

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	Visualizing the Spatial Relationship of the Genome with the Nuclear Envelope Using Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization	
Copyright Year	2017	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media New York	
Author	Family Name	Clements
	Particle	
	Given Name	Craig S.
	Suffix	
	Division	Division of Biosciences, College of Life and Health Sciences
	Organization/University	Brunel University London
	Street	Uxbridge
	City	London
	Postcode	UB8 3PH
	Country	UK
Author	Family Name	Bikkul
	Particle	
	Given Name	Ural
	Suffix	
	Division	Division of Biosciences, College of Life and Health Sciences
	Organization/University	Brunel University London
	Street	Uxbridge
	City	London
	Postcode	UB8 3PH
	Country	UK
Author	Family Name	Ahmed
	Particle	
	Given Name	Mai Hassan
	Suffix	
	Division	Division of Biosciences, College of Life and Health Sciences
	Organization/University	Brunel University London
	Street	Uxbridge

	City	London
	Postcode	UB8 3PH
	Country	UK
Author	Family Name	Foster
	Particle	
	Given Name	Helen A.
	Suffix	
	Division	Division of Biosciences, College of Life and Health Sciences
	Organization/University	Brunel University London
	Street	Uxbridge
	City	London
	Postcode	UB8 3PH
	Country	UK
Author	Family Name	Godwin
	Particle	
	Given Name	Lauren S.
	Suffix	
	Division	Division of Biosciences, College of Life and Health Sciences
	Organization/University	Brunel University London
	Street	Uxbridge
	City	London
	Postcode	UB8 3PH
	Country	UK
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Bridger
	Particle	
	Given Name	Joanna M.
	Suffix	
	Division	Division of Biosciences, College of Life and Health Sciences
	Organization/University	Brunel University London
	Street	Uxbridge
	City	London

Postcode	UB8 3PH
Country	UK
Email	Joanna.bridger@brunel.ac.uk

Abstract The genome has a special relationship with the nuclear envelope in cells. Much of the genome is anchored at the nuclear periphery, tethered by chromatin binding proteins such nuclear lamins and other integral membrane proteins. Even though there are global assays such as DAM-ID or ChIP to assess what parts of the genome are associated with the nuclear envelope, it is also essential to be able to visualize regions of the genome in order to reveal their individual relationships with nuclear structures in single cells. This is executed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) in 2-dimensional flattened nuclei (2D-FISH) or 3-dimensionally preserved cells (3D-FISH) in combination with indirect immunofluorescence to reveal structural proteins. This chapter explains the protocols for 2D- and 3D-FISH in combination with indirect immunofluorescence and discusses options for image capture and analysis. Due to the nuclear envelope proteins being part of the non-extractable nucleoskeleton, we also describe how to prepare DNA halos through salt extraction and how they can be used to study genome behavior and association when combined with 2D-FISH.

Keywords (separated by “ - ”) Fluorescence in situ hybridization - 2D-FISH - 3D-FISH - Genome organization - Chromosome territories - Gene positioning - Nuclear envelope - Nuclear lamins

Visualizing the Spatial Relationship of the Genome with the Nuclear Envelope Using Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization 2 3 4

Craig S. Clements, Ural Bikkul, Mai Hassan Ahmed, Helen A. Foster, 5
Lauren S. Godwin, and Joanna M. Bridger 6

Abstract 7

The genome has a special relationship with the nuclear envelope in cells. Much of the genome is anchored 8
at the nuclear periphery, tethered by chromatin binding proteins such nuclear lamins and other integral 9
membrane proteins. Even though there are global assays such as DAM-ID or ChIP to assess what parts of 10
the genome are associated with the nuclear envelope, it is also essential to be able to visualize regions of 11
the genome in order to reveal their individual relationships with nuclear structures in single cells. This is 12
executed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) in 2-dimensional flattened nuclei (2D-FISH) or 13
3-dimensionally preserved cells (3D-FISH) in combination with indirect immunofluorescence to reveal 14
structural proteins. This chapter explains the protocols for 2D- and 3D-FISH in combination with indirect 15
immunofluorescence and discusses options for image capture and analysis. Due to the nuclear envelope 16
proteins being part of the non-extractable nucleoskeleton, we also describe how to prepare DNA halos 17
through salt extraction and how they can be used to study genome behavior and association when com- 18
bined with 2D-FISH. 19

Key words Fluorescence in situ hybridization, 2D-FISH, 3D-FISH, Genome organization, 20
Chromosome territories, Gene positioning, Nuclear envelope, Nuclear lamins 21

1 Introduction 22

Chromosomes and genes are spatially organized within inter- 23
phase nuclei, interacting with proteinaceous nuclear structures 24
which anchor and tether chromatin [1, 2]. Global methodolo- 25
gies, such as DAM-ID, utilizing the ease of sequencing DNA, can 26
assess the spatial relationship of the whole genome with specific 27
nuclear structures such as nuclear lamins [3–5]. These analyses 28
bring useful information to the field, however as studies are data- 29
rich and expensive, they might be excluded as a method of choice 30
if a large number of samples are required (e.g., steps in a differ- 31
entiation pathway or large numbers of patient samples). 32

33 Furthermore, using cells in mixed populations will result in aver-
34 aged information and the genome, for example, can be positioned
35 differently in proliferating versus non-proliferating cells, e.g., in a
36 primary cell culture [6]. Real-time imaging in live cells [7, 8] can
37 also be an informative approach to visualize genome interaction
38 with nuclear structures; however, this may present challenges [9].
39 Therefore, despite global and real-time analyses, there is a neces-
40 sity to analyze individual cells by fluorescence in situ hybridiza-
41 tion (FISH) to reveal specific regions of interest in the genome,
42 and analyze their spatial relationship to specific nuclear structures
43 such as the nuclear envelope [10, 11].

44 FISH is a cytogenetic technique that utilizes labeled DNA or
45 RNA probes to hybridize to specific DNA sequences on chromo-
46 somes, or to RNA [12, 13]. It is possible to delineate whole chro-
47 mosomes, chromosome arms, chromosome bands, gene loci,
48 specific regions of genes or RNA transcripts [14], and analyze their
49 spatial relationship with other FISH signals or nuclear landmarks
50 such as the geometric center of nuclei, nucleoli, or the nuclear
51 envelope. The nuclear envelope can be revealed by indirect immu-
52 nofluorescence using antibodies [15–17], but the FISH denatur-
53 ation process can negatively affect nuclear envelope antigens,
54 especially A-type lamins. The use of formamide for DNA denatur-
55 ation can also destroy the signal from fluorescently tagged proteins
56 but antibodies that recognize the tag itself can be used [18].
57 Indirect immunofluorescence can be done prior to the FISH, by
58 fixing the antigen–antibody complexes in place with paraformalde-
59 hyde; in this scenario, the nuclear envelope can still be revealed
60 with specific antibodies [18–22]. However, the simplest way of
61 revealing the nuclear edge is by using a DNA stain and where it
62 ends is where the edge of the nucleus is; this is however as long as
63 nuclei have maintained their integrity and shape during the proce-
64 dure [23–26]. Use of phase contrast microscopy or lipophilic dyes
65 can be useful, in cases where the nuclear envelope is compromised,
66 to reveal the nuclear membranes. Abnormal nuclear structures
67 such as blebs can create issues when defining a nuclear edge since
68 they could be defined as an extension of the nuclear envelope or as
69 a separate entity [27].

