TR/02/84

March 1984

"The best possible estimates for polynomial norms on certain L^P—spaces."

I. Sarantopoulos

ABSTRACT

We disprove a conjecture of Harris [5] by showing that if \wedge is a symmetric m-lineer form on an $L^p_{\mathfrak{u}}$ space and $\hat{\wedge}$ is the associated polynomial then

$$\|\wedge\|\leq \frac{m^{m/p}}{m!}\|\hat{\wedge}\|$$

for $1 \le p \le m'$. In general this inequality cannot be improved.

Notation

Throughout this paper K denotes either the field of complex numbers \mathbf{C} or the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} . If the field is not specified the results are valid in both cases, $K = \mathbb{R}$ and $K = \mathbf{C}$.

If $1 \le p \le \infty$, we denote the conjugate exponent by p'. Thus

$$\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{P'} = 1$$
.

If (X, A, m) is a measure space we shall write L^p_{μ} for the Banach space of all A-measurable functions f: $X \to K$ for which $||f||_p < \infty$ where

$$\| f \|_{p} = \left(\int_{x} |f|^{p} d\mu \right)^{1/p} \quad (1 \le p < \infty)$$

and $\|f\|_{\infty}$ is the infimum of those non-negative numbers M such that

$$\{x \in X : |f(x)| > M\}$$

is μ -null set.

If μ is the counting measure on a set X, we denote the corresponding L^p_μ -space by ℓ^p if X is countable. An element of ℓ^p may be regarded as a complex sequence $x=(\xi_n\)$, and

$$\| x \|_p = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n|^p \right\}^{1/p} \quad .$$

If A is a Banach space a function f: $X \rightarrow A$ is strongly measurable if it is Borel measurable and has a separable range. (The range of f is the subset f(X) of A). Of course, a simple function is strongly measurable if and only if it is Borel measurable.

A function f: $X \to A$ is integrable (or Bochner integrable) if it is strongly measurable and the function $x \to ||f(x)||_A$ is integrable. By $L^p_{\mu}(A) = L^p(X, d\mu; A)$ we denote the space of all strongly measurable functions f such that

$$\int_{x} \|f(x)\|_{A} d\mu(x) < \infty$$

where $1 \le p < \infty$, We denote by L_{μ}^{∞} (A) - L^{∞} (X, $d\mu$; A) the completion in the sup-norm of all simple functions

$$s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{E_i}(x)$$
 , $a_i \in A$

where X_{E_i} is the characteristic function of the set E_i . The completion in L^{∞}_{μ} (A) of the functions of the above norm with $m(E_i) < \infty$ for every i = 1, ..., n is denoted by $L^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$ (A).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E and F be vector spaces over K. We write E^m for the product $E \times E \times ... \times E$ with m factors. An m-linear mapping $\Lambda: E^m \to F$ is said to be symmetric if

$$\Lambda \quad (\mathbf{x}_1 \quad ,..., \quad \mathbf{x}_m) = \Lambda \quad (\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(1)} \qquad .,..., \quad \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(m)})$$

for any $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in E$ and any permutation σ of the first m natural numbers.

Let $\mathcal{L}_m(E,F)$ ($\mathcal{L}_m^s(E,F)$) denote the space of all (symmetric) m-linear mappings $\Lambda : E^m \to F$ and define

$$\hat{\Lambda}$$
 (x) = \wedge (x,...,x).

A mapping $P: E \to F$ is said to be a homogeneous polynomial of degree m if $P = \hat{\Lambda}$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_m$ (E,F), and it is said to be a polynomial of degree m if

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^{m} P_i , P_m \neq 0$$

where $P_i : E \to F$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i for i = 1,...,m and $P_0 : E \to F$ is a constant mapping.

If Λ is a 2-linear $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$ -valued mapping on $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists an $m \times m$ matrix A such that $\Lambda (x,y = xAy^t)$ for all $x = (x_1...,x_m) \in \ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}^m$ and all $y = (y_1...,y_m) \in \ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}^m$. If $A = (a_{ij}) \underset{1 \leq j \leq m}{1 \leq j \leq m}$ then $\Lambda (x, y) = \sum_{i, j=1}^m a_{ij} x_i y_j$.

