

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Research Repository by the author. Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

ActivPAL-measured sitting levels and patterns in 9-10 year old children from a UK city.

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdy181

PUBLISHER

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Faculty of Public Health

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Public Health following peer review. The version of record SHERRY, A.P. ... et al., 2018. activPAL-measured sitting levels and patterns in 9-10 year old children from a UK city. Journal of Public Health, doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdy181 is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdy181

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Sherry, Aron, Natalie Pearson, Nicola Ridgers, Sally E. Barber, Daniel D. Bingham, Liana C. Nagy, and Stacy Clemes. 2018. "Activpal-measured Sitting Levels and Patterns in 9-10 Year Old Children from a UK City.". figshare. https://hdl.handle.net/2134/35704.

- 1 activPAL-measured sitting levels and patterns in 9-10 year old children from a
- 2 UK city

3

- 4 Aron P. Sherry^{1, 2}, Natalie Pearson¹, Nicola D. Ridgers³, Sally E. Barber⁴, Daniel D.
- 5 Bingham⁴, Liana C. Nagy^{4,5}, Stacy A. Clemes^{1,2}.

6

- 7 ¹National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and
- 8 Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK
- 9 ²NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre –Lifestyle theme, Loughborough
- 10 University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK
- 11 ³Deakin University, Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), School of
- 12 Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Geelong, Australia, VIC 3125
- 13 ⁴Bradford Institute for Health Research, Bradford Teaching Hospitals Foundation
- 14 Trust, Bradford, BD9 6RJ
- 15 ⁵Bradford University, Faculty of Health Studies, Richmond Road, Bradford, BD7 1DP

16

17 Corresponding author:

- 18 Aron Sherry
- 19 National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine
- 20 School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences
- 21 Loughborough University
- 22 Loughborough
- 23 LE11 3TU
- 24 UK
- 25 <u>a.p.sherry@lboro.ac.uk</u> +44 (0)1509 228170

1 Abstract

- 2 **Background**: There is insufficient evidence of sitting time in UK children from
- 3 validated objective measures. This study explored sitting patterns in primary school
- 4 children from Bradford, UK, using the validated activPAL inclinometer.
- 5 **Methods**: Seventy-nine children (9.8 (SD 0.3) years old, 52% boys; 70% South
- 6 Asian) wore activPALs for 7-days. Total sitting time, sitting time accumulated in
- 7 different bout lengths, and the proportion of wear time spent in these variables were
- 8 explored and compared across different periods of the week.
- 9 Results: Children spent 614±112 (median±IQR) mins/day on school days and
- 10 690±150 mins/day on weekend days sitting. The proportion of time spent sitting was
- significantly higher on weekend days compared to school days (mean±SD: 74±10%
- 12 vs 68±8%,P<0.001), as was the proportion of time accumulated in >30min sitting
- 13 bouts (mean±Cl: 28±27-33% vs 20±20-22%,*P*<0.001). The proportion of time spent
- 14 sitting after school was significantly higher than during school time (mean±SD:
- $70\pm8.4\%$ vs $63\pm8.3\%$, P<0.001), as was the proportion of time spent in prolonged
- 16 (>30min) sitting bouts (mean±CI: 19±16-22% vs 11±10-14%,*P*<0.001).
- 17 **Conclusions:** Children spent large proportions of their waking day sitting, often
- accumulated in prolonged uninterrupted bouts and particularly after school and on
- weekends. Interventions to reduce sitting time in children are urgently needed.

1 INTRODUCTION

- 2 Sedentary behaviour is defined as "any waking behaviour characterised by an
- 3 energy expenditure ≤1.5 METs while in a sitting, reclining or lying posture"(1). Early
- 4 sedentary behaviour research has predominently explored screen-based pursuits
- 5 (TV viewing, computer use) using self-report measures. In children (ages 6-12yrs),
- 6 these types of sedentary behaviour are unfavourably associated with cardio-
- 7 metabolic health, pro-social behaviour, and academic achievement (2).

8

- 9 Recently, total waking sedentary time has been explored using accelerometry. This
- 10 international evidence has consistently reported that children spend most of their
- time sedentary (>60% waking hours), both during (4) and outside school hours (5–7).
- 12 For example, in 1,862 English children (9-10yrs), 64% (7.5hours) of an average day
- 13 was spent sedentary (5). Unlike screen time, the relationship between total
- 14 sedentary time and health outcomes in children is unclear (2). However, high
- 15 sedentary time in children is a public health concern for several reasons. Firstly,
- sedentary time not only tracks from childhood into adolescence and adulthood (8,9),
- 17 but also continually increases between these stages of life (9). There is a clear
- 18 adverse association between high levels of sedentary time (i.e.>8h/day) and
- 19 mortality in adults (10). Additionally, an increased cardio-metabolic health risk in
- 20 some demographics is evident during childhood (11). For example, British South
- 21 Asian children have demonstrated higher glycated haemoglobin, fasting insulin and
- 22 triglyceride and lower HDL-cholesterol compared to white British children (11).
- 23 Therefore, these populations may be more vulnerable to the adverse affects of
- 24 excessive sedentary time. Consequently, it is important to develop strategies to
- 25 reduce sedentary time during childhood before these behaviours become more
- 26 established and difficult to change.