70 For 2D-FISH, whereby nuclei are flattened, a standard epi-
71 fluorescence microscope with a cooled charge coupled device
72 camera will suffice for visualization and imaging of FISH signals.
73 However, for 3D-FISH, microscopy requires optical sections
74 through the z-axis, either using a confocal laser scanning micro-
75 scope or other microscope systems with a motorized stage or
76 piezo-driven objectives. The 3D datasets then need to be pro-
77 cessed through deconvolution packages to remove out of focus
78 fluorescence. There are new opportunities to visualize FISH sig-
79 nals within interphase nuclei using super-resolution microscopy
80 such as 3D-Structural Illumination Microscopy (3D-SIM) [16] or

high-throughput flow imaging [28, 29]. The high power lenses that can be added to imaging flow machines, combined with the extended depth of field, enable software to be developed to perform positional analyses of chromosomes and genes in millions of individual interphase nuclei for one sample in 3D. Individual cells can then be sub-categorized into for example proliferating or not, differentiated or not, transgenic or not, by co-staining with specific markers. A further advancement is in high-throughput / high-resolution imaging, an approach called “Deep Imaging”: there, individual cells are imaged at high resolution by automated software and FISH signals positioned by automated analysis software built into the system [30, 32].

Analyzing the position of genes, sub-chromosomal regions or whole chromosomes within interphase nuclei requires the capability to be able to perform measurements and approaches that place FISH signals into specific regions of the nucleus. In 3D, this is usually done using the reconstructed stack of optical sections or a gallery of the 2D optical sections to measure to the nearest point at the nuclear edge in any of the x, y, z axes, or by taking the measurement to the geometric center of the nucleus and expressing this a percentage of the radius. 3D radial analysis packages have been developed [17, 31, 32] to perform measurements between nuclear landmarks and FISH signals, or between FISH signals [33, 34]. Freely available software to position genes and chromosomes includes Smart 3D-FISH [35] and NEMO [36]. However, many laboratories use 2D preparations to perform their spatial positioning analyses since similar nuclear positions for genes and chromosomes are found consistently in 3D, confirming that positional analyses can be performed in 2D [37]. In order to position FISH signals in flattened nuclei different groups have developed their own positional analysis scripts similar to the original created by Paul Perry, MRC Human Genetics Unit, Edinburgh [38], whereby the nucleus is segmented into 5 shells of equal area and the intensity of the FISH signal and DNA signal from the DNA dye DAPI are measured [38–40]. The DNA signal intensity is used to normalize the FISH signal data. Others do not perform any normalization for DNA content across the flattened nuclei but use specific measurements to nuclear landmarks such as the nuclear edge and the nuclear center [41]. With such 2D studies, it is imperative that the data be comparable between samples since they do not give absolute position but an averaged probabilistic position.

By using FISH and delineation of the nuclear envelope or other nuclear structures, it is possible to determine which parts of the genome are colocalized or spatially close to nuclear structures such as the nuclear lamina, nuclear pores and other nuclear components. This chapter outlines methods for 2D- and 3D-FISH in combination with indirect immunofluorescence, and discusses the

128
129
130
131

value of 2D vs 3D analyses. We also include methods for the DNA halo extraction protocol combined with 2D-FISH, making it possible to gather data about which regions of the genome are embedded or attached to insoluble nuclear structures (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1 The composite figure displays images from 2D-FISH protocol to visualize chromosome territories in panel A (*red* and *green*) and gene loci in panel B (*green*). The nucleus is counterstained with DAPI to reveal DNA. This allows the edge of the nucleus to be delineated easily allowing for erosion scripts (*panel A*) to define the edge of the nucleus, as is shown by the cartoon in *panel A*. *Panel B* displays gene loci FISH signals using a

2 Materials

132

Careful selection of probe sequence and type is required when asking questions about which parts of the genome are associated with nuclear structures. Chromosome painting probes are commercially available and ready labeled containing *Cot1* DNA for suppression of repetitive sequences [42] (*see Note 1*). Templates of individual chromosomes, that have been either flow-sorted [43] by size or microdissected are available [44]. Labeling of original template is normally performed by degenerate oligo primer-PCR [45]. Specific centromere and telomere probes are also commercially available as are many gene probes. When performing gene positioning studies we use commercially available bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) containing the sequence of interest (*see Note 2*). The BAC DNA is released from the bacteria using a commercial kit for DNA extraction, following measurement of the amount of DNA generated. A nick-translation reaction is performed to label the BAC DNA with either biotin or digoxigenin conjugated nucleotides, performed through commercially available kits. Other methods of labeling include random priming and end labeling through PCR methods. The method for labeling probes described here is the DOP-PCR method for whole chromosome painting probes.

2.1 Two-Dimensional Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization

2.1.1 Cell Culture and Fixation

1. Specific cell medium supplemented with 10 % (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 % (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin.
2. Versene (phosphate buffered saline (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM, KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄; pH 7.4) with 0.2 % (w/v) ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; EDTA) kept refrigerated at 4 °C, pre-warmed to 37 °C.

Fig. 1 (continued) BAC probe labeled with biotin and fluorescently tagged streptavidin. One of the gene loci is localized at the nuclear edge, whereas the other maybe at the edge but it is not possible to tell with 2D-FISH and simple measurements. This is why the erosion scripts can be used with a large number of nuclei. Using 3D-FISH the positioning of a FISH signal in the *x*, *y* or *z* coordinates can be revealed by confocal laser scanning microscopy reconstruction or analysis of a gallery of 2D images as shown in the cartoon in *panel D*. Chromosome territories are drawn, that can be seen to touch the nuclear edge in the *x* and *y* planes but also the *z* plane as one of the territories in *red* is at the bottom of the nucleus. *Panel C* was kindly provided by Dr. Marion Cremer, Ludwig-Maximillan University, Munich; it shows the relationship of chromosome *X* territories (*green*) at the nuclear edge with lamin B1 (*red*) in great detail due to the use of super-resolution microscopy (3D-SIM) [16, 51]. *Panels E, F* and *G* display DNA halo images and how to delineate the residual nucleus and DNA halo (*panel E*). *Panel F* displays territories of chromosomes 17 and 18 (*red*) in proliferating primary fibroblasts (Ki67 in *green*) and in senescent fibroblasts (negative for Ki67). Chromosome 18 is seen at some distance from the residual nucleus in the DNA halo in both proliferating and senescent DNA halos. In *panel G* DNA halos are also displayed subjected to 2D-FISH with labeled BAC probes revealing gene loci signals (*red*), again Ki67 is revealed in *green*. Scale bar, 10 μm

