be simplified as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=\frac{1}{8}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \Psi \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y_{2}} d y_{1}=c_{2}(H(P))^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is defined by the last equality and $\Psi$ is the unique solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \Psi-\Psi+f^{\prime}(w) \Psi=0 & \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{4.11}\\ \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y_{2}}=\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} y_{1}^{2} & \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

Finally, due to integration by parts, the coefficient of $\epsilon^{N+3}$ can be written as

$$
A_{1}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)+A_{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2}+A_{3}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}+c_{3} \rho^{(4)}(0)
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are generic constants.
In summary, we have derived the following proposition.
Proposition 4.1. Let $P \in \partial \Omega$ and $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}$ be defined in (3.9). Then, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]=\epsilon^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+c_{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+\epsilon^{3}\left[P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)=A_{1} H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+A_{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+A_{3}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{3}
$$

$c_{1}$ is defined by (4.9), $c_{2}$ is defined by (4.10), $c_{3}$ is defined by (4.8), and $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ are generic constants.

## 5. The Signs of $c_{1}$ And $c_{3}$

In this section, we are concerned with the signs of $c_{1}$ and $c_{3}$. Even though we can not compute them explicitly, we can determine their sign.

The sign of $c_{1}$ has been shown to be positive (Proposition 3.2 of [28]). So we just need to determine the sign of $c_{3}$.

By (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
96 c_{3} & =2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[3 \frac{w w^{\prime}}{r}-w f(w)+2 F(w)\right] r^{4} d r \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[3 \frac{w w^{\prime}}{r}+w\left(w^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} w^{\prime}-w\right)+2 F(w)\right] r^{4} d r \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[w w^{\prime \prime} r^{4}+4 w w^{\prime} r^{3}\right] d r-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[w^{2}-2 F(w)\right] r^{4} d r \\
& =-2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+w^{2}-2 F(w)\right] r^{4} d r \\
& =-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+w^{2}-2 F(w)\right] r^{4} \cos \theta d \theta d r \\
& =-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[|\nabla w|^{2}+w^{2}-2 F(w)\right]|y|^{2} y_{2} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we state the following lemma
Lemma 5.1. Let $w$ be the ground state solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w-w+f(w)=0 \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[|\nabla w|^{2}+w^{2}-2 F(w)\right]|y|^{2} y_{2} d y=\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} y_{2}|y|^{2} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first assume that Lemma 5.1 holds. We then have

Lemma 5.2. We have $c_{3}<0$.
Proof: From Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-48 c_{3}=\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} y_{2}|y|^{2} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w$ is radially symmetric and $w^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$, it is easy to see that both terms on the right hand side of (5.3) are positive. Hence $c_{3}<0$.

We are now ready to prove Lemma 5.1.
Proof: We first multiply both sides of (5.1) by $|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}$ and then integrate over $\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right) \Delta w d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} w|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f(w)|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute the three integrals of the left-hand side of (5.4) separately:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right) \Delta w d y \\
= & -\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \nabla w \cdot \nabla\left(|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right) d y \\
= & \left.-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(\nabla w \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)|y|^{2} y_{2} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(\nabla w \cdot \nabla y_{2}^{2}\right)|y|^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(\nabla w \cdot \nabla|y|^{2}\right) y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right) d y \\
= & -\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial|\nabla w|^{2}}{\partial y_{2}}|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{2}|y|^{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[2 y_{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}}+2 y_{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right] y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}|\nabla w|^{2}|y|^{2} y_{2} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}|\nabla w|^{2} y_{2}^{3} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{2}|y|^{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} d y \\
& -\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{2}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} w|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y & =\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2}|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial w^{2}}{\partial y_{2}}\right) d y \\
& =-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} w^{2}|y|^{2} y_{2} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} w^{2} y_{2}^{3} d y \\
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} f(w)|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y=0 & =\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}|y|^{2} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial F(w)}{\partial y_{2}} d y \\
& =-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 F(w)|y|^{2} y_{2} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 F(w) y_{2}^{3} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining all together, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} y_{2}|y|^{2} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y \\
=\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{2}|y|^{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 y_{2}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[2 F(w)-|\nabla w|^{2}-w^{2}\right] y_{2}^{3} d y .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 5.2 follows from the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[2 F(w)-|\nabla w|^{2}-w^{2}\right] y_{2}^{3} d y=-2 \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} y_{2}^{3} d y \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of (5.6) is similar to that of (5.5): multiplying both sides of (5.1) by $y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}$ and integrating over $\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} \Delta w d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} w d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}} f(w) d y=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that
LHS of (5.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \nabla w \cdot \nabla\left(y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right) d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial w^{2}}{\partial y_{2}} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial F(w)}{\partial y_{2}} d y \\
& =-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 4 y_{2}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} y_{2}^{4} \frac{\partial|\nabla w|^{2}}{\partial y_{2}} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 w^{2} y_{2}^{3} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 4 F(w) y_{2}^{3} d y \\
& =-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 4 y_{2}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{2}}\right)^{2} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2|\nabla w|^{2} y_{2}^{3} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 2 w^{2} y_{2}^{3} d y-\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} 4 F(w) y_{2}^{3} d y \\
& =R H S \text { of }(5.7)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

