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#### Abstract

Let F be the function which maps conformally a simple-connected domain $\Omega$ onto a rectangle $R$, so that four specified points on $\partial \Omega$ are mapped respectively onto the four vertices of $R$. In this paper we consider the problem of approximating the conformal map F, and present a survey of the available numerical methods. We also illustrate the practical significance of the conformal map, by presenting a number of applications involving the solution of Laplacian boundary value problems.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a Jordan domain in the complex $z-p l a n e(z=x+i y)$, and consider a system consisting of $\Omega$ and four distinct points $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$, $z_{4}$ in counter-clockwise order on its boundary $\partial \Omega$. Such a system is said to be a quadrilateral Q and is denoted by

$$
\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}
$$

The conformal module $m(Q)$ of $Q$ is defined as follows:
Let $R_{h}$ denote a rectangle of the form

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}:=\{(\xi, \eta): 0<\xi<1,0<\eta<\mathrm{h}\},
$$

in the $w-p l a n e(w=\xi+i \eta)$. Then, $m(Q)$ is the unique value of $h$ for which $Q$ is conformally equivalent to the rectangular quadrilateral

$$
\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}: 0, \quad 1,1+\mathrm{ih}, \mathrm{ih}\right\}
$$

That is, for $h=m(Q)$ and for this value only there exists a unique conformal map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}} \tag{1.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which takes the four points $\mathrm{Zj} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$ respectively onto the four vertices of $R_{h}$, i.e. $F$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=0, \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=1, \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{3}\right)=1+\mathrm{ih} \text { and } \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{ih} . \tag{1.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, $h=m(Q)$ is also the only value of $h$ for which the inverse conformal map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}: \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}} \rightarrow \Omega, \tag{1.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}^{[-1]}(0)=\mathrm{z}_{1}, \quad \mathrm{~F}^{[-1]}(1)=\mathrm{z}_{2}, \\
& \mathrm{~F}^{[-1]}(1+\mathrm{ih})=\mathrm{z}_{3}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}^{[-1]}(\mathrm{ih})=\mathrm{z}_{4}, \tag{1.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

exists.
This paper is concerned with the problem of determining approximations to the conformal map F (or $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}$ ) and to the corresponding conformal module $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})$. This problem has received considerable attention recently, most notably by Gaier [10] - [14] who, in particular, recognized the important role that $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})$ and other similar conformal mapping domain
functionals play in many practical and theoretical investigations. The main objectives of the paper are as follows:
. To discuss briefly some areas of application of the conformal map F, and to list the main properties of the conformal module $m(Q)$; Section 2.

- To present a survey of some of the available numerical methods for compuing approximations to F and to $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})$; Section 3.
- To present two numerical examples illustrating the application of the conformal map $F$ to the solution of Laplacian mixed boundary value problems, involving boundary singularities; Section 4.
- To report some recent results concerning a domain decomposition method for the mapping of a class of long quadrilaterals; Section 5.


## 2. Physical interpretation, applications and properties of $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{Q})$.