- 159
160
161
162
163
- 0.25 % trypsin produced from a 2.5 % stock solution, which has been diluted (1:10 v/v) in Versene.
 - Fixative: methanol–acetic acid (3:1 v/v), made fresh and made ice-cold.
 - Hypotonic solution: 0.075 M KCl (*see Note 3*).
- 164 *2.1.2 Slide preparation*
165 *and Denaturation*
- Glass microscope slides that can withstand high and low temperatures.
 - 20× sodium citrate saline (SSC, 300 mM sodium citrate hydrate, 3 M NaCl).
 - Denaturation solution: 70 % (v/v) formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0 (*see Note 4*).
 - Water baths.
 - Ethanol series comprising of 70, 90, and 100 % (v/v) high quality ethanol at room temperature.
 - Glass Coplin jars that can withstand heat.
 - Oven that can be set at 70 °C.
- 166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
- 175 *2.1.3 Degenerate Oligo*
176 *Primer-PCR*
- PCR machine.
 - 5× GC Buffer containing 2 mM MgCl₂ when at 1×.
 - dACGTP mix made up in water at a concentration of 2 mM.
 - dTTP at a concentration of 2 mM.
 - Forward and reverse DOP primers at a concentration of 20 μM (CCGACTCGAGNNNNNNATGGG).
 - Taq DNA polymerase.
 - PCR grade water.
 - Template DNA—chromosome paint.
 - Biotin-16-dUTP or digoxigenin-11-dUTP.
 - Agarose.
 - Agarose mini gel kit.
 - DNA ladder—containing bands at 500 bp and lower.
 - TAE buffer.
- 177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
- 189 *2.1.4 Probe Preparation*
190 *and Hybridization*
- Labeled total human chromosome or BAC DNA probes.
 - Cot1* DNA.
 - Herring sperm DNA.
 - 3 M sodium acetate.
 - 4× SSC.
 - High quality ethanol.
 - Hybridization mixture: 50 % formamide, 10 % dextran sulfate, 2× SSC, and 1 % Tween 20.
- 191
192
193
194
195
196

	8. Heating block.	197
	9. 70 % formamide and 2× SSC solution, pH 7.0.	198
	10. Humidified hybridization chamber.	199
	11. Rubber cement.	200
	12. Glass coverslips (22×22 mm).	201
	13. Glass Coplin jars (<i>see</i> Notes 5 and 6).	202
	14. Bench top centrifuge.	203
2.1.5		
<i>Washing 2D FISH</i>	1. Buffer A: 50 % (v/v) formamide, 2× SSC; pH 7.0.	204
<i>and Visualization of Probe</i>	2. Buffer B: 0.1× SSC; pH 7.0.	205
	3. Blocking solution: 4 % bovine serum albumin (BSA).	206
	4. Streptavidin conjugated to a fluorochrome.	207
	5. Antibody reacting with digoxigenin conjugated to a fluorochrome.	208 209
	6. 4× SSC solution containing 0.05 % Tween 20.	210
	7. Vectashield containing 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).	211
2.1.6		
<i>Ki67 Antigen</i>	We have found that chromosome positioning changes according to	212
<i>Marker for Proliferation</i>	whether cells are proliferating, quiescent or senescent [6, 46–48]. It	213
<i>in Primary Cells</i>	is possible to identify replicative senescent cells within a proliferating	214
	culture grown in high serum since they are anti-Ki67 negative. It is	215
	also possible to work with quiescent cells using Ki67 as a marker in	216
	a young culture containing fewer senescent cells. After 4 days, the	217
	Ki67 is no longer visible. It is also possible to observe three different	218
	stages of G1 by Ki67 patterns type Ia, type Ib, and type II [49].	219
	1. 1× PBS.	220
	2. 1× PBS containing 1 % new born calf serum.	221
	3. Anti-Ki67 antigen antibody.	222
	4. Fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibody raised against	223
	the appropriate species.	224
	5. Glass coverslips.	225
2.1.7		
<i>Microscopy</i>	1. Epifluorescence microscope equipped with a 100× lens and filter	226
<i>and Analysis</i>	sets to permit the capture of at least fluorochromes emitting	227
	photons in the blue, green, and red spectra.	228
	2. Cooled charged coupled device camera.	229
	3. Computer and program to run microscope and camera.	230
	4. Hardware and software to visualize captured images.	231
	5. Image analysis to perform measurements from FISH signal to	232
	nearest edge the nucleus or geometric center of the nucleus or	233
	erosion analyses as discussed in the Introduction.	234
	6. Programs for data handling, analysis, and presentation.	235

- 236 **2.2 Three-**
 237 **Dimensional**
 238 **Fluorescence In Situ**
 239 **Hybridization**
- 240 *2.2.1 Cell Culture*
 241 *and Fixation*
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
1. Cell medium of choice for specific cell type containing 10 % (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 % (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin.
 2. Versene (phosphate buffered saline (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM, KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄; pH 7.4) with 0.2 % (w/v) ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; EDTA) kept at 4 °C, and pre-warmed to 37 °C.
 3. 0.25 % trypsin from a 2.5 % stock solution, which has been diluted (1:10 v/v) in Versene.
 4. Chambered tissue culture dishes to hold slides.
 5. Glass microscope slides that can withstand high temperatures.
 6. 1× phosphate buffered saline.
 7. 4 % paraformaldehyde (w/v) (*see Note 7*).
 8. Permeabilization solution: 0.5 % Triton X-100 (v/v) and 0.5 % saponin (w/v) in 1× PBS.
 9. 20 % glycerol in 1× PBS solution.
 10. Liquid nitrogen.
 11. Glass Coplin jars.
- 254 *2.2.2 Probe Preparation*
and Hybridization
- See Subheading [2.1.4](#) for probe preparation for 2D FISH.
- 255 *2.2.3 Slide Preparation*
 256 *and Denaturation*
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
1. Denaturation buffer A: 70 % formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0.
 2. Denaturation buffer B: 50 % formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0.
 3. Glass coverslips (22 × 32 mm).
 4. 0.1 N HCl.
 5. 2× SSC.
 6. Coplin jars.
 7. Rubber cement.
- 262 *2.2.4 Washing and*
 263 *Visualization of Probe*
in 3D-FISH
- See Subheading [2.1.5](#) for washing and probe visualization for 2D FISH.
- 264 *2.2.5 Using Ki67*
as a Proliferation Marker
- See Subheading [2.1.6](#) for using Ki67 antibody.
- 265 *2.2.6 Microscopy*
 266 *and Analysis*
 267
 268
 269
 270
1. Fluorescence microscope capable of acquiring optical Z-Stacks with high powered lens such as a confocal laser scanning microscope (with at least two lasers), Deltavision, 3D-simulation illumination microscope, 3D-STORM [50].
 2. Software for wide-field deconvolution, 3D reconstruction, measurements in 3D.

**2.3 FISH
in Combination
with Indirect
Immunofluorescence**

The best way to visualize the nuclear envelope is by indirect immunofluorescence using antibodies to nuclear lamins—A-types and B-types, nuclear pores or integral membrane proteins such as emerin, LAP2 β , SUN1, SUN 2, MAN1, or other nuclear envelope transmembrane proteins [18] and even nuclear pore proteins. The issue with combining FISH with visualization of proteinaceous structures is that the fixation, and specifically the formamide, can affect the ability of specific antibodies to bind to their antigen post-FISH. We have found this especially difficult for nuclear envelope proteins such as A-type lamins, which for mapping associations with genomes and the nuclear envelope are the presumed first choice. It is not possible to just use an integrated GFP fused protein since GFP is also affected by the FISH process and is no longer visible post-FISH.