yielding (5.6).

## 6. The Asymptotic Behavior of $u_{\epsilon}$ and $J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]$

Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be a single boundary spike solution of (1.1) and $P_{\epsilon}$ be its local maximum point. In this section, we compute the energy of $u_{\epsilon}$. The key observation is that by using $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}$ as our approximating function, we just need to expand $u_{\epsilon}$ up to $O\left(\epsilon^{\tau}\right)$ for some $\tau>\frac{3}{2}$. Now, we choose $\frac{3}{2}<\tau<2$.

The main result in this section is the following theorem.
Theorem 6.1. For $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon}=\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\epsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})}+\epsilon^{-N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right) d x \leq C . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first assume that Theorem 6.1 holds. We then have
Lemma 6.1. For $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]=J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Note that both $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}$ and $\phi_{\epsilon}$ satisfy the Neumann boundary condition. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right]= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right\} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x \\
= & J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right]+\epsilon^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\epsilon^{2} \nabla \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}} \nabla \phi_{\epsilon}+\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}} \phi_{\epsilon}-f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}\right\} d x \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{2 \tau}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}-f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}^{2}\right\} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left\{F\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}\right)-F\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right)-f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \epsilon^{2 \tau} \phi_{\epsilon}^{2}\right\} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 6.1, the last two terms are $O\left(\epsilon^{N+2 \tau}\right)$. Now, we consider that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\epsilon^{2} \nabla \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}} \nabla \phi_{\epsilon}+\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}} \phi_{\epsilon}-f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}\right\} d x & =\epsilon^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right] \phi_{\epsilon} d x \\
& \leq \epsilon^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}\left|S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right]\right| d x\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=O\left(\epsilon^{N+2+\tau}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which finishes the proof of Lemma 6.1.
We are now ready to prove Theorem 6.1. The key step is the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. For $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Recall

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\epsilon}[u] & =\epsilon^{2} \Delta u-u+f(u), \\
S_{\epsilon}^{\prime}[u](\phi) & =\epsilon^{2} \Delta \phi-\phi+f^{\prime}(u) \phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $u_{\epsilon}=\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}$ into the equation

$$
\epsilon^{2} \Delta u-u+f(u)=0
$$

we see that $\phi_{\epsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon^{2} \Delta \phi_{\epsilon}-\phi_{\epsilon}+f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}=-\epsilon^{-\tau} S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right]+N_{\epsilon}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}\right] \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{6.5}\\
\frac{\partial \phi_{\epsilon}}{\partial \nu}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
N_{\epsilon}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}\right]=-\epsilon^{-\tau}\left[f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}\right)-f\left(\widetilde{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right)-\epsilon^{\tau} f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}\right]
$$

By Lemma 3.1, $S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right]=O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\epsilon^{-\tau} S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right]=O\left(\epsilon^{2-\tau}\right)
$$