- 28 There is currently growing interest into how sedentary time is accumulated. Time
- 29 spent sedentary in bouts (a period of uninterrupted sitting (1)) that are prolonged
- 30 (>30min) is associated with increased risk of the metabolic syndrome in adults (12).
- 31 Evidence using isotemporal substitution of prolonged bouts of sitting time with

shorter sitting bouts has demonstrated favourable cardio-metabolic outcomes in UK adults (13). In European children, it would appear that sedentary time is rarely spent in prolonged bouts (i.e.>30min) (14,15) which may partly explain the weaker association betwen total sedentary time and health outcomes (16) compared to adults. However, Australian data have demonstrated that children spend up to 20% of waking hours in such bouts (17). While an association between sedentary bouts and health indicators in children is inconsistent (2), evidence has shown that a higher frequency (up to 3.1/day) of >30min bouts of sedentary time is associated with reduced HDL cholesterol in children, independent of total sitting time, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA), saturated fat intake and body composition (18). Consequently, the manner in which sedentary time is accumulated needs to be further explored to better understand when and how to target interruptions in sustained sedentary periods.

To date, most published studies describing objectively-measured sedentary time use accelerometry. Accelerometers, which are typically worn on the waist, cannot accurately distinguish between sitting and standing postures (19). This is important because standing is not a sedentary behaviour (1). Consequently, there is a need to differentiate between time spent sitting and standing using inclinometers (2). The activPAL inclinometer has been implemented in a handful of studies in children (8-12yrs) which confirm high prorportions of time at school (70-71%) (20), on school days (53-69%) and weekend days (60-73%) is spent sitting (20-23). More studies need to build on these findings for a better understanding of sitting patterns. Such studies should include the exploration of sitting time in demographics that are typically more sedentary compared to other populations (from accelerometry). In the UK, British Pakistani children have demonstrated higher total sedentary time than white British children on school days and weekend days (6,24). This is particularly important when considering the higher cardio-metabolic health risks that British South Asian children have (11). In the present cross-sectional study, using activPAL inclinometers, we explored total sitting time and sitting bouts of different lengths, during and outside of school hours in a sample of children of mostly British South Asian ethnicity.

1 **METHODS**

- 2 Sitting patterns during school days and weekend days were explored in Year 5 3 primary school children (aged 9-10yrs) during term time. Participating children were 4 from two schools within deprived neighbourhoods (top 10% and 30% of UK 5 neighbourhoods) (25), located within the city of Bradford, England. All children were 6 originally approached and recruited for two classroom-based intervention trials 7 conducted in 2014 and 2015; the complete intervention data for the 2014 study have 8 been reported elsewhere (20). These schools were selected due to their 9 engagement with the Born in Bradford Project (26) which has connections to local 10 schools. Five separate classes consisting of 30 children (150 children in total) were 11 approached. Baseline assessments from each study, which employed identical 12 measurement protocols and were conducted during the autumn (November) and 13 winter (December/January) seasons, were included in this study.
- 14 Parental written consent and child assent were required for study participation.
- 15 Children were not included in baseline assessments if they had any disability that
- 16 prevented them from standing or an illness or injury that prevented them from
- 17 performing normal daily tasks. Both studies were approved by Loughborough
- 18 University's Ethical Advisory Committee.
- 19 Participants self-reported their age and ethnicity (after ethnicity was explained and a
- 20 subsequent selection was made from a list of different options i.e. white British,
- 21 Murpuri Pakistani). Participants wore an activPAL inclinometer (PAL Technologies
- 22 Ltd, Glasgow, UK) on the anterior aspect of the right thigh, placed within a nitrile
- 23 sleeve and attached using hypoallergenic medical dressing, for 7 days. This made
- 24 the device waterproof and enabled a 24hr wear protocol. The activPAL has been
- 25 shown to be a valid measure of posture in children (27), activPAL data explored in
- 26 this study included minutes spent sitting accumulated at school, after school, and
- 27 during total waking hours on school days and weekend days.