There are two ways to get around this problem: either use the primary and fluorescently tagged secondary antibodies and fix them prior to the FISH protocol, or use the primary antibody prior to the FISH protocol, fix them in place with paraformaldehyde and then carry out the FISH protocol, following the hapten visualization step, or concomitant with it, use the fluorescently labeled secondary antibody to reveal the primary antibody bound to the antigen. As mentioned above, GFP fluorescence does not survive the FISH denaturation process but it possible to use an antibody to GFP to reveal the exogenous protein.

The 2D-FISH protocol could, given that it uses acetic acid, alter structure of proteins. Therefore, it would be necessary to perform a pilot experiment to determine if the antigen of choice at the nuclear envelope survives fixation and the FISH denaturation. With respect to analyzing genome interaction with the nuclear envelope, it is more appropriate to use 3D preserved cells or nuclei.

1. Reagents for 2D or 3D FISH (*see* Subheadings 2.1 and 2.2).
2. Primary antibodies to specific nuclear envelope antigen, Ki67 or GFP.
3. Secondary antibodies reacting with species primary antibodies are made in 4 % paraformaldehyde.
4. 1 \times phosphate buffered saline.
5. Glass Coplin jars.
6. New born calf serum.
7. Mounting medium containing a DNA counterstain.
8. Humid incubation chamber.
9. Glass coverslips.

**2.4 DNA Halo
Preparations**

Although this chapter concerns visualizing the interaction of the genome with the nuclear envelope we have decided to include a protocol to look at DNA halos which is a technique we have been

315 using in our laboratory to give a further insight into the behavior
316 and binding of the genome to the nucleoskeleton (also known as
317 the nuclear matrix). Many of the nuclear envelope proteins and
318 complexes at the nuclear edge are part of the nuclear matrix and
319 indeed, a number of nuclear envelope proteins are also found deep
320 within the nucleoplasm as part of the unextractable nucleoskeleton,
321 such as the nuclear lamins.

- 322 1. Temperature resistant glass microscope slides or poly-L-lysine
323 coated slides.
- 324 2. Chambered tissue culture dishes.
- 325 3. Glass Coplin jars.
- 326 4. CSK buffer: 10 mM Pipes pH 7.8; 100 mM NaCl, 0.3 M
327 sucrose, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 % Triton X-100.
- 328 5. Phosphate buffered saline 10×, 5×, 2×, and 1×.
- 329 6. Extraction buffer: 2 M NaCl, 10 mM Pipes pH 6.8, 10 mM
330 EDTA, 0.1 % digitonin, (*see Note 8*) 0.05 mM spermine,
331 0.125 mM spermidine.
- 332 7. Ethanol series 10, 30, 70, and 95 % made up in water
- 333 8. *See* Subheadings 2.1.4 and 2.1.5 for reagents required for
334 2D-FISH
- 335 9. Epifluorescence microscope capable of taking 2D images.
- 336 10. CCD camera with hardware and software to control micro-
337 scope and camera.
- 338 11. Image analysis software.

339 3 Methods

340 3.1 Two-Dimensional 341 Fluorescence In Situ 342 Hybridization

343 3.1.1 Cell Culture 344 and Fixation

- 345 1. Culture adherent cells for at least 1 week after recovering from
346 liquid nitrogen storage (*see Note 9* for suspension cells).
- 347 2. Remove medium and wash the cells once with Versene.
- 348 3. Harvest cells by incubation 0.25 % trypsin in Versene; neutral-
349 ize the trypsin by adding at least an equal volume of medium.
- 350 4. Centrifuge at 400×g for 5 min; remove the supernatant.
351 Resuspend cells in a small amount of remaining medium.
- 352 5. Treat harvested cells with a hypotonic solution (0.075 M KCl)
353 for 15 min at room temperature to swell the cells (*see Note*
354 **10**).
- 355 6. Centrifuge the cell suspension at 300×g for 5 min using a
356 bench top centrifuge.
- 357 7. To fix the cells, remove the supernatant and resuspend the cell
358 pellet in a small amount of buffer left to avoid cell clumping.

8. Add ice-cold methanol: acetic acid (3:1 v/v) drop-wise to the cells with gentle shaking. 354
355
9. Incubate cells at 4 °C or on ice for at least 1 h, up to 18 h (see **Note 11**). 356
357
10. Centrifuge cells at 300×g at 4 °C for 5 min and repeat the fixing steps 8, 9 and 10 four to five times, but only leaving the cells in the fix solution on ice for 5–15 min until more than 90 % of the cells have lost their cytoplasm. Use phase contrast with X40 lens to view the slides prior to use. If there are still cells with cytoplasm, keep repeating the fixation steps until there are fewer than 10 %. 358
359
360
361
362
363
364
11. Store fixed cell suspensions at –20 °C until required. 365

3.1.2 Slide Preparation and Denaturation

1. Drop the cells onto humid or damp slides from a height, air dry the slides and then age the cells at 70 °C for 1 h or for 2 days at room temperature. 366
367
368
2. Pass the aged slides through an ethanol row of 70, 90 and 100 % ethanol for 5 min in each solution, followed by air drying. 369
370
371
3. Pre-warm the slides at 70 °C for 5 min. 372
4. Incubate in denaturing solution (70 % (v/v) formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0 at 70 °C for 2 min. 373
374
5. Immediately plunge the slides into ice-cold 70 % ethanol for 5 min and then again pass through the ethanol row (90 and 100 % ethanol). 375
376
377
6. Air-dry the slides and keep them warm on a warm plate until hybridization with the probe. 378
379

3.1.3 DOP-PCR Protocol

1. To make 50 µl of secondary template from the primary template, add together in a small Eppendorf tube that fits the PCR machine to be used: 10 µl DOP-PCR buffer, 5 µl 2 mM dACGTP, 5 µl 2 mM dTTP, 5 µl 20 µM DOP primers, 23 µl PCR grade water, 1 µl of chromosome paint template and 1 U of *Taq* polymerase (to be added last). 380
381
382
383
384
385
2. Place into PCR machine. 386
3. For amplification of primary product into secondary template use the following temperature and times: 1 cycle of 95 °C for 3 min followed by 98 °C for 20 s; 31 cycles of 62 °C for 1 min followed by 72 °C for 30 s; 1 cycle of 72 °C for 5 min; 4 °C hold. 387
388
389
390
391
4. To check the amplification and length of the secondary template, run 3 µl of the PCR product in a 1 % agarose gel with markers that give you the ability to assess smears of PCR product between 200 and 500 bp. 392
393
394
395

[AU1]

- 396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
5. Use the unlabeled secondary template DOP-PCR product as the next template DNA and add 5 μ l to a plastic PCR tube the correct size for your machine chambers.
 6. To the secondary template, add 10 ml of DOP-PCR buffer, 5 ml dACGTP (2 mM), 2 μ l dTTP (2 mM) and 10 μ l of biotin-16-dUTP or digoxigenin-11-dUTP, 5 μ l of DOP-PCR primers, and 1 unit of *Taq* polymerase.
 7. For amplification of primary product into secondary template use the following temperature and times: 1 cycle of 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 min followed by 98 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 s; 34 cycles of 62 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 min followed by 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 s; 1 cycle of 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min; 4 $^{\circ}$ C hold.
 8. Using a small aliquot check that there are PCR bands between 200 and 500 bp in an agarose gel.