On the other hand, by mean-value theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N_{\epsilon}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}\right]\right| & =\epsilon^{-\tau}\left|f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}\right)-f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right)-\epsilon^{\tau} f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}\right| \\
& \leq C\left|\phi_{\epsilon} \| \epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|N_{\epsilon}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}\right]\right|=o(1)\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right| .
$$

Now, we can prove Lemma 6.2.
Suppose not. That is, there exists a sequence $\epsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$ such that $\left\|\phi_{\epsilon_{k}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})} \rightarrow+\infty$. For simplicity, we still denote $\epsilon_{k}$ as $\epsilon$. Set

$$
M_{\epsilon}=\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Let $M_{\epsilon}=\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)\right|$, where
$x_{\epsilon} \in \bar{\Omega}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{\epsilon}$ is a maximum point of $\phi_{\epsilon}$. We proceed in two claims.
Claim 1: $\frac{\left|x_{\epsilon}-P_{\epsilon}\right|}{\epsilon} \leq C$.
In fact, suppose not. That is $\frac{\left|x_{\epsilon}-P_{\epsilon}\right|}{\epsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$. Then

$$
-1+f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \leq-\frac{1}{4} \text { for } \epsilon \text { small. }
$$

Since $\frac{\partial \phi_{\epsilon}}{\partial \nu}=0$, by the Hopf boundary Lemma, it is impossible to have $x_{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega$. Thus, $x_{\epsilon} \in \Omega$, which implies that

$$
\Delta \phi_{\epsilon} \leq 0
$$

¿From (6.5), we deduce that

$$
\left(1-f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right) M_{\epsilon}+o(1) M_{\epsilon}+O\left(\epsilon^{\tau-1}\right) \leq 0
$$

and hence $M_{\epsilon}$ is bounded. This gives a contradiction and the proof of Claim 1 is completed. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}(y)=\frac{\widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}(x)}{M_{\epsilon}} \chi\left(x-P_{\epsilon}\right), y=T_{\epsilon}(x) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 2: $\widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}(y) \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
In fact, from the equation for $\widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}$, we see that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \widehat{\phi}_{0}$ which satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta \widehat{\phi}_{0}-\widehat{\phi}_{0}+f^{\prime}(w) \widehat{\phi}_{0}=0,\left|\widehat{\phi}_{0}\right| \leq 1 \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{6.7}\\
\frac{\partial \widehat{\phi}_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}=0 \text { on } \partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the nondegeneracy of $w$, there exists a constant $a_{1}$ such that

$$
\widehat{\phi}_{0}=a_{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}} .
$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$
\nabla_{x_{1}} u_{\epsilon}\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)=0
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\nabla_{x_{1}}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}\right) \\
& =O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)+\nabla_{x_{1}}\left(w\left(\frac{x-P_{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)-\epsilon v_{1} \chi-\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi-\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right)+\epsilon^{\tau-1} M_{\epsilon} \nabla_{y_{1}} \widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}(0) \\
& =O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)+\epsilon^{\tau-1} M_{\epsilon} \nabla_{y_{1}} \widetilde{\phi}_{\epsilon}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note that $\nabla_{y_{1}} v_{1}(0)=\nabla_{y_{1}} v_{2}(0)=0$.) Thus, we have $\nabla_{y_{1}} \widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}(0) \rightarrow 0$ which shows that $\nabla_{y_{1}} \widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}=0$. This implies that

$$
\left.\nabla_{y_{1}}\left(a_{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_{1}}\right)\right|_{y=0}=0
$$

and $a_{1}=0$. This proves Claim 2.
Lemma 6.2 now follows from Claim 1 and Claim 2: let $y_{\epsilon}=\frac{x_{\epsilon}-P_{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}$, then by Claim 1, we have $\left|y_{\epsilon}\right| \leq C$. So we may assume that $y_{\epsilon} \rightarrow y_{0}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since $\widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}\right)=1$, we have $\widehat{\phi}_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)=1$ which contradicts Claim 2.