### 2.1 Physical interpretation and applications.

With the notation of Section 1 , let $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$, and assume that the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$ is piecewise analytic. Let now $\Omega$ represent a thin plate of homogeneous electrically conducting material of specific resistance 1 , and suppose that constant voltages $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are applied respectively to the boundary segments $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$, whilst the remainder of $\partial \Omega$ is insulated. Finally, let I be the current passing through the plate, and consider the problem of determining the resistance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{2-} \mathrm{V}_{1}\right) / \mathrm{I} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above problem may of course be solved by determining the solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{\mathrm{XY}} \mathrm{u}=0 \text {, in } \Omega,  \tag{2.2a}\\
& \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{V}_{1} \text {, on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{V}_{2} \text {, on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right),  \tag{2.2b}\\
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{n}}=0, \text { on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}\right) \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{z}_{4}, \mathrm{z}_{5}\right), \tag{2.2c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\mathrm{XY}}$ is the Laplace operator $\Delta_{\mathrm{xy}}:=\partial^{2} / \partial \mathrm{x} 2^{2}+\partial^{2} / \partial \mathrm{y}^{2}$, and $\partial / \partial n$ denotes differentiation in the direction of the outward normal. Once $u$ is found, $r$ may be determined from (2.1) after first computing $I$ as a line integral of $\partial u / \partial n$ along any line running from ( $z_{4}, z_{1}$ ) to ( $z_{2}, z_{3}$ ); for example we may take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}=\int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ds}, \quad \gamma_{1}:=\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although the boundary value problem (2.2) appears to be rather simple, its solution by standard numerical techniques may present serious difficulties due to the geometry of $\Omega$ and/or the presence of boundary singularities. For example, if $\partial \Omega$ is smooth then the solution of (2.2) has a serious singularity at each of the points $\mathrm{Zj} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$, where the boundary conditions change from Dirichlet to Neumann. By contrast, if the conformal map $F: \Omega \rightarrow R_{h}(h=m(Q))$ is available, then the solution of (2.2) can be obtained trivially from the solution of the transformed problem in $R_{h}$. More specifically, because the Laplace equation and the boundary conditions (2.2b), (2.2c) are conformally invariant, the transplanted potential û satisfies the following boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \xi \eta \hat{\mathrm{u}}=0, \text { in } \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}, \\
& \hat{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{V}_{1}, \text { on } \eta=0,0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \\
& \hat{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{V}_{2}, \text { on } \eta=\mathrm{h}, 0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \\
& \frac{\partial \hat{\mathrm{u}}}{\partial \xi}=0, \text { on } \xi=0 \text { and } \xi=1,0<\eta<\mathrm{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $\widehat{\mathrm{P}}:=(\xi, \eta) \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is the image under the conformal map F of a point $\mathrm{P} \in \bar{\Omega}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(P) & =\hat{u}(\hat{P}) \\
& =h^{-1}\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right) \eta+V_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, the solution of (2.2) at any point $\mathrm{P} \in \bar{\Omega}$ can be written down immediately, once the imaginary co-ordinate of the image point $\hat{P}:=F(P)$ is found. Furthermore, since the integral (2.3) is conformally invariant we have that

$$
\mathrm{I}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathrm{u}}}{\partial \eta} \mathrm{~d} \xi=\mathrm{h}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{1}\right),
$$

and hence from (2.1) that

$$
\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q}) .
$$

In other words, the resistance of the conducting plate is given by the conformal module of the quadrilateral $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$. The
conformal module is also closely related to the capacitance $C$ between the boundary segments $\left(z_{1}, z_{2},\right)$ and ( $\left.z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$. This is defined as the charge on $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, when $\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ is at unit potential and the remainder of $\partial \Omega$ is at zero potential; see e.g. [5]. If as before $m(Q)=h$, then it is shown in [12] that

$$
C=\frac{2}{\Pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(2 n-1) \sinh [(2 n-1) \pi h]\}^{-1} .
$$

Regarding applications, we have come across a number of papers in the scientific and engineering literature which, in our conformal mapping terminology, are concerned specifically with the problem of determining conformal modules of quadrilaterals. Examples of these are references [26], [28], [43], [50], [53], and [55], in connection with applications in electromagnetic field theory, and references [3], [27], and [29], in connection with the measurement of diffusion coefficients of solid materials. We also mention a recent paper by Gaier [14], which is concerned with an area problem for quadrilaterals $Q$. In this, the geometry of $Q$ is partly described by three of its four boundary segments, the conformal module $m(Q)$ is fixed, and the fourth boundary segment is to be determined so that the area of $Q$ is minimized. The problem is closely related to a corresponding area problem for symmetric doubly-connected domains which, according to Acker [1], has several important physical interpretations.

A more general application of the full conformal map $F: \Omega \rightarrow R_{h}$ (or $F^{[-1]}$ ) concerns the computer generation of orthogonal curvilinear co-ordinate systems for the finite-difference solution of partial differential equations. Examples of this can be found in [2], [30] and [56], and in the review paper by Thompson et al [48] which includes fourteen pages of discussion on the use of conformal transformations for numerical grid generation; see also [49].

### 2.2 Properties of $m(\mathrm{Q})$.

The properties of conformal modules are studied in detail in Section 6.11 of the recent book by Henrici [19]. Here, we merely state without proofs six basic results, which are important in computational work for estimating $m(Q)$ and for comparing the modules of different quadriaterals.

P 2.1. If $Q:=\left\{\Omega ; z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$ and $Q^{1}:=\left\{\Omega ; z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}, z_{1}\right\}$, then

$$
\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}\right)=1 / \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q}) ;
$$

see [10] and [19: p.432]. (The quadrilateral $Q^{1}$ is said to be the conjugate (or reciprocal) quadrilateral to Q.)