410 3.1.4 Probe Denaturation
411 and Hybridization

- 412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
1. Prepare the probe mixture by ethanol precipitation of the labeled chromosome paint (8 μ l per slide) with the addition of *Cot*-1 DNA (7 μ l per slide), herring sperm (3 μ l per slide), 1/20th volume of 3 M sodium acetate, and 2 \times volume of high quality ethanol (ice-cold).
 2. Incubate the mixture at -80° C for at least 30 min; centrifuge at full speed in a standard microfuge for 30 min at 4 $^{\circ}$ C.
 3. Wash the DNA pellet with ice-cold 70 % ethanol and centrifuge at full speed in a standard microfuge for 15 min at 4 $^{\circ}$ C.
 4. Dry the pellets at 50 $^{\circ}$ C on a hot block (*see Note 11*).
 5. Dissolve the probes in 12 μ l (per slide) of hybridization mix overnight at room temperature.
 6. Denature the probes at 75 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min; allow the *Cot*-1 DNA to anneal to the repetitive sequences at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for at least 10 min but no longer than 2 h.
 7. Incubate slides at 70 $^{\circ}$ C in 70 % formamide, 2 \times SSC, pH 7.0 for 2 min.
 8. Slides are plunged into ice-cold 70 % ethanol and then placed in 90 and 100 % ethanol for 5 min each at room temperature.
 9. Apply 12 μ l of the probe to the slide and cover with pre-warmed 22 \times 22 mm coverslip and seal with rubber cement.
 10. Allow the slides to hybridize with the probe in an humidified hybridization chamber at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 days.

433 3.1.5 Washing
434 2D-FISH Slides

- 435
436
437
438
1. Post hybridization, gently remove the rubber cement and wash the slides three times for 5 min each in buffer A (50 % (v/v) formamide, 2 \times SSC, pH 7.0) preheated to 45 $^{\circ}$ C.
 2. Wash the slides in buffer B (0.1 \times SSC, pH 7.0) pre-warmed at 60 $^{\circ}$ C but used in the 45 $^{\circ}$ C water bath, three times for 5 min each before transferring to 4 \times SSC at room temperature.

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| | 3. Incubate the slides with a 100 μ l blocking solution (4 % bovine serum albumin; BSA, w/v) for 10 min at room temperature. | 439
440 |
| | 4. Incubate the slides in 100 μ l of fluorescently tagged streptavidin or anti-digoxigenin conjugated to a fluorochrome diluted in 1 % BSA for 1 h at room temperature. | 441
442
443 |
| | 5. Wash the slides in a 4 \times SSC solution containing 0.5 % Tween 20 in the dark at 42 $^{\circ}$ C with three changes of the solution every 5 min. | 444
445
446 |
| | 6. Mount the slides in mounting medium containing DAPI. | 447 |
|
 | | |
| 3.1.6 <i>Ki67 Antigen Marker for Proliferation in Primary Cells</i> | 1. Once cells have been washed, hapten visualized and slides checked positive for the FISH signals, soak the coverslips in 1 \times PBS for 30 min at room temperature. | 448
449
450 |
| | 2. Dilute primary Ki67 antibody in 1 \times PBS containing 1 % newborn calf serum and add 100 μ l to the slide and cover with a 22 \times 40 mm coverslip. | 451
452
453 |
| | 3. Incubate for 1 h at room temperature or 30 min at 37 $^{\circ}$ C or overnight at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. | 454
455 |
| | 4. Wash in 1 \times PBS for 15 min with 3 changes. | 456 |
| | 5. Place 100 μ l of appropriate secondary antibody on the slides, cover with a 22 \times 40 mm coverslip and incubate 1 h at room temperature, or 30 min at 37 $^{\circ}$ C, or at 4 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h. | 457
458
459 |
| | 6. Mount in suitable mounting medium containing DAPI (<i>see Note 12</i>). | 460
461 |
|
 | | |
| 3.1.7 <i>Microscopy and Analysis</i> | 1. Examine the slides using 100 \times oil immersion lens. | 462 |
| | 2. Cells can be imaged according to their Ki67 (proliferative status). | 463
464 |
| | 3. Select nuclei randomly by following a rectangular scan pattern, and capture gray-scale images of these nuclei. | 465
466 |
| | 4. Capture at least 50–60 images per slide and convert into TIFF or PICT format. | 467
468 |
| | 5. Pass the images through an erosion analysis script (<i>see Introduction</i>). These scripts are devised to divide each captured nuclei in five concentric shells of equal area, the first shell starting from the periphery of the nucleus going to the interior of the nucleus (fifth shell). The script measures the pixel intensity of DAPI and the chromosome probe in these five shells and puts the data obtained into a table. | 469
470
471
472
473
474
475 |
| | 6. Normalize the probe signal by dividing the percentage of the probe by the percentage of DAPI signal in each shell. Thus, the normalized proportion of probe is calculated in all five shells for at least 50 nuclei. | 476
477
478
479 |

- 480
481
482
483
484
485
7. Plot histograms using these data, with standard error bars (+/- SEM).
 8. Alternatively, make measurements to the nearest edge or geometric center of the nucleus to position genes/chromosomes. These types of analyses can be meaningful in 2D especially when studying peripherally located FISH signals.
- 486 **3.2 Three-**
487 **Dimensional**
488 **Fluorescence In Situ**
489 **Hybridization**
490 **(3D-FISH)**
- 491 **3.2.1 Cell Culture**
492 **and Fixation**
1. Culture adherent cells on sterile glass slides placed in a chambered tissue culture dish for at least 2 days at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂ at a starting density of 1 × 10⁵ cells per slide.
 2. Wash slides three times in 1× PBS.
 3. Fix in 4 % paraformaldehyde (w/v) for 10 min at room temperature.
 4. Wash slides three times in 1× PBS.
 5. Permeabilize cells with 0.5 % Triton X-100 (v/v) and 0.5 % saponin (w/v) in 1× PBS solution for 20 min at room temperature.
 6. Wash slides three times in 1× PBS.
 7. Incubate slides in a solution of 20 % glycerol in 1× PBS solution for at least 30 min at 4 °C
 8. Snap-freeze slides in liquid nitrogen for 15–30 s.
 9. Repeat step 8–9 another 4–5 times without the 30 min incubation in glycerol.
 10. Place slides in boxes and store at -80 °C until required.
- 493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
- 503 **3.2.2 Probe Preparation**
and Hybridization
- 504 **3.2.3 Slide Preparation**
505 **and Denaturation**
- 506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
- See Subheading [3.1.4](#)
1. Thaw slides taken out of -80 °C storage at room temperature.
 2. Repeat the freeze-thaw process in liquid nitrogen for another 4–5 times, soaking the slides in 20 % glycerol between each freeze-thaw if this has not been done prior to freezing.
 3. Wash excess glycerol from the slides using three changes of 1× PBS for 10 min each, followed by depurination in 0.1 N HCl for 5 min at room temperature with shaking (*see Note 13*).
 4. Wash off excess acid with 2× SSC for 15 min with three changes of the buffer and then incubate slides in 50 % formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0 solution overnight.
 5. Denature the slides by incubation in denaturation buffer A (70 % formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0) pre-warmed at 73 °C for precisely 3 min.
 6. Rapidly transfer the slides to denaturation buffer B (50 % formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0) pre-warmed at 73 °C for 1 min.