Hence any \mathbf{C} —valued homogeneous polynomial P of degree 2, P : $\mathbf{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ has the well known form

$$P(x) = \Lambda (x, x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} a_{ij} x_i x_j .$$

This explains the terminology.

If X,Y are normed linear spaces over K we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\Lambda}\| &= \sup \{ ||\hat{\Lambda}|(x)|| : ||x|| \le 1 \} \\ \|\Lambda\| &- \sup \{ ||\Lambda|(x_1, ..., x_m)|| : ||x_j|| \le 1 \quad (j = 1, ..., m) \} \\ &\in \mathscr{L}^{S}_{m} (X|X) \end{aligned}$$

for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{s}}(X,Y)$.

Martin [8] proved that

$$\|\hat{\Lambda}\| \le \|\Lambda\| \le \frac{\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \|\hat{\Lambda}\|$$
(1)

thus answering a question of Mazur and Orlicz in the Scottish Book [9].

Harris [5] has proved that if X is an L^p_{μ} space with $1 \le p \le \infty$ and m is a power of 2, then

$$\|\Lambda\| \le \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!}\right) \frac{|\mathbf{p}-2|}{\mathbf{p}} \|\hat{\Lambda}\|$$

(2)

for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ (X, \mathfrak{C}). Harris also conjectured that (2) holds for all positive integers m and that the constant given is best possible when $l \leq p \leq 2$. If p=1 then the constant $\frac{\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{m}!}$ is the best possible [4]. In fact there exists $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ (ℓ^{1}, \mathfrak{C}) such that $\|\Lambda\| = \frac{\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{m}!} \|\hat{\Lambda}\|$.

p = 2 inequality (2) gives $\|\Lambda\| = \|\hat{\Lambda}\|$ for every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ $(L_{\mathfrak{u}}^{2}, \mathfrak{C})$. If This is in fact a result of S. Banach, Banach [1] showed in 1938 that if H is a real Hilbert space and F a real Banach space $\|\Lambda\| = \|\hat{\Lambda}\|$ for every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_m^s$ (H,F) , For expositions see then [3] and [5] or [4], Banach's result also holds if H is a complex Hilbert space and F a complex Banach space. Dineen [4] states incorrectly that the problem for complex Hilbert spaces is open. In fact the proof he gives for real Hilbert spaces works just as well for complex Hilbert spaces. Harris [5] proved that if $p = \infty$ then (3)

for every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ $(L_{\mu}^{\infty}, \mathfrak{c})$.

A. Tonge [10] has given another proof of this result and in the case

m = 2 he has examples which show that the result cannot be much improved. In this paper we prove that the constant given in (2) is not the best possible when $1 \le p \le 2$ and we give the best possible constant when $1 \le p \le m'$. Our first result is an inequality due to L. Williams [11]. We shall give a simpler proof using an extension of the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem.

The n-th Rademacher function $r_n(t)$ is defined on [0,1] by $r_n(t) = sign \sin 2^n \pi t$. The Rademacher functions $\{r_n\}$ form an orthonormal set in $L^2([0,1], dt)$ where dt denotes Lebesgue measure on [0,1]. The classical Clarkson inequality, which is a generalization of the Hilbert space parallelogram law, asserts that if f_1 , $f_2 \in L^p_u$ for 1 then

$$\|f_{1} + f_{2}\|_{p}^{p'} + \|f_{1} - f_{2}\|_{p}^{p'} \le 2\left[\|f_{1}\|_{p}^{p} + \|f_{2}\|_{p}^{p}\right]^{p'/p}$$

<u>Theorem 1</u>. (A generalized Clarkson inequality for 1).