P 2.2. Let $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$ and assume the following: (a) The domain $\Omega$ is symmetric with respect to the straight line $\ell$ joining the points $z_{1}$ and $z_{3}$. (b) The point $z_{4}$ is the mirror image in $\ell$ of the point $z_{2}$. Then,

$$
\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})=1
$$

see [21] and [19: p433]. (A quadrilateral of the form described by (a) and (b) is said to be a symmetric quadrilateral.)

P 2.3. Variational property of $m(Q)$.
Let $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$, let $\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})$ and let K be the class of real valued functions $u$ which are continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$, attain the boundary values $u=0$ on the segment $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $u=1$ on ( $\left.z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$, and are in the Sobolev space $\mathrm{W},(\Omega$, )i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}:=\left\{\mathrm{u}: \mathrm{u} \varepsilon \mathrm{C}(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{W}_{1}(\Omega), \mathrm{u}=0 \quad \text { on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right), \mathrm{u}=1 \text { on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $D_{\Omega}[u]$ denote the Dirichlet integral of $u \in K$ with respect to $\Omega$, i. e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{u}]:=\iint_{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{dxdy} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}^{-1} & =\min \left\{\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{u}]: \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{~K}\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{u}],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the solution of the Laplacian problem (2.2) corresponding to the boundary values $\mathrm{V}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=1$; see [10:p180], [13:p66], [19: p434] and [58:p73].

P 2.4 Let $Q:=\left(\Omega ; z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$, let $\hat{z}$, be any point other than $z_{1}$, $z_{4}$ on the boundary segment $\left(z_{4}, z_{1},\right)$, and let $\hat{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \hat{z}_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$.

Then,

$$
\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})>\mathrm{m}((\hat{\mathrm{Q}})
$$

see [19: p436o].
P 2.5. Let $Q:=\left\{\Omega ; z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$ and $\hat{Q}:=\left\{\hat{\Omega}: z_{1} z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$ be two quadrilaterals which have the two boundary segments $\left(z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ and ( $z_{4}$, $z_{1}$ ) in common, and which are such that $Q \mathrm{c} H$, the inclusion being proper. Then,

$$
\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})<\mathrm{m}(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}) ;
$$

(see [19: p436]).

P 2.6. Let $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, 6$, be six points in counter-clockwise order on $\partial \Omega$ and, by means of a cross-cut $\Gamma$ from $z_{3}$ to $z_{s}$, decompose $\Omega$ into two disjoint Jordan domains $\Omega_{1}$, and $\Omega_{2}$ so that

$$
\partial \Omega_{1}=\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}\right) \cup \Gamma \cup\left(\mathrm{z}_{6}, \mathrm{z}_{1}\right),
$$

and

$$
\partial \Omega_{2}=\left(\mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{z}_{4}, \mathrm{z}_{6}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{z}_{5}, \mathrm{z}_{6}\right) \cup \Gamma .
$$

If $Q:=\left\{\Omega: z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{4}, z_{5}\right\}, Q_{1}:=\left\{\Omega_{1}: z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{6}\right\}$ and $Q_{2}:=\left\{\Omega_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{z}_{6}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}, \mathrm{z}_{5}\right\}$, then

$$
\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q}) \geq \mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right),
$$

and equality occurs when the cross-cut $\Gamma$ is an equipotential of the solution of problem (2.2), corresponding to the boundary values $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ 0 and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=1$; see [19: p437].

Several other interesting results on conformal modules are derived in the two papers by Hersch $[20,21]$ where, in particular, the modules of various non-trivial quadrilaterals are determined by elementary methods.
3. Numerical methods.
3.1 Methods based on approximating the conformal map of $\Omega$ onto the unit disc.

Let $Q:=\left\{\Omega ; z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$, let $h:=m(Q)$, and let $f$ be the function which maps conformally $\Omega$ onto the unit disc $D:=\{\zeta:|\zeta|<1\}$ so that $f\left(z^{*}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right)>0$, where $z^{*}$ is some fixed point in $\Omega$. Then, the conformal map $F: \Omega \rightarrow R_{h}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Sof}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S: D \rightarrow R_{h}$ is a simple Schwarz-Christoffel transformation. In fact, once the images $\zeta_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$, of the four boundary points $z_{j}$ are found, $S$ can be written down in terms of an inverse Jacobian elliptic sine, and the conformal module $h$ can be determined by computing the ratio of two complete elliptic integrals of the first kind; see e.g. [4], [32] and [35]. For this reason, in theory at least, the problem of approximating F may be regarded as solved once a suitable approximation to $\mathrm{f}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is found. In particular, if f is known exactly then, in theory, (3.1) gives the exact conformal map F. In practice however, the application of (3.1) is restricted by a well-known numerical difficulty which is caused by a certain crowding phenomenon. This can be described as follows.