28

29

Data management

- 30 activPAL data were downloaded using standard manufacturer software (activPAL
- 31 Professional v.7.2.29/v.7.2.32) and then processed with a customised Microsoft

- 1 Excel macro. The hours of 11pm-6am were set as sleep time and thus removed from
- 2 the data (21). A non-wear time of 20 minutes was applied using the accelerometer
- 3 function of the device to determine when the device was not being worn during
- 4 waking hours (21,28). Data were analysed in 15-s epochs (21,28). School hours
- 5 were based on each school timetable (school one-08:50-15:10; school two-08:40-
- 6 15:15) and included lunch and break times.
- 7 Wear time compliance was set at ≥10h/day, ≥3 school days and ≥1 weekend day.
- 8 (17). A customised macro provided the frequency and accumulated minutes and
- 9 proportions of wear time spent sitting in bouts of 5-10min, 10-30min and >30min (15).
- 10 Proportions of wear time spent sitting were also calculated. Sitting variables were
- 11 compared between sexes and ethnicities (white British compared to a British South
- 12 Asian category comprising Bangladeshi, Indian, Mirpuri Pakistani, other Pakistani or
- 13 'any other Asian background' ethnicities).

15 Statistical analysis

14

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

16 Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS v.23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

17 Outcome variables were compared between school days and weekend days, and

18 between school time and after school time (end of school time to 11pm). activPAL

19 outcome variables were screened for outliers using box-plots. Box-plots did not

identify any extreme outliers (values more than three interquartile ranges from the

25th or 75th percentile) in any sitting variable and therefore all data were included in

the analysis. Outcome variables were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-

23 Smirnov test. This test found both normally distributed and skewed data. Normally

distributed data sets were compared between school days and weekend days and

during school and after school time using paired sample t-tests. For skewed data, a

natural-log transformation was applied. Transformed data were then compared

between time periods using paired t-tests. Mean transformed values and confidence

intervals were then back transformed and reported in the results. Data that were still

skewed following transformations were compared across periods using the Wilcoxon

signed-rank test, and the median and inter-quartile range reported. Significant

differences were detected (P<0.05) for wear time between school days and weekend

days and school time and after school; minute and frequency data are reported as

descriptives only. To account for differences in wear time, the proportion of wear time spent sitting were compared between the different time periods. Cohens d was used to calculate effect sizes using mean and standard deviation values (29) for outcome variables for each time period that were compared. Effect sizes were interpreted as small (d=0.2-0.4); intermediate (d=0.5-0.7); and large (d ≥0.8)(29). Sitting data were compared between boys and girls and between White British and British South Asian ethnicities using Mann-Whitney U tests. Significance was set at P<0.05.

1 **RESULTS**

- 2 One hundred and thirty-seven children provided parental consent to participate in the
- 3 studies, of which, 79 (58%) provided valid activPAL data (mean age: 9.8 (SD 0.3)
- 4 years). The sample characteristics by ethnicity and sex are summarised in Table I.
- 5 There were no significant sex or ethnic differences between those who provided
- 6 valid activPAL data and those who did not (P>0.05). There were significant
- 7 differences in just one/32 sitting variables (P<0.05) between girls and boys (see
- 8 appendix Table A1) (school time sitting mins; boys -19.8mins, P=0.028). Just two/32
- 9 significant differences were observed between White British and British South Asian
- 10 children in sitting outcomes (frequency and accumulated mins of sitting bouts of 10-
- 30min after school; British South Asian +0.9,P=0.018 and +22.2min,P=0.010)
- 12 (appendix Table A2). Consequently, data hereafter are presented for the sample as
- 13 a whole.
- 14 Time spent sitting on school days and on weekend days totalled 614±112
- 15 (median±IQR) mins/day and 690±150 mins/day, respectively (Table II), with
- 16 participants spending a significantly greater proportion of time sitting on weekend
- days compared to school days (+6.3%, *P*=0.001, intermediate effect size).
- 18 On a school day, 38% (227.8min) of total daily sitting time was accumulated at
- 19 school, 48% (290.2min) was accumulated after school, with the remainder (14%,
- 20 96min) accumulated before school. Participants spent a significantly lower proportion
- of time sitting at school (-6.7%,*P*=0.001, intermediate effect size) compared to time
- 22 spent sitting after school (Table II).
- 23 The highest bout frequencies during all periods was of 5-10min and 10-30min (Table
- 24 III). Total accumulated bout minutes during all time periods were highest in 10-30min
- 25 and >30min bouts (Table III). In >30min bouts, over 180 minutes were accumulated
- 26 from just 3.8 bouts on school days and over 280 minutes from just 5.2 bouts on
- weekend days.
- 28 A significantly greater proportion of wear time was spent in short bouts (5-10min) on
- 29 school days compared to weekend days (+1.4%, P<0.001, intermediate effect size),
- with no difference in medium bouts (10-30min) and significantly more time spent in
- 31 long bouts (>30min) on weekend days compared to school days (+7.9%,*P*<0.001)

- 1 (Table IV). A significantly greater proportion of wear time was spent in short bouts (5-
- 2 10min) at school compared to after school (+2.0%,*P*<0.001,intermediate effect size).
- 3 Conversely, significantly more time was spent after school compared to school time
- 4 in medium (+2.2%,*P*<0.05,small effect size) and long bouts (+7.7%,*P*<0.001) (Table
- 5 IV).