	During this time, add 10 μ l of previously denatured probe that has been allowed to partially re-anneal at 37 °C to a pre-warmed 22 \times 22 mm coverslip.	519 520 521
	7. Once the slide is ready after denaturation, remove excess denaturing solution with a tissue without disturbing the cells.	522 523
	8. Present the slide immediately to the probe on the coverslip.	524
	9. Seal the coverslip on the slide using rubber cement and leave to hybridize on the slide in a pre-warmed humidified chamber at 37 °C for 2 days.	525 526 527
3.2.4	<i>Washing 3-D FISH</i>	
	Same as for 2D-FISH; <i>see</i> Subheading 3.1.5 .	528
3.2.5	<i>Using Ki67 as a Marker for Proliferation</i>	
	Same as for 2D-FISH; <i>see</i> Subheading 3.1.6 .	529
3.2.6	<i>Microscopy and Analysis</i>	
	1. Capture the images of nuclei using a microscope capable of capturing in three dimensions.	530 531
	2. Collect stacks of optical sections with an axial distance of 0.2 μ m for high resolution from random nuclei (<i>see</i> Note 14)	532 533
	3. For confocal images you could obtain stacks of 8-bit gray-scale 2D images with eight averages from each optical image.	534 535
	4. Assess the positioning of chromosomes in relation to the nuclear edge by performing measurements using image analysis packages as described in the introduction, whereby the distance between the geometric center of each chromosome territory/gene signal and the nearest nuclear edge can be measured.	536 537 538 539 540
	5. Perform measurements for at least 20 nuclei for each sample.	541
	6. Plot frequency distribution curves with the distance between the center of chromosome territory and the nearest nuclear periphery on the x-axis and the frequency on the y-axis.	542 543 544
3.3	3D-FISH Combined with Indirect Immunofluorescence	
	1. Place slides with fixed cells into a Coplin jar full of 1 \times PBS.	545
	2. Place slides in an humidified chamber	546
	3. Pipette 20–100 μ l of diluted primary antibody (in PBS 1 % new born calf serum or similar) and cover with a glass coverslip.	547 548
	4. Incubate at room temperature for 1 h, at 37 °C for 30 min or overnight at 4 °C.	549 550
	5. Remove coverslips gently and place slides in glass Coplin jar in 1 \times PBS. Place on a rocker at a gentle speed changing buffer three times for a total wash time of 15 min.	551 552 553
	6. Carefully wipe away PBS from the back of slides and replace in humidified chamber and repeat steps 3–5 but with the appropriate fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibody. Incubate at room temperature for 1 h, 37 °C for 30 min or 4 °C for no longer than 4 h.	554 555 556 557 558

- 559 7. After the final wash, incubate slides in 4 % paraformaldehyde
 560 made up in 1× PBS for 10 min at room temperature.
 561 8. Place slides in 2× SSC to prevent drying out before proceeding
 562 to the 3D FISH protocol (*see Note 15*) for description of
 563 doing primary antibody staining before FISH and secondary
 564 antibody after FISH.

565 **3.4 DNA Halo** 566 **Preparations**

- 567 1. Either grow adherent cells directly onto glass microscope slides
 568 in culture or allow suspension cells to settle and attach to poly-
 569 L-lysine coated slides.
 570 2. Rinse slides twice in 1× PBS.
 571 3. Add CSK buffer for 15 min.
 572 4. Replace CSK buffer with extraction buffer and leave for 4 min.
 573 5. Rinse slides consecutively in 10×, 5×, 2×, and 1× PBS for 1 min
 574 each.
 575 6. Take slides through an ethanol series comprising 10, 30, 70,
 576 and 95 % ethanol.
 7. Air-dry slides and bake for 2 h at 70 °C. The slides are now
 ready to be subjected to 2D-FISH.

577 **3.4.1 Measuring** 578 **the Ratio of the Residual** 579 **Nucleus to the Maximum** 580 **Extent of the DNA Halo**

- 581 1. At 100× magnification, select at least 50 images of extracted
 582 cell nuclei per sample at random.
 583 2. Capture of 8-bit gray-scale 2D images of each DNA halo in
 584 TIFF format using a high resolution digital camera.
 585 3. Open the image in NIH ImageJ software or similar.
 586 4. Configure ImageJ to analyze area (Analyze > Set Measurements,
 587 tick the area checkbox).
 588 5. Using the adjust threshold tool (Image > Adjust > Threshold),
 589 move the top slider to cover only the residual nucleus.
 590 6. Measure the area using analyze particles (Analyze > Analyze
 591 Particles) and record the measurement.
 592 7. Using the adjust threshold tool again, move the top slider to
 593 cover the entire area of the DNA halo, noting that the DNA
 594 halo will likely be very pale at its greatest extent.
 595 8. Repeat step 6 and open the next image.
 596 9. The ratio of the residual nucleus to the maximum extent of the
 DNA halo is calculated by dividing the total area of the DNA
 halo by the area of the residual nucleus.
 10. Plot histograms using these data and standard error bars repre-
 senting +/- SEM.

3.4.2 Analyzing
Positioning of Whole
Chromosomes
Within a DNA Halo

1. Using an image analysis program the images are cropped and split into individual color channels, i.e., red, blue, and green. 597
598
2. Save each channel separately. 599
3. Identify and select the residual nucleus in an imaging software program to perform density threshold and binary functions to reveal not only the edge of the nucleus but also the center. 600
601
602
4. The distance from the nuclear center to each furthest chromosome territory edge (ctE) can then be determined. 603
604
5. These distances are divided by the distance from the nuclear center to each respective nuclear edge (NE). 605
606

4 Notes

1. Sometimes commercial paints scrimp on the Cot-1 DNA and it may be necessary to add more Cot1 to avoid repetitive elements in the genome from being hybridized to. 608
609
610
2. The BAC gives you your gene of interest but be aware that BACs may contain other sequence adjacent to the gene of interest. 611
612
613
3. This concentration of KCl in the hypotonic solution maybe too high for some cells; in some instances, this may need to be reduced to 0.05 M KCl (e.g., with snail cells). 614
615
616
4. Formamide is a teratogen and its use should be forbidden to pregnant women. Use in a fumehood otherwise. 617
618
5. Use glass Coplin jars that can withstand high heat, i.e., >75 °C: otherwise they crack. 619
620
6. To avoid large amounts of formamide in the denaturing step, it is possible to use a heating block and place the probe and sample together and co-denature them. Alternatively it is possible to purchase a programmable temperature controlled slide processing system that will perform the denaturing steps and the hybridization step as well. 621
622
623
624
625
626
7. The Cremer laboratory recommends 2 % paraformaldehyde as it preserved 3D structure better when using super-resolution microscopy (53). 627
628
629
8. Digitonin is a dangerous reagent and requires a careful and comprehensive risk assessment. 630
631
9. Suspension cells can be used for any FISH methodologies. They need to be placed on a glass microscope slide. This can be done by cytopinning but this disturbs three-dimensionality. Cells can also be placed on slides coated with poly-L-lysine and allowed to stick. These cells can then be subjected to 3D protocols. 632
633
634
635
636
637