The points $\xi_{j}=f\left(z_{j}\right) ; j=1,2,3,4$, divide the unit circle into the four $\operatorname{arcs} \quad \gamma_{\mathrm{j}}:=\left\langle\zeta_{\mathrm{j}}, \zeta_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right\rangle \mathrm{j}=1,2,3$ and $\gamma_{4}:=\left(\zeta_{4}, \zeta_{1}\right)$. Let $\varphi_{1}$ be the length of the smaller of the two arcs $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{3}$, and let $\varphi_{2}$, be the length of the smaller of $\gamma_{3}$ and $\gamma_{4}$. Then, the numerical difficulty mentioned above is due to the fact that $\varphi_{1}$, becomes very small even when the conformal module $h$ is only moderately large, and $\varphi_{2}$ becomes very small even when $h$ is only moderately small. More precisely, it can be shown that if $h$ is "large" then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1} \sim \exp (-\pi \mathrm{h} / 2) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $h$ is "small" then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2} \sim \exp (-\pi / 2 h) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if $h$ is either large or small then some of the images of the
points zj ; $\mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$, on the unit circle will be very close to each other. This crowding of points may be regarded as a form of ill-conditioning, in the sense that a numerical procedure based on the use of (3.1) may fail to provide a meaniningful approximation to $F: \Omega \rightarrow R_{h}$, even if an accurate approximation to $f: \Omega \rightarrow D$, is used. In particular, the process will break down completely if, due to the crowding, the computer fails to recognize the points $\zeta_{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$, in the correct order. For example, if $h=12$ then it can be shown that $\varphi_{1},<5.3 \times 10^{-8}$. Thus, in this case, the procedure will fail on a computer with precision $10^{-7}$, even if the conformal map f is performed exactly. (A more detailed discussion of the above can be found in [35: §2]. See also [10: p179], [19: p428], the remarks of Trefethen in his preface of [54: p4], and the paper by Zemach [60] which concerns a similar but more general conformal mapping difficulty.)

As might be expected, all our remarks concerning crowding also apply to the use of the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}=\mathrm{f}^{[-1]} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{~S}^{[-1]}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for computing approximations to the inverse map $\mathrm{F}[-1]: \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}} \rightarrow \Omega$. Thus, the crowding phenomenon is a serious numerical drawback of procedures based on the use of both (3.1) and (3.4). However, such procedures deserve strong consideration for the following reasons:
(i) Methods based on the use of (3.1) and (3.4) benefit from a very important advantage. This is connected with the fact that the problems of determining the conformal maps $f: \Omega \rightarrow D$ and $f^{[-1]}: D \rightarrow \Omega$ are very well-studied. As a result, there are many efficient numerical methods for computing approximations to f and to $\mathrm{f}[-1]$. For details of such methods we refer the reader to the following: (a) The classic monograph by Gaier [9] which, although written in 1964, is still very relevant. (b) Volume III of Henrici's Applied and Computational Complex Analysis [19]. (c) The collection of recent papers on numerical conformal mapping, which was edited in 1986 by Trefethen [54]. (In particular, this collection contains a survey [18] of almost all the known methods for approximating f [-1], and a report [41] of recent developments for dealing with corner and pole-type singularities in numerical methods for f .)
(ii) Crowding difficulties can be anticipated by using the quantity

$$
\mathrm{C}= \begin{cases}8 \exp \{-\pi /(2 \mathrm{~h})\}, & \text { if } \mathrm{h} \text { is "small" }  \tag{3.5}\\ 8 \exp \{-\pi \mathrm{h} / 2\}, & \text { if } \mathrm{h} \text { is "large" }\end{cases}
$$

as a measure; see (3.2) - (3.3) and the discussion in [35: §2]. Although the conformal module $h$ is not known a priori, a reliable indication of the extent of crowding can be provided by using a crude estimate of $h$ in (3.5). It is often possible to determine such crude estimates, by using the properties of $m(Q)$ listed in Section 2.2.