6

DISCUSSION

Main findings of this study

- 3 This study explored activPAL-determined sitting patterns during and outside school
- 4 hours in 9-10 year old children from a deprived northern UK city. This study
- 5 observed large proportions of wear time spent sitting on school days and weekend
- 6 days, not only in total but also in prolonged bouts, which has not been observed
- 7 before in UK children. Sitting time was particularly high after school and on
- 8 weekends. These findings are concerning for a sample of mostly British South Asian
- 9 children who are more susceptible to cardio-metabolic risk factors (11).

10

11

1

2

What is already known on this topic

- 12 Internationally, children spend the majority of waking hours sedentary, both during (4)
- 13 and outside of school hours (typically >60% of waking hours) (5–7). Sedentary
- behaviour tracks into adulthood (8) where detrimental health effects are clear (10).
- 15 Time spent in prolonged sitting bouts is associated with attenuated metabolic health
- 16 (18), but there is limited available evidence of how children accumulate sitting time.
- 17 Furthermore, most objectively-measured sedentary data is from hip-worn
- 18 accelerometers, which cannot distinguish between sitting and standing postures (19).
- 19 Consequently, studies using inclinometers are urgently needed to better determine
- 20 sitting time, particularly in higher health risk groups such as South Asian children.

21

22

What this study adds

- 23 This study found that children sat in excess of 10hrs/day (68% of wear time) on
- 24 school days and 11hrs/day (74% of wear time) on weekend days which are high
- 25 volumes of sitting for this age group. These proportions are almost identical to
- 26 activPAL data reported in obese Malaysian children (aged 9-11yrs) on school days
- 27 (68%) and weekend days (73%) (23). Compared to accelerometer data, our results
- 28 are similar to the proportions of sedentary time observed in British Pakistani and
- 29 White British girls (65-70%) (aged 10yrs) (6) and higher than that reported in a
- 30 sample of White British children (64%) (5). These results are also higher than

accelerometer data in US children where 8.7 h/day (aged 9-11yrs) (7) and 41-43% of wear time (aged 6-11yrs) (30) have been observed. The high volumes of sitting time are likely to increase into adolescence, with a recent review showing that sedentary time increases by approximately 10-20 mins/day across the primary-secondary school transition (9). If this yearly change were to hold constant, the current sample will be sitting 11-13 hrs/day (73-85% of current wear time) by the age of sixteen. This could mean as little as 3hrs available for movement-based activities (assuming 8hrs of sleep), which would have major implications for energy expenditure.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

This is the first study to explore sitting time accumulated in prolonged bouts in a sample of UK children. Wear time accumulated in sitting bouts of >30min on school days (187mins/20% of wear time) and weekend days (282mins/28%) was considerably higher than that observed in Belgian (school days: 34mins/4%; weekend days: 29mins/4%) (15), European (all days ≤80mins/≤10%) (18), and Australian children (school days: 132mins/16%; weekend days: 129mins/16%) (17). The present results are comparable to those observed in adult office workers (10-30%) (31) and demonstrate that some children do spend a considerable amount of time a day sitting for prolonged periods, contrary to previous conclusions (15). The frequency of prolonged bouts were low (school day 3.8, weekend day 5.2) compared to bouts of 5-10min and >10min (11-17.5), however, the average duration of prolonged bouts were 49 minutes and 54 minutes on school days and weekend days. This demonstrates that children do not need to engage in a high frequency of such bouts to result in a large proportion of waking hours being composed of prolonged sitting. The frequency values we observed exceed those previously reported in obese children demonstrating the highest number of >30min bouts (≤3.1), who exhibiting lower levels of HDL cholesterol compared to children who did not accumulate any sitting bouts of this duration (18). Future research should further examine potential differences of health indicators between children who accumulate high and low volumes of prolonged sitting bouts (frequencies and minutes), particularly in groups of higher health risk (i.e. South Asians, obese), as this is largely unexplored.