- 638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
10. It is very important that cells are fully suspended and triturated so that they are separated and not clumped together.
 11. The DNA must not be over-dried as it will not be possible to resuspend it in the hybridization mix. It is best to keep an eye on the probe as it dries and take it off the heating block when the liquid has evaporated but the DNA looks “glassy.”
 12. At this stage it is also possible to improve the FISH process by using an RNaseA treatment for 30 min. Only do this if you are not detecting RNA obviously but also bear in mind that RNA is part of the nuclear structure and a component of chromosomes themselves.
 13. For protocols specifically for super-resolution microscopy [18].
 14. The Ki67 antibody can disassociate from its antigen after a few hours. 4 % paraformaldehyde (5 min at room temperature) can be used to fix the antibody in place after washing off excess antibody.
 15. It is also possible to perform the primary antibody step first prior to the FISH procedure and fix it into place with 4 % paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. The diluted secondary antibody should be mixed with the streptavidin or anti-digoxigenin antibody after the FISH washing step, and as part of the visualization step.

660 Acknowledgements

661
662
663
664
665
666
667

We would like to thank Dr Marion Cremer for allowing us to include some of their 3D-SIM super-resolution images of chromosomes and nuclear envelope, Dr Karen Meaburn for helpful discussions and SPARKs children’s charity for funding CSC, Brunel University London Progeria Research Fund for partial funding of UB, The Gordon Memorial Trust for supporting MHA and the EURO-laminopathies consortium FP7 for supporting LSG.

668 References

- 669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
1. Bourne G, Moir C, Bikkul U et al (2013) Interphase chromosome behavior in normal and diseased cells. In: Yurov Y (ed) Human interphase chromosomes: the biomedical aspects. Springer, New York, pp 9–33
 2. Foster HA, Bridger JM (2005) The genome and the nucleus: a marriage made by evolution. *Chromosoma* 114:212–229
 3. Németh A, Conesa A, Santoyo-Lopez J et al (2010) Initial genomics of the human nucleolus. *PLoS Genet* 6:1–11
 4. Ikegami K, Egelhofer TA, Strome S, Lieb JD (2010) Caenorhabditis elegans chromosome arms are anchored to the nuclear membrane via discontinuous association with LEM-2. *Genome Biol* 11:1–20
 5. Guelen L, Pagie L, Brasset E et al (2008) Domain organization of human chromosomes revealed by mapping of nuclear lamina interactions. *Nature* 453:948–951
 6. Bridger JM, Arican-Goktas HD, Foster HA et al (2014) The non-random repositioning of whole chromosomes and individual gene loci
- 681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692

- 693 in interphase nuclei and its relevance in dis- 750
694 ease, infection, aging, and cancer. *Adv Exp* 751
695 *Med Biol* 773:263–279
- 696 7. Chubb JR, Boyle S, Perry P, Bickmore WA 752
697 (2002) Chromatin motion is constrained by 753
698 association with nuclear compartments in 754
699 human cells. *Curr Biol* 12:439–445 755
- 700 8. Zink D, Cremer T, Saffrich R et al (1998) 756
701 Structure and dynamics of human interphase 757
702 chromosome territories in vivo. *Hum Genet* 758
703 102:241–251 759
- 704 9. Wallace LM, Moreo A, Clark KR, Harper SQ 760
705 (2013) Dose-dependent toxicity of humanized 761
706 renilla reniformis GFP (hrGFP) limits its utility 762
707 as a reporter gene in mouse muscle. *molecular* 763
708 *therapy—nucleic acids* 2:1–6. 764
- 709 10. Elcock LS, Bridger JM (2010) Exploring the 765
710 relationship between interphase gene position- 766
711 ing, transcriptional regulation and the nuclear 767
712 matrix. *Biochem Soc Trans* 38:263–267 768
- 713 11. Elcock LS, Bridger JM (2008) Exploring the 769
714 effects of a dysfunctional nuclear matrix. 770
715 *Biochem Soc Trans* 36:1378–1383 771
- 716 12. Bridger JM, Kalla C, Wodrich H et al (2005) 772
717 Nuclear RNAs confined to a reticular compart- 773
718 ment between chromosome territories. *Exp* 774
719 *Cell Res* 302:180–193 775
- 720 13. Lampel S, Bridger JM, Zirbel R, Mathieu U, 776
721 Lichter P (1997) Nuclear RNA accumulations 777
722 contain released transcripts and exhibit specific 778
723 distributions with respect to Sm antigen foci. 779
724 *DNA Cell Biol* 16:1133–1142 780
- 725 14. Volpi EV, Bridger JM (2008) FISH glossary: 781
726 an overview of the fluorescence in situ hybrid- 782
727 ization technique. *Biotechniques* 45:385–386 783
- 728 15. Marshall WF, Dernburg AF, Harmon B, Agard 784
729 DA, Sedat JW (1996) Specific interactions of 785
730 chromatin with the nuclear envelope: posi- 786
731 tional determination within the nucleus in 787
732 *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol Biol Cell* 7: 788
733 825–842 789
- 734 16. Markaki Y, Smeets D, Fiedler S et al (2012) 790
735 The potential of 3D-FISH and super-resolu- 791
736 tion structured illumination microscopy for 792
737 studies of 3D nuclear architecture. *Bioessays* 793
738 34:412–426 794
- 739 17. Luo L, Gassman KL, Petell LM et al (2009) 795
740 The nuclear periphery of embryonic stem cells 796
741 is a transcriptional permissive and repressive 797
742 compartment. *J Cell Sci* 122:3729–3737 798
- 743 18. Zuleger N, Boyle S, Kelly DA et al (2013) 799
744 Specific nuclear envelope transmembrane pro- 800
745 teins can promote the location of chromo- 801
746 somes to and from the nuclear periphery. 802
747 *Genome Biol* 14:1–48 803
- 748 19. Lund E, Oldenburg AR, Delbarre E et al 804
749 (2013) Lamin A/C-promoter interactions 805
806 specify chromatin state-dependent transcrip- 806
tion outcomes. *Genome Res* 23:1580–1589 751
20. Bridger JM, Herrmann H, Muenkel C, Lichter 752
P (1998) Identification of an interchromo- 753
somal compartment by polymerization of 754
nuclear-targeted vimentin. *J Cell Sci* 111: 755
1241–1253 756
21. Bridger JM, Lichter P (1999) Analysis of 757
mammalian interphase chromosomes by FISH 758
and immunofluorescence. In: Bickmore W 759
(ed) *Chromosome structural analysis: a practical* 760
approach. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 761
UK, pp 103–123 762
22. Solovei I, Cremer M (2010) 3D-FISH on cul- 763
tured cells combined with immunostaining. 764
Methods Mol Biol 659:117–126 765
23. Hatch E, Hetzer M (2014) Breaching the 766
nuclear envelope in development and disease. 767
J Cell Biol 205:133–141 768
24. Bridger JM, Kill IR (2004) Aging of 769
Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome fibro- 770
blasts is characterised by hyperproliferation 771
and increased apoptosis. *Exp Gerontol* 39: 772
717–724 773
25. Goldman RD, Shumaker DK, Erdos MR et al 774
(2004) Accumulation of mutant lamin A 775
causes progressive changes in nuclear architec- 776
ture in Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syn- 777
drome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101: 778
8963–8968 779
26. Shimi T, Pflieger K, Kojima S et al (2008) 780
The A- and B-type nuclear lamin networks: 781
microdomains involved in chromatin organi- 782
zation and transcription. *Genes Dev* 22: 783
3409–3421 784
27. Bercht Pflieger K, Taimen P, Butin-Israeli V 785
et al (2015) Gene-rich chromosomal regions 786
are preferentially localized in the lamin B defi- 787
cient nuclear blebs of atypical progeria cells. 788
Nucleus 6:66–76 789
28. Lalmansingh A, Arora K, DeMarco R et al 790
(2013) High-throughput RNA FISH analysis 791
by imaging flow cytometry reveals that pioneer 792
factor Foxa1 reduces transcriptional stochas- 793
ticity. *PLoS One* 8:1–12 794
29. Basiji DA, Ortyrn WE, Liang L, Venkatachalam 795
V, Morrissey P (2007) Cellular image analysis 796
and imaging by flow cytometry. *Clin Lab Med* 797
27:653–670 798
30. Roukos V, Pegoraro G, Voss TC, Misteli T 799
(2015) Cell cycle staging of individual cells by 800
fluorescence microscopy. *Nat Protoc* 801
10:334–348 802
31. Shiels C, Adams NM, Islam SA, Stephens DA, 803
Freemont PS (2007) Quantitative analysis of 804
cell nucleus organisation. *PLoS Comput Biol* 805
3(7), e138 806