Unless C is small by comparison to the precision of the computer, or to the accuracy of the available approximation to f (or $\mathrm{f}[-1]$ ), the use of (3.1) or (3.4) will not present any crowding difficulties. For example, if $\mathrm{h} \in[0.4,2.5]$ then (3.5) gives that $\mathrm{C}>0.157$. There fore, for such values of $h$ there will be no difficulties due to crowding, unless the approximation to f (or $\mathrm{f}[-1]$ ) is very inaccurate.
(iii) For an important class of quadrilaterals the numerical difficulties assocated with crowding can be overcome by using a domain decomposition method; see $[38,39]$ and the discussion in Section 5 below.
(iv) Procedures based on the use of (3.1) and (3.4) have been applied successfully to many problems, for determining the conformal maps of non-trivial quadrilaterals. Examples of such applications can be found in the following: (a) References [22] and [42], where numerical methods based on the integral equation formulation of Symm [46] are used for the approximation of the conformal map $f: \Omega \rightarrow D$. (b) References [33] and [37], where an orthonormalization method, based on the properties of the Bergman Kernel function of $\Omega$, is used for the approximation of f . (c) The recent paper by Trefethen [53], who considers polygonal quadrilaterals, and uses his Schwarz-Christoffel package [51,52] for approximating the conformal map f[-1]: $\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \Omega$.

### 3.2 Methods based on approximating the conformal map of an associated doubly-connected domain onto a circular annulus.

Methods of this type can be used only in cases where the quadrilateral $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$ has one of the two special forms illustrated in Fig 1.



Figure 1

Following [35: §3] we consider first the case where $Q$ is of the form illustrated in Fig 1(a). That is, $\Omega$, is bounded by a segment $L_{1}:=$ $\left(z_{4}, z_{1}\right)$ of the real axis, a straight line $L_{2}:=\left(z_{2}, z_{3} .,\right)$ inclined at an angle $\pi / n$ to $L_{1}$, with $n \geq 1$ an integer, and two Jordan arcs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$. Proceeding exactly as in [35], we assume that the $\operatorname{arcs} \Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are given in polar co-ordinates by

$$
r_{j}:=\left\{z: z=p_{j}(\theta) e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / n\right\} ; j=1,2,
$$

with $0<\mathrm{p}_{2}(\theta)<\mathrm{p}_{1}(\theta), \theta \in[0, \pi / \mathrm{n}]$, and denote by $\Omega_{\mathrm{d}}$ the 2 n -fold symmetric doubly-connected domain obtained by first reflecting $\Omega$ about the straight line $L_{2}$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{d}}:=\operatorname{Int}\left(\hat{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ext}\left(\hat{\Gamma}_{2}\right), \tag{3.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{j}}:=\left\{\mathrm{z}: \mathrm{z}=\widehat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{j}}(\theta) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi\right\} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2 \tag{3.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{j}}(\theta)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}(\theta), & \theta \in[0, \pi / \mathrm{n}],  \tag{3.6c}\\
\widehat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{k} \pi / \mathrm{n}+\theta)=, \widehat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{k} \pi / \mathrm{n}+\theta), & \theta \in[0, \pi / \mathrm{n}], \mathrm{k}=1(1) 2 \mathrm{n}-1 .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then, for a certain value of $\mathrm{q}, 0<\mathrm{q}<1, \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}$ is conformally equivalent to the annulus

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}}:=\{\zeta: \mathrm{q}<|\zeta|<1\}
$$

and the reciprocal of the inner radius, i.e. the value $\mathrm{M}:=1 / \mathrm{q}$, is called
the conformal modulus of $\Omega_{d}$.
Let $g$ be the function which maps $\Omega_{d}$ conformally onto Aq so that the curve $\hat{\Gamma}_{1}$ is mapped onto the unit circle $|\zeta|=1$. Also, let

$$
\mathrm{T}(\zeta(:=\{\mathrm{n} \log \zeta\} / \mathrm{i} \pi,
$$

and let $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}$ denote the sector

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}:=\left\{\zeta: \zeta=\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}, \mathrm{q}<\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{i}, 0<\varphi<\pi / \mathrm{n}\right\}
$$

Then, the function T maps Sq conformally onto the rectangle
where

$$
\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}:=\{\mathrm{w}=\xi+\mathrm{in}: 0<\xi<1,0<\eta<\mathrm{h}\},\right\}
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}=-(\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{q}) / \pi \mathrm{o}
$$

so that the four corners of Sq are mapped respectively onto those of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$. It follows from the above that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q}) & =\mathrm{h} \\
& =-(\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{q}) / \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

and that the conformal map $\mathrm{F}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ can be expressed as

$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Tog} .
$$

In other words, the problem of determining $F$ is equivalent to that of determining the conformal map $\mathrm{g}: \Omega_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}}$.