Children spent more time sitting on weekend days compared to school days in this study. These findings add to previous inconsistent evidence that either supports this finding (21–23), have found no difference (17,32), or have observed the opposite (6). Children were also the least sedentary at school. This is in contrast to Abbott et al. (17) who observed the highest proportion of wear time spent sedentary in total and in prolonged bouts at school compared to other times of the week in Australian children. In the present study, reduced daylight hours (33) during the autumn/winter as well as less favourable weather associated with these seasons, may have influenced more indoor sedentary pursuits away from school (7) compared to outdoor conditions in the Abbott et al. study (set in western Australia). It is also likely that contrasting school environments between study locations played a role in the differences reported during school time. Despite this, we still observed almost 4 hours of sitting at school, highlighting that the school environment is an important setting to reduce this behaviour. Although in the early stages of evidence, standing desk interventions implemented within the school classroom are emerging as a promising solution for interrupting and reducing sitting time (34,35).

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Sitting time in total and in prolonged bouts was particularly high during weekend days and after school periods, suggesting these periods should be targeted for intervention. A recent systematic review into the effectiveness of interventions targeting sedentary time (36) identified just one study in children (7-12yrs), a sixmonth intervention to reduce media use, that found a reduction in sedentary time outside of school hours (-37min/day of TV viewing) (37). Although screen-based pursuits will surely be common, we do not know which types of sedentary behaviours were adopted in the present study. This highlights the need for the inclusion of selfreport measures (i.e. diary logs) to provide information on the mode, dose, and setting of sedentary behaviour to better inform intervention design. An alternative to reducing total sedentary time could be to break up prolonged sitting bouts with short periods of activity, such as standing or stepping. Unfortunately, intervention studies with this objective are limited to a six-week school-based educational program that demonstrated inconsistent intervention effects during out of school hours (38). Future intervention studies may benefit from including parents and children in the intervention design process, which has not been undertaken to date (36), to

- 1 potentially increase child engagement (39) and the likelihood of tackling sedentary
- 2 behaviours effectively during leisure time.

3

4

Limitations of this study

- 5 The cross-sectional design of this study prevents any conclusions about causality.
- 6 The high non-compliance rate of the activPAL protocol resulted in a large proportion
- 7 of lost data which may have influenced the outcome of key variables. The small
- 8 sample spread across just two schools within close proximity to one another, limits
- 9 the generalisability of the findings. Furthermore, a sample size calculation was not
- 10 performed due to the exploratory nature of this study. Despite these limitations, this
- 11 study provides novel information on the composition of accumulated sitting time in a
- 12 sample of UK children.

- 14 In conclusion, this sample of mostly British South Asian children demonstrated very
- 15 high proportions of time spent sitting in total and in prolonged bouts during school
- days and weekend days. These proportions are likely to increase into adolescence
- 17 which is concerning for an ethnic population at higher cardio-metabolic health risk.
- 18 To inform effective interventions, further longitudinal research is required, with larger
- 19 sample sizes spread across multiple UK areas, to better understand the levels and
- 20 patterns of sitting accumulated at and away from school.

FUNDING

APS is supported by the School of Sport Exercise and Health Sciences at Loughborough University. NP is supported by a British Heart Foundation project grant (PG/12/70/29777). The funding body had no role in the analysis or preparation of the manuscript. SAC is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Leicester Biomedical Research Centre – Lifestyle theme. SEB, DDB and LCNs involvement in this publication was also funded by the NIHR. This study is part of the Healthy Children, Healthy Families Theme of the NIHR CLAHRC Yorkshire and Humber. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 2 The authors gratefully appreciate and thank the teachers (Anita Selby, Martyn
- 3 Yeoman, Robert Nykolyszyn, and Allen Hey) and children at Grove House primary
- 4 school and Byron primary school for participating in this study. The authors also
- 5 acknowledge Eoin O'Connell for the development of the customised Excel macro.