Proof of Theorem 6.1: Theorem 6.1 now follows from Lemma 6.2. In fact, multiplying (6.5) by $\phi_{\epsilon}$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega} f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right) \phi_{\epsilon} d x-\int_{\Omega} N_{\epsilon}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}\right] \phi_{\epsilon} d x+\epsilon^{-\tau} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\epsilon} S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{\epsilon}}\right] d x \\
\leq & C \epsilon^{N}+o(1) \int_{\Omega}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

## 7. The Proofs Of Theorem 1.1 And Corollary 1.1

Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 6.1, Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 4.1.
To prove Theorem 1.2, we follow the proof of Theorem 1.1: first we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\epsilon}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{K} \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{j}^{\epsilon}}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{K} S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{j}^{\epsilon}}\right]+O\left(e^{-\delta / \epsilon}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$, since $\min _{i \neq j}\left|P_{i}^{\epsilon}-P_{j}^{\epsilon}\right| \geq \delta$. Then we decompose

$$
u_{\epsilon}=\sum_{j=1}^{K} \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_{j}^{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{\tau} \phi_{\epsilon}
$$

and show that $\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C$. The rest of the proof is exactly the same.
It remains to prove Corollary 1.1.
Proof: Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be a least energy solution of (1.1). By Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\epsilon}=J_{\epsilon}\left[u_{\epsilon}\right] \\
=\epsilon^{N}\left[\frac{1}{2} I[w]+c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+c_{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+\epsilon^{3}\left[P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)\right] . \tag{7.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(t)=J_{\epsilon}\left[t \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right], \quad t>0 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 of [28], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\epsilon} \leq \max _{t>0} \beta(t) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (f3) (see(3.16) of [28]), there exists a unique $t=t_{\epsilon, P}$ such that

$$
\beta^{\prime}\left(t_{\epsilon, P}\right)=0 \quad \beta\left(t_{\epsilon, P}\right)=\max _{t>o} \beta(t)
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\prime}(1) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[\epsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right|^{2}+\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right)^{2}-f\left(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right) \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right] d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} S_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right] \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} d x=O\left(\epsilon^{N+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to (3.16) of [28], one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\epsilon, P}=1+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(t_{\epsilon, P}\right) & =\beta(1)+\beta^{\prime}(1)\left(t_{\epsilon, P}-1\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{N}\left|t_{\epsilon, P}-1\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\beta(1)+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\epsilon} \leq \max _{t>0} \beta(t)=J_{\epsilon}\left[t_{\epsilon, P} \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]=J_{\epsilon}\left[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{N+3}\right) \\
\leq \epsilon^{N}\left\{\frac{1}{2} I[w]-c_{1} \epsilon H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)+c_{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+\epsilon^{3}\left[P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{7.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $P \in \partial \Omega$.
Now, we take $P=Q_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(Q_{0}\right)=\max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P), \quad S\left(Q_{0}\right)=\max \left\{S(Q): Q \in \partial \Omega, H(Q)=\max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P)\right\} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (7.6) with (7.2), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -c_{1} H\left(Q_{0}\right)-c_{2} \epsilon\left(H\left(Q_{0}\right)\right)^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\left[P\left(H\left(Q_{0}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(Q_{0}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
\leq \quad & -c_{1} H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)-c_{2} \epsilon(H(P \epsilon))^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\left[P\left(H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+c_{3} S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\right]+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c_{1}>0, c_{3}<0$, (the sign of $c_{2}$ and the $A_{i}^{\prime} s$ are not important), we conclude that

$$
H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P) \quad S\left(P_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \max _{Q \in \partial \Omega, H(Q)=\max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P)} S(Q)
$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
This finishes the proof of Corollary 1.1.