Let $f_{1,...,} f_{m} \in L^{p}_{\mu}$ for 1 . Then $<math display="block">\left(\int_{0}^{1} |r_{1}(t)| f_{1} + ... + r_{m}(t) |f_{m}||_{p}^{p'} dt\right)^{1/p} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} ||f_{i}||_{p}^{p}\right)^{1/p}$ (4)

where $r_i(t)$, i = 1, ..., m is the i-th Rademacher function.

Observe that when m = 2 we recover Clarkson's original inequality in a slightly disguised form. The second topic of this paper involves a polarization formula.

Theorem 2. (Polarization formula)

If X and Y are vector spaces over K , $\Lambda\in \pounds_m^s$ (X,Y) and $x_{1,,.}$, $x_m \in X$ then

$$\Lambda (x_{1},...,x_{m}) = \frac{1}{m!} \int_{0}^{1} r_{1}(t) \dots t_{m}(t) \hat{\Lambda} (r_{1}(t) x_{1} + \dots + r_{m}(t) x_{m}) dt$$
(5)
where $r_{i}(t)$, $i = 1,...,m$ is the i-th Rademacher function.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 3

Let X be an
$$L^{p}_{\mu}$$
 space with $1 \le p \le m'$. Then
 $\|\Lambda\| \le \frac{m^{m/p}}{m!} \|\hat{\Lambda}\|$ (6)

for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{S}}$ (X,K).

The following example shows that the constant given in (6) cannot be improved. It is based on an argument in Dineen's book [4].

Example

Consider the real or complex sequence space ℓ^p where the norm of $x = (x_i)$ is given by

$$\| \mathbf{x} \|_{p} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} | \mathbf{x}_{i} |^{p} \right\}^{1/p} < \infty$$

Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{s}}$ $(\ell^{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{K})$ be defined by

$$\Lambda (x^1, \dots, x^m) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_m} x_1^{\sigma(1)} \dots x_m^{\sigma(m)}$$

(7)

where $x_i = (x_n^i)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for i = 1, ..., m and S_m is the set of permutations of the first m natural number, If $e^i = (\delta_k^i)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, i = 1, ..., m where

$$\delta_{k}^{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & , & i = k \\ 0 & , & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then $e^i \in \ell^p$ and

$$\Lambda (e^1, \ldots, e^m) = \frac{1}{m!}$$

and so $\|\,\Lambda\,\|\ \geq\ \frac{1}{m!}$.

on the other hand $|\hat{\Lambda}(x)| = |\Lambda(x, ..., x)| = |x_1 ... x_m|$

$$= \left\{ \left(\ | \ x_1 | \ ^p \ \dots | \ x_m | \ ^p \ > \ ^{1/m} \right\} \frac{m/p}{m} \le \left(\frac{\left| \ x_1 | \ ^p \ \dots + | \ x_m | \ ^p}{m} \right)^{m/p} \right)^{m/p} \text{ by the familiar}$$

inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means of m positive numbers.

•

so

$$\|\hat{\Lambda}\| = \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|} |\Lambda(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{1}{m^{m/p}}$$

Thus for the symmetric m-linear form A defined by (7) we have

$$\| \Lambda \| \leq \frac{m^{m/p}}{m!} \| \hat{\Lambda} \|$$

2. <u>THE PROOFS</u>

Proof of theorem 1

We shall write ℓ_m^p for the vector space of all m-tuples x = $(x_1...,x_m)$ equipped with the norm

$$||\mathbf{x}||_{p} = (|\mathbf{x}_{1}|^{p} + \ldots + |\mathbf{x}_{m}|^{p})^{1/p} \quad (1 \le p < \infty).$$

consider the linear operator T : $\ell_m^2 (L_\mu^2) \rightarrow L_{dt}^2 (L_\mu^2)$ defined by

 $T : f = (f_1, \dots f_m) \rightarrow r_1 (t) f_1 + \dots + r_m(t) f_m (*)$ where $f_i \in L^2_{\mu}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $r_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ is the i-th Rademacher function.