6

1

REFERENCES

- 2 1. Tremblay MS, Aubert S, Barnes JD, Saunders TJ, Carson V, Latimer-cheung
- 3 AE, et al. Sedentary Behavior Research Network (SBRN) Terminology
- 4 Consensus Project process and outcome. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.
- 5 International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity;
- 6 2017;14(75):1–17.
- 7 2. Carson V, Hunter S, Kuzik N, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, Chaput J, et al. Systematic
- 8 review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children
- 9 and youth: an update 1. Appl Physiol Nutr. 2016;41:240–65.
- 10 3. Heidi J. Syvaoja, Tuija H. Tammelin, Timo Ahonen, Anna Kankaanpa MTK.
- 11 The Associations of Objectively Measured Physical Activity and Sedentary
- 12 Time with Cognitive Functions in School aged children. PLoS One.
- 13 2014;9(7):1–10.
- 14 4. Stralen MM Van, Yıldırım M, Wulp A, Saskia J, Verloigne M, Doessegger A, et
- al. Measured sedentary time and physical activity during the school day of
- European 10- to 12-year-old children: The ENERGY project. J Sci Med Sport
- 17 [Internet]. Sports Medicine Australia; 2014;17(2):201–6. Available from:
- 18 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2013.04.019
- 19 5. Steele RM, Van Sluijs EMF, Cassidy A, Griffin SJ, Ekelund U. Targeting
- sedentary time or moderate- and vigorous-intensity activity: Independent
- relations with adiposity in a population-based sample of 10-y-old British
- 22 children. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;90(5):1185–92.
- 23 6. Hornby-turner YC, Hampshire KR, Pollard TM. A comparison of physical
- 24 activity and sedentary behaviour in 9 11 year old British Pakistani and White
- 25 British girls: a mixed methods study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.
- 26 2014;74(11):1–11.
- 27 7. Leblanc AG, Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira T V, Broyles ST, Chaput J, Church TS,
- et al. Correlates of Total Sedentary Time and Screen Time in 9 11 Year-Old
- 29 Children around the World: The International Study of Childhood Obesity,
- Lifestyle and the Environment. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):1–20.
- 31 8. Biddle SJH, Pearson N, Ross GM, Braithwaite R. Tracking of sedentary
- behaviours of young people: A systematic review. Prev Med (Baltim) [Internet].
- 33 Elsevier Inc.; 2010;51(5):345–51. Available from:
- 34 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.07.018
- 9. Pearson N, Haycraft E, Johnston JP, Atkin AJ. Sedentary behaviour across the
- primary-secondary school transition : A systematic review. Prev Med (Baltim)
- [Internet]. The Authors; 2017;94:40–7. Available from:
- 38 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.11.010

- 1 10. Ekelund U, Steene-johannessen J, Brown WJ, Fagerland MW, Owen N,
- 2 Powell KE, et al. Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the
- detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-
- 4 analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. Lancet [Internet].
- 5 Elsevier Ltd; 2016;6736(16):1–10. Available from:
- 6 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30370-1
- 7 11. Whincup PH, Nightingale CM, Owen CG, Rudnicka AR, Gibb I, McKay CM,
- 8 Donin AS, Sattar N, Alberti KGMM CD. Early emergence of ethnic differences
- 9 in type 2 diabetes precursors in the UK: The Child Heart and Health Study in
- 10 England (CHASE Study). PLoS Med. 2010;7.
- 11 12. Honda T, Chen S, Yonemoto K, Kishimoto H, Chen T, Narazaki K. Sedentary
- bout durations and metabolic syndrome among working adults: a prospective
- cohort study. BMC Public Health [Internet]. BMC Public Health; 2016;16:1–9.
- 14 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3570-3
- 15 13. Edwardson CL, Henson J, Bodicoat DH, Bakrania K, Khunti K, Davies MJ, et
- al. Associations of reallocating sitting time into standing or stepping with
- 17 glucose, insulin and insulin sensitivity: a cross-sectional analysis of adults at
- 18 risk of type 2 diabetes. BMJ Open. 2017;7:1–10.
- 19 14. Altenburg TM, Niet M De, Verloigne M, Bourdeaudhuij I De, Androutsos O,
- 20 Manios Y, et al. Occurrence and duration of various operational de fi nitions of
- 21 sedentary bouts and cross-sectional associations with cardiometabolic health
- indicators: The ENERGY-project. Prev Med (Baltim) [Internet]. Elsevier B.V.;
- 23 2015;71:101–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.12.015
- 24 15. Verloigne M, Ridgers ND, Chinapaw M, Altenburg TM, Bere E, Lippevelde W
- Van, et al. Patterns of objectively measured sedentary time in 10- to 12-year-
- old Belgian children: an observational study within the ENERGY- project. BMC
- 27 Pediatr. BMC Pediatrics; 2017;17(147):1–10.
- 28 16. Altenburg TM, Chinapaw MJM. Bouts and breaks in children's sedentary time:
- currently used operational definitions and recommendations for future research.
- 30 Prev Med (Baltim) [Internet]. Elsevier Inc.; 2015;77:1–3. Available from:
- 31 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0091743515001462
- 32 17. Abbott R a., Straker LM, Mathiassen SE. Patterning of children's sedentary
- time at and away from school. Obesity. 2013;21(1):2012–4.
- 34 18. Cliff DP, Jones RA, Burrows TL, Morgan PJ, Collins CE, Baur LA, et al.
- Volumes and Bouts of Sedentary Behavior and Physical Activity: Associations
- with Cardiometabolic Health in Obese Children. Pediatr Obes.
- 37 2014;22(5):112–8.
- 38 19. Ridgers ND, Salmon J, Ridley K, Connell EO, Arundell L, Timperio A.