Consider now the case where Q is of the form illustrated in Fig $1(\mathrm{~b})$, and let the $\operatorname{arcs}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $\left(z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ have cartesian equation $y=\tau_{j}\{x\}$ : $\mathrm{j}=1,2$. That is, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}, \tag{3.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\left\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}): 0<\mathrm{x}<1, \tau_{1}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{y}<\tau_{2}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \tag{3.7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=i \tau_{1}(0), \quad z_{2}=1-i \tau_{1}(1), \\
& z_{1}=1+i \tau_{2}(1), \quad z_{3}=i \tau_{2}(0) \tag{3.7c}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the function

$$
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{z}):=\exp (\mathrm{i} \pi \mathrm{z})
$$

maps $\Omega$ conformally onto the upper half of a symmetric doubly-connected domain $\Omega_{\mathrm{d}}$ which has the form (3.6) with $\mathrm{n}=1$ and

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\theta \theta=\exp \left\{-\pi \tau_{\mathrm{j}}(\theta \theta / \pi) ; \mathrm{j}=1,2\right.\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Togov},
$$

and the equivalence of the conformal maps $\mathrm{F}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{g}: \Omega_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}}$. persists.

We end this section by making the following remarks in connection with the use of the compositions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Tog}, \quad \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{Togov}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}=\mathrm{g}^{[-1]} \text { o } \mathrm{T}^{[-1]}, \mathrm{F}^{[-1]}=\mathrm{V}^{[-1]} \text { o g }^{[-1]} \mathrm{o} \mathrm{~T}^{[-1]}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for computing approximations to F and to the inverse conformal map $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}} \rightarrow \Omega$.
(i) As was previously remarked, the application of (3.8) and (3.9) is restricted to quadrilaterals having one of the two special forms illustrated in Fig 1. We note however that the mapping of such quadrilaterals has received considerable attention recently; see e.g. [6], [15], [30], [45], and [56].
(ii) Procdures based on the use of (3.8) and (3.9) are not affected by crowding of the form described in Section 3.1.
(iii) The use of (3.8) or (3.9) for approximating F or $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}$ depends on the availability of a suitable approximation to $g: \Omega_{d} \rightarrow A_{q}$.or $g^{[-1]}$ : $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}$. Although the conformal mapping of doubly-connected domains has received much less attention than that of simply-connected domains, there are several good numerical methods for approximating $g$ and $g^{[-1]}$; see e.g. [7], [8], [9: Kap.V], [15], [19: §17.2-17.5], [23], [34], [47] and
[57]. Furthermore, some of these methods involve more or less the same computational effort as the corresponding methods for approximating $\mathrm{f}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ or $\mathrm{f}^{[-1]}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \Omega$. This can be seen by comparing, for example, the following: (a) The numerical methods for $f$ and $g$ that arise from the integral equation formulations of Symm [46,47]; see in particular [23: §2.3]. (b) The Bergman kernel method for $f$ and the corresponding orthonormalization method for $g$; see $[33,34]$ and [40]. (c) The Theodersen method for $\mathrm{f}^{[-1]}$ and the Garrick method for $\mathrm{g}[-1]$; see e.g. [9: pp 61-107, 194-207], [19: pp 404-408, 474-478] and [15].