Then
$$|| \operatorname{Tf} || = \left(\int_{0}^{1} || \mathbf{r}_{1}(t) \mathbf{f}_{1} + \ldots + \mathbf{r}_{m}(t) \mathbf{f}_{m} ||_{2}^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{X} || \mathbf{r}_{1}(t) \mathbf{f}_{1}(x) + \ldots + \mathbf{r}_{m}(t) \mathbf{f}_{m}(x) |^{2} du(x) \right) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_{X} \left(\int_{0}^{1} || \mathbf{r}_{1}(t) \mathbf{f}_{1}(x) + \ldots + \mathbf{r}_{m}(t) \mathbf{f}_{m}(x) |^{2} dt \right) du(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
by Fubini's therem
$$= \left\{ \int_{X} \left(|| \mathbf{f}_{1}(x) |^{2} + \ldots + || \mathbf{f}_{m}(x) |^{2}) du(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

by the orthonormality of the Rademacher functions

$$\begin{split} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ \| \ f_i \ \|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ . \\ &\text{so} \qquad \left(\int_0^1 \ \| \ r_1(t) \ f_1 \ + \ ... \ + \ r_m(t) \ f_m \ \|_2^2 dt \ \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = M_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ \| \ f_i \ \|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\text{where} \quad M_0 \ = \ 1 \ . \qquad \text{Now we consider the linear operator} \\ & T \ : \ \ell_m^1 \ (L_\mu^1) \ \to \ L_{dt \ ,0}^\infty \ (L_\mu^1) \\ &\text{defined as in} \quad (*) \ \text{where} \quad f_i \ \in \ \ L_\mu^1 \ . \\ &\text{Then} \qquad \qquad \| \ Tf \ \| \ = \ \sup_t \ \| \ r_1 \ (t) \ f_1 \ + \ ... \ + \ r_m \ (t) \ f_m \ \|_1 \\ &\quad \le \ \sup_t \ \left\{ | \ r_1 \ (t) \ \| \ f_1 \ \| \ 1 \ + \ ... \ + \ r_m \ (t) \ \| \ f_m \ \|_1 \right\} \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ \| \ f_i \ \| \ 1 \ . \\ &\text{so} \qquad \qquad \sup_t \ \| \ r_1 \ (t) \ f_1 \ + \ ... \ + \ r_m \ (t)f_m \ \| \ 1 \ \le \ M_1 \ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ \| \ f_i \ \| \ 1 \ M_1 \ M$$

Thus from theorems 4.1.2, 5.1.1, and 5.1.2 of [2] we conclude that

 $\mathrm{T}:\ell^{\,p}_{\,m}\,(\mathrm{L}^{q}_{\,\mu}\,) \ \rightarrow \ \mathrm{L}^{r}_{\,dt}\,(\mathrm{L}^{s}_{\,\mu}\,)$ $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1-t}{2} + \frac{t}{1}$, $\frac{1}{a} = \frac{1-t}{2} + \frac{t}{1}$, where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-t}{2}$, $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1-t}{2} + \frac{t}{1}$ for 0 < t < 1. Hence if $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-t}{2} + t = \frac{1+t}{2}$, 0 < t < 1 then q = s = p, r = p'. consequent ly the linear operator $T: \ell^p_m(L^p_\mu) \rightarrow L^{p'}_{dt}(L^p_\mu)$ has norm $M \leq M_0^{1-t} M_1^t = 1$ i.e. $||Tf|| \leq ||f||$ which implies (4).