- 1 Agreement between activPAL and ActiGraph for assessing children 's
- 2 sedentary time. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act [Internet]. BioMed Central Ltd;
- 3 2012;9(1):15. Available from: http://www.ijbnpa.org/content/9/1/15
- 4 20. Clemes S a., Barber SE, Bingham DD, Ridgers ND, Fletcher E, Pearson N, et
- 5 al. Reducing children's classroom sitting time using sit-to-stand desks: findings
- from pilot studies in UK and Australian primary schools. J Public Health
- 7 (Bangkok) [Internet]. 2015;1–8. Available from:
- 8 http://jpubhealth.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/pubmed/fdv084
- 9 21. Ridgers ND, Timperio A, Cerin E, Salmon J. Within- and between-day
- 10 associations between children 's sitting and physical activity time. BMC Public
- Health [Internet]. BMC Public Health; 2015;15:1–7. Available from:
- 12 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2291-3
- 13 22. Adrienne R Hughes, David J Muggeridge, Ann-Marie Gibson, Avril Johnstone
- 14 AK. Objectively Measured Sedentary Time in Children and Their Parents
- 15 Adrienne. AIMS public Heal. 2016;3(4):823–36.
- 16 23. Wafa W, Aziz NN, Shahril MR, Halib H, Rahim M, Janssen X. Measuring the
- Daily Activity of Lying Down, Sitting, Standing and Stepping of Obese
- 18 Children Using the ActivPAL TM Activity Monitor. J Trop Pediatr. 2017;63:98–
- 19 103.
- 20 24. Owen CG, Nightingale CM, Rudnicka AR, Cook DG. Ethnic and gender
- 21 differences in physical activity levels among 9 10-year-old children of white
- European, South Asian and African Caribbean origin: the Child Heart
- 23 Health Study in England (CHASE Study). 2009;1082–93.
- 24 25. Government M of housing communities and local. English indices of
- deprivation [Internet]. 2015. Available from: http://imd-by-
- 26 postcode.opendatacommunities.org/
- 27 26. Wright J, Small N, Raynor P, Tuffnell D, Bhopal R, Cameron N, et al. Cohort
- 28 Profile: The Born in Bradford multi-ethnic family cohort study. 2013;(October
- 29 2012):978–91.
- 30 27. Ridley K, Ridgers ND, Salmon J. Criterion validity of the activPAL TM and
- ActiGraph for assessing children's sitting and standing time in a school
- 32 classroom setting. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act [Internet]. International Journal of
- Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity; 2016;1–5. Available from:
- 34 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12966-016-0402-x
- 35 28. Janssen X, Basterfield L, Parkinson KN, Pearce MS, Reilly JK, Adamson AJ,
- et al. Objective measurement of sedentary behavior: impact of non-wear time
- rules on changes in sedentary time. BMC Public Health. ???; 2015;1–6.
- 38 29. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd ed.

- 1 Erlbaum: Hillsdale, NJ; 1988.
- 2 30. Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, Buchowski MS, Beech BM, Pate RR, et
- 3 al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003-
- 4 2004. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;167(7):875–81.
- 5 31. Thorp AA, Healy GN, Winkler E, Clark BK, Gardiner PA, Owen N, et al.
- 6 Prolonged sedentary time and physical activity in workplace and non-work
- 7 contexts: a cross- sectional study of office, customer service and call centre
- 8 employees. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2012;1–9.
- 9 32. Riso E, Kull M, Mooses K, Hannus A, Jürimäe J. Objectively measured
- 10 physical activity levels and sedentary time in 7 9-year-old Estonian
- schoolchildren: independent associations with body composition parameters.
- 12 BMC Public Health [Internet]. BMC Public Health; 2016;16:1–10. Available
- 13 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3000-6
- 14 33. Harrison F, Goodman A, Sluijs EMF Van, Andersen LB, Cardon G, Davey R,
- et al. Weather and children 's physical activity; how and why do relationships
- vary between countries? Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. International Journal of
- 17 Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity; 2017;14(74):1–13.
- 18 34. Hinckson E, Salmon J, Benden M, Clemes SA, Sudholz B, Barber SE, et al.
- 19 Standing Classrooms: Research and Lessons Learned from Around the World.
- 20 Sport Med [Internet]. Springer International Publishing; 2016;46(7):977–87.
- 21 Available from: "http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0436-2
- 22 35. Hegarty LM, Murphy MH, Mair JL, Kirby K, Murtagh E. School-based
- 23 Interventions to Reduce Sedentary Behaviour in Children: A Systematic
- 24 Review. AIMS public Heal. 2016;3(3):520–41.
- 25 36. Teatske M. Altenburg* JKH and MJMC. Effectiveness of intervention strategies
- 26 exclusively targeting reductions in children's sedentary time: a systematic
- 27 review of the literature. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2016;13(65).
- 28 37. Robinson TN. Reducing Children's Television Viewing to Prevent ObesityA
- 29 Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA. 1999;282(16):1561–1567.
- 30 38. Verloigne M, Ridgers ND, Chinapaw M, Altenburg T, Bere E, Berntsen S,
- Cardon G, Brug J, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Van Lippevelde W ML. The UP4FUN
- intervention effect on breaking up sedentary time in 10- to 12-year-old Belgian
- children: The ENERGY Project. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2016;27(November
- 34 2014):234–42.
- 35 39. Vaughn, L.M., Wagner, E. and Jacquez F. A review of community-based
- participatory research in child health. MCN Am J Matern Nursing,.
- 37 2013;38(1):48–53.