Appendix A: Proof of Proposition 2.1
To prove Proposition 2.1, we recall a lemma in [40].
Lemma A: (Lemma 2.1 of [40].) Let $u$ be a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\epsilon^{2} \Delta u-u+f=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{7.8}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Assume that $\int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \leq C \epsilon^{N}$ and $\int_{\partial \Omega}|g|^{2} \leq C \epsilon^{N-1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{-N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon^{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) d x \leq C . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first compute the equation for $\Psi_{\epsilon, P}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\epsilon^{2} \Delta_{x} \Psi_{\epsilon, P}+\Psi_{\epsilon, P} \\
= & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left[\epsilon^{2} \Delta_{x}\left\{\epsilon v_{1} \chi+\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi+\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right\}-\left\{\epsilon v_{1} \chi+\epsilon^{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{3}\right) \chi+\epsilon^{3}\left(v_{4}+v_{5}\right) \chi\right\}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left[\left[\Delta_{y} v_{1}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}-v_{1}\right] \chi\right. \\
& +\epsilon\left[\Delta_{y} v_{2}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}-v_{2}\right] \chi \\
& +\epsilon\left[\Delta_{y} v_{3}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}}-v_{3}\right] \chi \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[\Delta_{y} v_{4}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{4}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}}-v_{4}\right] \chi \\
& \left.+\epsilon^{2}\left[\Delta_{y} v_{5}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{5}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}}-v_{5}\right] \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left[\left[\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi\right. \\
& +\epsilon\left[2 \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}+\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi \\
& +\epsilon\left[\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[2 \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}+\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}-\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{4}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}}+2 \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}+\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\left[y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}+y_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{5}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
& =\quad \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left[\left[\left(\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2}-\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2} y_{1}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \epsilon^{2} y_{1}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\epsilon\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)-\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)+\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi \\
& +\epsilon\left[\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}+2\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}-\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}+\epsilon\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)-\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right) \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi \\
& +\epsilon\left[\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}+2\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}-\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}+\epsilon\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)-\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right) \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi \\
& \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{4}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi \\
& \\
& \left.+\epsilon^{2}\left[\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v_{5}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
& =\quad f_{\epsilon}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{\epsilon}$ denotes all the terms involving derivatives of $\chi$.
Since $\left|v_{1}\right|,\left|v_{2}\right|,\left|v_{3}\right|,\left|v_{4}\right|,\left|v_{5}\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}$ for some positive constant $a$, we have $f_{\epsilon} \in$ $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon, P}\right)$ and $\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon, P}} f_{\epsilon}^{2} \leq C$. On the other hand, for $x \in \partial \Omega$, it holds that

$$
\epsilon \frac{\partial \Psi_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial v}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left[\frac{\partial h_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}-\epsilon \frac{\partial\left(v_{1} \chi\right)}{\partial \nu}-\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial\left(v_{2} \chi\right)}{\partial \nu}-\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial\left(v_{3} \chi\right)}{\partial \nu}-\epsilon^{3} \frac{\partial\left(v_{4} \chi\right)}{\partial \nu}-\epsilon^{3} \frac{\partial\left(v_{5} \chi\right)}{\partial \nu}\right] .
$$

Using (2.6), we have for $x \in \omega_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|x-P|}{\epsilon}=|y|\left(1+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \frac{y_{1}^{4}}{|y|^{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.2) and (2.8) , we have the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial h_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}= & \sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial w\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial \nu} \\
= & w^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{\epsilon y_{1} \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)-\rho\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)}{\epsilon|x-P|} \\
= & \frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3}+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{8} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4}\right] \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{16}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}\left(\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime} \frac{y_{1}^{6}}{|y|}+O\left(\epsilon^{3} e^{-a|y|}\right), \\
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial \nu}= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}+\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2}+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2}\right] \\
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial \nu}= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}-\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}\right] \\
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial \nu}= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{1}}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3}+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3}\right] \\
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial \nu}= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}}-\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{\partial v_{4}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[-\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} y_{1}^{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{8} \rho^{(4)}(0)\right]-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{16}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}\left(\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime} \frac{y_{1}^{6}}{|y|}+O\left(\epsilon e^{-a|y|}\right)\right], \\
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial \nu}= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}}-\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{\partial v_{5}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}+O\left(\epsilon e^{-a|y|}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon \sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial \Psi_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu} \\
= & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left[\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}^{3}+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{8} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4}\right]+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{16}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}\left(\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime} \frac{y_{1}^{6}}{|y|}+O\left(\epsilon^{3} e^{-a|y|}\right)\right. \\
& +\chi\left[-\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2}-\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& -\epsilon\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}-\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}\right] \\
& -\epsilon\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial y_{1}}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3}\right] \\
& -\epsilon^{2}\left[-\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} y_{1}^{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{8} \rho^{(4)}(0)\right]-\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}+\frac{1}{16}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3}\left(\frac{w^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime} \frac{y_{1}^{6}}{|y|}+O\left(\epsilon e^{-a|y|}\right)\right] \\
= & g_{\epsilon}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where again $E_{\epsilon}(\chi)$ denotes all the terms involving derivatives of $\chi$. This implies that $g_{\epsilon} \leq C e^{-a|y|}$. Therefore,