### 3.3 A finite element method

Let $\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})$, where as before $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega\right.$.; $\left.\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$, and recall the variational property P2.3, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{h}^{-1} & =\min \left\{\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{u}]: \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{k}\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{u}] \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K, D_{\Omega}[u]$ and $u_{0}$ are respectively the class of functions (2.4), the Dirichlet integral (2.5) and the solution of problem (2.2) with $\mathrm{V}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=1$. Also, let

$$
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}:=\left\{\mathrm{u}: \mathrm{uc}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \mathrm{w}_{1}(\Omega \Omega) \mathrm{u}=0 \text { on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}\right), \mathrm{u}=1 \text { on }\left\{\mathrm{z}_{4}, \mathrm{z}_{1}\right\},\right.
$$

and observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{h} & =\min \left\{\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{u}]: \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}\left[\mathrm{u}_{0}^{\prime}\right] . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}^{\prime}$ is the solution of the Laplacian problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{\mathrm{xy}} \mathrm{u}_{0}^{\prime}=0, \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.12a}\\
& \mathrm{u}_{0}^{\prime}=0, \text { on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}=1, \text { on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{4}, \mathrm{z}_{1}\right)  \tag{3.12b}\\
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{0}^{\prime}}{\partial \mathrm{n}}=0, \text { on }\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right) . \tag{3.12c}
\end{align*}
$$

(This follows by applying P2.3 to the conjugate quadrilateral
$\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}:=\left\{\Omega . ; \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}, \mathrm{z}_{1}\right\}$, and recalling that $\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{-1}$; see property P2.1). Finally, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\min \left\{D_{\Omega}[u]: u \in \hat{K}\right\}, \tag{3.13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\min \left\{\mathrm{D}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{u}]: \mathrm{u} \in \widehat{\mathrm{~K}}^{\prime}\right\} \tag{3.13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ are respectively subclasses of K and $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$. Then, it follows at once from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{-1} \leq \mathrm{h} \leq \beta . \tag{3.13c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above observations form the basis of a finite element method due to Gaier [10], for computing approximations to $h:=m(Q)$ in cases where $\Omega$. is a polygonal domain. More precisely, the method of [10] determines upper and lower bounds to $h$ of the form (3.13), by computing finite element solutions to the two Laplacian problems associated with the quadrilaterals Q and Q '; i.e. to problem (2.2) with $\mathrm{V}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=1$, and to problem (3.12). The finite element discretization used in [10] involves the following: (a)

Partitioning the polygonal region $\bar{\Omega}$ into regular triangular (or rectangular) elements, so that each of the points $\mathrm{Zj} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$, coincides with a node of the subdivision, (b) Taking $\widehat{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ to be finite- dimensional spaces of linear (or bilinear) functions.

The method of [10] has been analyzed fully, particularly by Weisel [58], and estimates of the order of convergence are given in both [10] and [58]; see also [13: pp.69-70]. This is of course an important theoretical advantage of the method. Unfortunately however, the speed of convergence is in general slow, because in any non-trivial application the Laplacian problems associated with $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ contain boundary singularities, i.e. "corner" singularities of the type that arise frequently in the study of elliptic mixed boundary value problems. In particular, serious singularities occur when the polygon $\partial \Omega$ contains re-entrant corners, or when one or more of the points $\mathrm{Zj} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$, do not coincide with corners of $\partial \Omega$. The damaging effect of such singularities is predicted by the analysis of [58], and also by the more general finite element theory of Laplacian boundary value problems which has been developed in recent years; see e.g. [17]. Furthermore, it is now well-known from this general theory that the situation can be improved by the use of singular elements. This
approach has been applied successfully by Weisel [58] in connection with the use of a different, but similar, method for computing approximations to the conformal modules of doubly-connected domains.

### 3.4 A Fourier series method

Let $Q$ be of the form illustrated in Fig. 1(b) and assume that ( $\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}$ ) is a segment of the real axis. That is, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega . ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}, \tag{3.14a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}): 0<\mathrm{x}<1,0<\mathrm{y}<\tau(\mathrm{x})\}, \tag{3.14b}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{1}=0, \quad \mathrm{z}_{2}=1, \quad \mathrm{z}_{3}=1+\mathrm{i} \tau \tau\left(1, \mathrm{z}_{4}=\mathrm{i} \tau \tau(0)\right. \tag{3.14c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $h:=m(Q)$ and, as before, let $F^{[-1]}$ denote the conformal map $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}: \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}} \rightarrow \Omega$. Then, if follows from the Schwarz reflection principle that the conformal map $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}$ can be extended to map the infinite strip $\{(\xi(\eta):-\infty<\xi<\infty, 0<\eta<h\}$ onto the infinite domain bounded by the x-axis and the curve $y=\bar{\tau}(x)$, where $\hat{\tau}$ is the periodic function defined by $\hat{\tau}( \pm x)=\tau(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1$, and $\hat{\tau}(2+x)=\widehat{\tau}(x)$. This implies that the function $F^{[-1]}(W)-W$ is periodic with period 2 , and that $F^{[-1]}$ has a series representation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}(\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{w}+\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}} \sin \mathrm{k} \pi \mathrm{i} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{k}$ are all real.
Let

$$
\mathrm{x}\left(\xi \left(:=\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{F}^{[-1]}(\xi+\mathrm{ih}),\right.\right.
$$

so that

$$
\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}(\xi+\mathrm{ih})=\mathrm{x}(\xi)+\mathrm{i} \tau \tau(\mathrm{x}(\xi))
$$