RESULTS

Table I. Sample characteristics by ethnicity and sex

	British South Asian			White British			Mixed ethnicity			Total sample		
	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total
N	29	26	55	8	10	18	3	3	6	40	39	79
Proportion of ethnic group, %	52.7	47.3		44.4	55.6		50.0	50.0				
Proportion of total sample, %	36.7	32.9	69.6	10.1	12.7	22.8	3.8	3.8	7.6	50.6	49.4	100.0

Table II. Time spent sitting in total and in different bout lengths and comparisons during different times of the week. Data presented as mean (SD) unless stated otherwise.

	School	day	Weekend day		Difference, P (Effect size, d)	At school		After school		Difference, P (Effect size, d)
Number of valid days ^a ‡	5.2	(1.2)	1.9	(0.3)		5.2	(1.2)	5.2	(1.2)	
Wear time, mins/d †	910.7	(82.9)	956.2	(51.0)	0.001	372.3	(29.7)	419.7	(48.2)	<0.001
Time sitting, % of wear time	67.7	(7.9)	74.0	(9.9)	<0.001 (0.7 IE)	63.0	(11.6)	69.7	(8.4)	<0.001 (0.7 IE)
Sitting, mins	† 614.0	(112.0)	† 690.7	(150.4)		227.8	(46.4)	290.2	(38.6)	

^a In total, 410 valid school days and 151 valid weekend days of activPAL data were provided.

† Data represent the median and interquartile ranges due to skewed distributions. The Wilcoxon-signed rank test was used if values were compared (see 'Difference' column) and log transformation did not normalise the distributions.

IE, intermediate effect size; SE, small effect size.

[‡] Number of valid days (wear time ≥10 hrs/d) included in the analysis.

Table III. Bout frequencies and accumulated minutes spent sitting during different times of the week. Data presented as mean (SD) unless stated otherwise.

	School day		Weekend day		At school		After s	chool		
Frequency										
5-10 minutes	† 12.6	(4.5)	† 11.0	(5.5)	5.8	(2.0)	5.5	(1.7)		
10-30 minutes	11.7	(2.3)	11.6	(4.0)	† 4.7	(1.9)	† 5.8	(1.7)		
>30 minutes	3.8	(1.0)	5.2	(1.8)	† 1.0	(0.7)	† 1.7	(0.9)		
Total accumulated minutes										
5-10 minutes	87.6	(23.5)	75.3	(28.8)	40.9	(14.3)	38.9	(11.8)		
10-30 minutes	196.3	(40.4)	196.9	(70.5)	76.9	(26.4)	97.8	(25.6)		
>30 minutes †	186.9	(79.6)	281.6	(138.2)	43.5	(33.7)	83.4	(51.6)		

[†] Values represent the median and interquartile ranges due to skewed data.

Table IV. Proportion of wear time spent sitting in different bout lengths and comparisons between different times of the week. Data presented as mean (SD) unless stated otherwise.

							Difference, P							Difference, P
	School day			Weekend day			(Effect size, At school			After school			(Effect size,	
							d)							d)
Wear time, mins	910.7			956.2				372.3			419.7			
5-10 minutes, %	9.6	(2.5)		8.2	(3.0)		<0.001 (0.5 IE)	11.3	(3.7)		9.3	(2.8)		<0.001 (0.6 IE)
10-30 minutes, %	21.6	(5.0)		21.4	(7.3)		NS	21.3	(7.3)		23.5	(6.1)		<0.05 (0.3 SE)
>30 minutes, % *	20.4	19.5 22.0	-	28.3	27.1 33.1	-	<0.001	11.3	10.0 13.5	-	19.0	16.4 22.2	-	<0.001

^{*}Mean value and confidence intervals taken from log transformed data which were then back transformed. Data compared using paired tests.

^b Effect sizes not calculated due to median and interquartile range reported for minute data.

IE, intermediate effect size; NS, not significant.