$$
\left|\epsilon \frac{\partial \Psi_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial v}\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}
$$

Let $\tilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon, P}(z)=\Psi_{\epsilon, P}(x)$, where $x=P+\epsilon z$. Then, $\tilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon, P}(z)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta \tilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon, P}-\tilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon, P}+f_{\epsilon}=0 \text { in } \Omega_{\epsilon, P} \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}=g_{\epsilon} \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\epsilon, P},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon, P}\right)$ and $g_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{\epsilon, P}\right)$ and both the corresponding norms are bounded, independent of $\epsilon$. Proposition then follows from Lemma A.

## Appendix B: Proof of Proposition 3.1

We prove Proposition 3.1 in this appendix.
We first compute the equation for $\tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\epsilon^{2} \Delta_{x} \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}+\tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P} \\
= & -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\epsilon^{2} \Delta_{x}\left(\Phi_{1} \chi\right)-\Phi_{1} \chi\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\left[\Delta_{y} \Phi_{1}-\Phi_{1}-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}\right]+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\left[-\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}-2 \rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}+\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}\right]+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
= & f_{\epsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{\epsilon}$ denotes all the terms involving derivatives of $\chi$. Since $\left|\Phi_{1}\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}$ for some constants $C, a>0$, we have $f_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon, P}\right)$ and $\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon, P}} f_{\epsilon}^{2} d x \leq C$. On the other hand, for $x \in \omega_{1}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial \phi_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}-\epsilon \frac{\partial\left(\Phi_{1} \chi\right)}{\partial \nu}\right] \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu} & =\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}} \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
& =\left[\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}^{2}\right] \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}} \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(\Phi_{1} \chi\right)}{\partial \nu} & =\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}-\left(1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)^{2}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\left[\rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}^{2}\right] \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}} \chi+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}-\left(1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)\right)^{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right)\right)^{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \epsilon y_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial y_{1}}-\rho^{\prime}\left(\epsilon y_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right] \chi+E_{\epsilon}(\chi)\right] \\
= & g_{\epsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where again $E_{\epsilon}(\chi)$ denotes all the terms involving derivatives of $\chi$. This implies that $g_{\epsilon} \leq C e^{-a|y|}$. Therefore,

$$
\left|\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon, P}}{\partial v}\right| \leq C e^{-a|y|}
$$

The rest is exactly the same as in the proof of Proposition 2.1.