- 807 32. Bewersdorf J, Bennett BT, Knight KL (2006) 851
808 H2AX chromatin structures and their response 852
809 to DNA damage revealed by 4Pi microscopy. 853
810 Proceedings of the National Academy of 854
811 Sciences of the United States of America 855
812 103:18137–18142 856
- 813 33. Jost K, Haase S, Smeets D et al (2011) 857
814 3D-Image analysis platform monitoring relo- 858
815 cation of pluripotency genes during repro- 859
816 gramming. *Nucleic Acids Res* 39:1–8 860
- 817 34. Bolzer A, Kreth G, Solovei I et al (2005) 861
818 Three-dimensional maps of all chromosomes 862
819 in human male fibroblast nuclei and prometa- 863
820 phase rosettes. *PLoS Biol* 3:826–842 864
- 821 35. Gué M, Messaoudi C, Sun JS, Boudier T 865
822 (2005) Smart 3D-FISH: automation of dist- 866
823 ance analysis in nuclei of interphase cells by 867
824 image processing. *Cytometry A* 67:18–26 868
- 825 36. Iannuccelli E, Mompert F, Gellin J, Lahbib- 869
826 Mansais Y, Yerle M, Boudier T (2010) NEMO: 870
827 a tool for analyzing gene and chromosome ter- 871
828 ritory distributions from 3D-FISH experi- 872
829 ments. *Bioinformatics* 26:696–697
- 830 37. Foster HA, Griffin DK, Bridger JM (2012) 873
831 Interphase chromosome positioning in in vitro 874
832 porcine cells and ex vivo porcine tissues. *BMC 875*
833 *Cell Biol* 15:13–30 876
- 834 38. Croft JA, Bridger JM, Boyle S et al (1999) 877
835 Differences in the localization and morphol- 878
836 ogy of chromosomes in the human nucleus. 879
837 *J Cell Biol* 145:1119–1131 880
- 838 39. Mehta IS, Kulashreshtha M, Chakraborty S, 881
839 Kolthur-Seetharam U, Rao BJ (2013) 882
840 Chromosome territories reposition during DNA 883
841 damage-repair response. *Genome Biol* 14:1–15 884
- 842 40. Skinner BM, Robertson LB, Tempest HG et al 885
843 (2009) Comparative genomics in chicken and 886
844 Pekin duck using FISH mapping and microar- 887
845 ray analysis. *BMC Genomics* 10:1–11 888
- 846 41. Federico C, Cantarella CD, Di Mare P, Tosi S, 889
847 Saccone S (2008) The radial arrangement of the 890
848 human chromosome 7 in the lymphocyte cell 891
849 nucleus is associated with chromosomal band 892
850 gene density. *Chromosoma* 117:399–410 893
894
42. Lichter P, Cremer T, Borden J, Manuelidis L, 851
Ward DC (1988) Delineation of individual 852
human chromosomes in metaphase and inter- 853
phase cells by in situ suppression hybridization 854
using recombinant DNA libraries. *Hum Genet* 855
80:224–234 856
43. Harris P, Boyd E, Ferguson-Smith MA (1985) 857
Optimising human chromosome separation 858
for the production of chromosome-specific 859
DNA libraries by flow sorting. *Hum Genet* 860
70:59–65 861
44. Meltzer P, Bittner M (2001) Chromosome 862
microdissection. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet.* 863
Chapter 4:Unit4.8 864
45. Telenius H, Carter NP, Bebb CE et al (1992) 865
Degenerate oligonucleotide-primed PCR: 866
general amplification of target DNA by a single 867
degenerate primer. *Genomics* 13:718–725 868
46. Bridger JM, Boyle S, Kill IR, Bickmore WA 869
(2000) Re-modelling of nuclear architecture 870
in quiescent and senescent human fibroblasts. 871
Curr Biol 10:149–152 872
47. Mehta IS, Figgitt M, Clements CS, Kill IR, 873
Bridger JM (2007) Alterations to nuclear 874
architecture and genome behavior in senescent 875
cells. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1100:250–263 876
48. Mehta IS, Amira M, Harvey AJ, Bridger JM 877
(2010) Rapid chromosome territory reloca- 878
tion by nuclear motor activity in response to 879
serum removal in primary human fibroblasts. 880
Genome Biol 11:1–23 881
49. Bridger JM, Kill IR, Lichter P (1998) 882
Association of pKi-67 with satellite DNA of 883
the human genome in early G1 cells. 884
Chromosome Res 6:13–24 885
50. Schermelleh L, Heintzmann R, Leonhardt 886
H (2010) A guide to super-resolution fluo- 887
rescence microscopy. *J Cell Biol* 190: 888
165–175 889
51. Markaki Y, Smeets D, Cremer M, Schermelleh 890
L (2013) Fluorescence in situ hybridization 891
applications for super-resolution 3D struc- 892
tured illumination microscopy. *Methods Mol 893*
Biol 950:43–64 894

Author Query

Chapter No.: 24 0002647412

Query	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Closing parenthesis is missing in the sentence starts with "Incubate in denaturing solution (70 °C Please check and clarify.	

Uncorrected Proof