Proof of theorem 2

we have
$$\int_0^1 r_1(t) \dots r_m(t) \hat{\Lambda}(r_1(t)x_1 + \dots + r_m(t)x_m) dt$$

 $\int_0^1 r_1(t) \dots r_m(t) \Lambda (r_1(t)x_1 + \dots + \underbrace{r_m(t)x_m, \dots, r_1(t)x_1}_{m} + \dots + r_m(t)x_m) dt$

since $\int_0^1 \frac{k_1}{r_1}(t) \cdot \frac{k_2}{r_2}(t) \cdots \frac{k_m}{r_m}(t) dt$ is zero unless all the $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are even, in which case the integral is 1, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1} r_{1}(t) \dots r_{m}(t) \hat{\Lambda}(r_{1}(t)x_{1} + \dots + r_{m}(t)x_{m}) dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} r_{1}(t) \dots r_{m}(t) \Lambda (r_{1}(t)x_{1}, r_{2}(t)x_{2}, \dots, r_{m}(t)x_{m}) dt + \dots \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} r_{1}(t) \dots r_{m}(t) \Lambda(r_{m}(t)x_{m}, r_{m-1}(t)x_{m-1}, \dots, r_{1}(t)x_{1}) dt \\ &= m! \int_{0}^{1} r_{1}^{2}(t) \dots r_{m}^{2}(t) \Lambda (x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) dt \\ &= m! \Lambda (x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) . \end{split}$$

We have used the fact that the m-linear mapping Λ is symmetric.

Proof of theorem 3

For $\Lambda \in \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ (X,K) theorem 2 gives

$$\Lambda(x_{1},...,x_{m}) = \frac{1}{m!} \int_{0}^{1} r_{1}(t) \dots r_{m}(t) \hat{\Lambda} (r_{1}(t) x_{1} + \dots + r_{m}(t) x_{m}) dt$$

Since X is an L^p_{μ} space we have

$$|\Lambda (x_{1}, ..., x_{m})| \frac{1}{m!} \int_{0}^{1} |\hat{\Lambda} (r_{1}(t)x_{1} + ... + r_{m}(t)x_{m}| dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m!} ||\hat{\Lambda}|| \int_{0}^{1} ||r_{1}(t)x_{1} + ... + r_{m}(t)x_{m}||_{p}^{m} dt$$
(8)

for x_1 , . . . , $x_m \in L^p_\mu$. But $m' \leq 2$ since $m \geq 2$ and so fort 1 (4) holds .

Now $1 implies <math>p' \ge m$ and thus Holder's inequality gives $\int_0^1 ||r_1(t)x_1 + \dots + r_m(t)x_m||_p^m dt \le \left\{\int_0^1 ||r_1(t)x_1 + \dots + r_m(t)x_m||_p^{p'} dt\right\} {}^{m/p'}$ (9)

Now applying (4) we have from (8) and (9) that

$$|\Lambda(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)| \le \frac{1}{m!} \|\hat{\Lambda}\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_p^p\right) m/p$$

This inequality proves (6).

REFERENCES

- 1. S. Banach, Über homogene polynome in (L^2) , Studia Math. 7 (1938).
- 2. J, Bergh, J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction. Springer (Grundlehren der Math. Band 223). Berlin 1976.
- 3. J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, Polynomials and multilinear mappings in topological vector spaces. Studia Math., 39, 1971,pp. 59-76.
- 4. S. Dineen, Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces. North Holland (Mathematics Studies, Vol. 57) Amsterdam 1981.
- L.A. Harris, Bounds on the derivatives of holomorphic functions of vectors, Colloque d'analyse, Rio de Janeiro, 1972. (Ed, L. Nachbin) Herman Paris, Act. Sc. et. Ind., 1367 (1975), pp. 145-163,
- 6. T.L. Hayden and J.H. Wells, On the extension of Lipschitz-Hölder maps of order β . J.Math.Anal. Appl. 33, 1971, pp.627-640.
- 7. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces I,

Springer (Ergebrisse der Math. Band 92), Berlin 1977.

- 8. R.S.Martin, Thesis Cal, Inst, of Tech., 1932.
- 9. The Scottish Book (Mathematics from the Scottish Café). Ed. R.D. Mauldin, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1981
- 10. A.M. Tonge, Polarisation and the complex Grothendieck inequality, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philosophical Society, to appear March 1984
- 11. L. Williams and J. Wells, L^p inequalities, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 64, 1978, pp. 518-529.