## Appendix C: Proof of Lemma 4.1

In this appendix, we prove Lemma 4.1.
By (2.6), equation (4.5) follows by using Taylor expansion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\left|\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right|\right) \\
= & A(|y|)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial A(|y|)}{\partial y_{i}}\left(\frac{x_{i}-P_{i}}{\epsilon}-y_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^{2} A(|y|)}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}}\left(\frac{x_{i}-P_{i}}{\epsilon}-y_{i}\right)\left(\frac{x_{j}-P_{j}}{\epsilon}-y_{j}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i, j, k} \frac{\partial^{3} A(|y|)}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j} \partial y_{k}}\left(\frac{x_{i}-P_{i}}{\epsilon}-y_{i}\right)\left(\frac{x_{j}-P_{j}}{\epsilon}-y_{j}\right)\left(\frac{x_{k}-P_{k}}{\epsilon}-y_{k}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{4} e^{-a|y|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d A(|y|)}{d|y|^{2}} & =\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{2|y|} \\
\frac{d^{2} A(|y|)}{d|y|^{2}} & =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right), \\
\frac{d^{3} A(|y|)}{d|y|^{3}} & =\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}-3 \frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{4}}+3 \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{5}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right) \\
= & A(|y|)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\left|\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right|^{2}-|y|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(y)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right) \frac{1}{4}\left(\left|\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right|^{2}-|y|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}-3 \frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{4}}+3 \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{5}}\right) \frac{1}{8}\left(\left|\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right|^{2}-|y|^{2}\right)^{3}+O\left(\epsilon^{4} e^{-a|y|}\right) \\
= & A(|y|)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\epsilon \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2} y_{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3} y_{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\epsilon^{3}\left[\frac{1}{12} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4} y_{2}+\frac{1}{6} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{5}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right)\left(\epsilon^{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{2}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{5} y_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} y_{1}^{6} y_{2}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{48}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}-3 \frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{4}}+3 \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{5}}\right) \epsilon^{3}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} y_{1}^{6} y_{2}^{3}+O\left(\epsilon^{4} e^{-a|y|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain (4.5).
Next we prove (4.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right) d x= & \epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(y) d y+\epsilon^{N+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2} y_{2}\right] d y \\
& +\epsilon^{N+2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\frac{1}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3} y_{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4}\right)\right] d y \\
& +\epsilon^{N+2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right)\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2}\right] d y \\
& +\epsilon^{N+3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\frac{1}{12} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4} y_{2}+\frac{1}{6} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{5}\right)\right] d y \\
& +\epsilon^{N+3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{5} y_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} y_{1}^{6} y_{2}\right)\right] d y \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}+I_{5}+I_{6}+I_{7}+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}, I_{5}, I_{6}$ and $I_{7}$ are defined by the last equality. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}+I_{4} & =\epsilon^{N+2}\left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{6} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{3} y_{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4}+\frac{1}{|y|}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2}\right] d y\right\} \\
& \left.=\frac{\epsilon^{N+2}}{8}\left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{|y|}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2}\right] d y\right\} \\
& =\frac{\epsilon^{N+2}}{8}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2}\left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} y_{1}^{4} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right) y_{1}^{4} y_{2} d y\right\} \\
& =\frac{\epsilon^{N+2}}{8}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} y_{1}^{4} y_{2}\right) d y=0 \\
I_{5} & =\epsilon^{N+3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{2|y|} \frac{1}{12} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4} y_{2} d y \\
I_{6} & =\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{16} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right)\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} y_{1}^{6} y_{2} d y \\
I_{7} & =\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{|y|}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} y_{1}^{6} y_{2}^{3} d y \\
& =\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right) y_{1}^{6} y_{2}^{2} d y \\
& =-\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}^{y_{1}^{6}} 2 y_{2}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right) d y \\
& =-\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{24}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}^{y_{1}^{6} y_{2}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right) d y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6}+I_{7} & =\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|^{3}}\right) y_{1}^{6} y_{2} d y \\
& =\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{|y|}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime} y_{1}^{6} y_{2} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x-P}{\epsilon}\right) d x= & \epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(y) d y+\frac{\epsilon^{N+1}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) y_{1}^{2} y_{2} d y \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{24} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|} \rho^{(4)}(0) y_{1}^{4} y_{2} d y+\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \frac{1}{|y|}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right)^{\prime} y_{1}^{6} y_{2} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(y) d y+\frac{\epsilon^{N+1}}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\partial A(|y|)}{\partial y_{2}} y_{1}^{2} d y \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{24} \rho^{(4)}(0) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\partial A(|y|)}{\partial y_{2}} y_{1}^{4} d y+\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}(|y|)}{|y|}\right) y_{1}^{6} d y+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right) \\
= & \epsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(y) d y-\frac{\epsilon^{N+1}}{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(0) \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(|y|) y_{1}^{2} d y_{1} \\
& -\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{24} \rho^{(4)}(0) \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A(|y|) y_{1}^{4} d y_{1}-\frac{\epsilon^{N+3}}{48}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{3} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}} A^{\prime}(|y|)\left|y_{1}\right|^{5} d y_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{N+4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.1.
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