Then, it is easy to see that the Fourier series expansions of the functions
$x(\xi)$ and $\tau(x(\xi))$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(\xi) \sim \xi+\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \operatorname{cothk} \pi \mathrm{~h} \sin k \pi \mathrm{i} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(\mathrm{x}(\xi)) \sim \mathrm{h}+\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \cos \mathrm{k} \pi \mathrm{o}, \tag{3,17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{k}$ are related to those of (3.15) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}} \sinh \mathrm{k} \pi \mathrm{~h}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (3.17) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=\int_{0}^{1} \tau(\chi(\xi)) \mathrm{d} \xi \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above results lead to a numerical conformal mapping method for quadrilaterals of the form (3.14), which was proposed, but not analyzed, by Challis and Burley [6]. This method of [6] is based on solving a set of nonlinear equations that arise from the discrete Fourier series analogues of (3.16), (3.17) and (3.19), corresponding to the nodes $\xi_{r}:=r / N$; $\mathrm{r}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$. More precisely, the method involves solving iteratively, by a Jacobi type algorithm, the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{x}\left(\xi_{r}\right)=\xi_{r}+\sum_{K=1}^{N-1} \tilde{a}_{k} \operatorname{coth} k \pi \tilde{h} \sin k \pi \xi_{r} ; \quad r=0,1, \ldots ., N, \\
& \tilde{a}_{k}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{r=0}^{N} \tau\left(\tilde{x}\left(\xi_{r}\right)\right) \cos k \pi \xi_{r} ; \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N, \\
& \tilde{h}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=0}^{N} \tau\left(\tilde{x}\left(\xi_{r}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

for the unknowns $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$, and $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}$. Once these unknowns are found, the conformal map $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}$ is approximated by

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{F}}^{[-1]}(\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{w}+\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{k}} \operatorname{cosech} \mathrm{k} \pi \tilde{\mathrm{~h}} \sin \mathrm{k} \pi \mathrm{i}
$$

See Eqs (3.15) and (3.18).
It turns out that the above method of Challis and Burley is equivalent to a known method of the type discussed in Section 3.2, although this is not immediately apparent from the work of [6]. More specifically, the method of [6] is equivalent to expressing $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}$ in the composite form given by the second equation in (3.9), i.e. as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}=\mathrm{V}^{[-1]} \mathrm{og}^{[-1]} \mathrm{ot}^{[-1]}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the well-known method of Garrick ([9], [16], [19], [25]) for approximating the conformal map $\mathrm{g}^{[-1]}: \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}$. This equivalence is established in [15], where also the theory of the method of Garrick is used to provide some theoretical justification for the numerical method proposed in [6].

We end this section by making the following remarks:
(i) The doubly-connected domain $\Omega_{\mathrm{d}}$. corresponding to (3.14) is of the form (3.6) with $\mathrm{n}=1, \quad \rho_{1}(\theta)=1$ and $\rho_{2}(\theta)=\exp \{-\pi \tau(\theta / \pi)\}$. That is, for quadrilaterals of the type considered in [6], the outer boundary component of $\Omega_{d}$. is the unit circle and, because of this, the Garrick algorithm simplifies considerably. This simplified algorithm is particularly efficient if use is made of the fast Fourier transform (FFT). The algorithm is then fast, in the sense that it requires the application of only two FFT's in each iterative step; see [15:4.2,5] and [18: p.74].
(ii) The method of Garrick can, of course, also be used in conjunction with (3.20) for the mapping of quadrilaterals having the more general form (3.7). In this case however, the associated doubly-connected domain $\Omega_{d}$ does not display any special simplifying features, apart from symmetry. Thus, the general Garrick algorithm must be used, and this involves the application of four FFT's in each iterative step; see [15].
(iii) The results of several numerical experiments illustrating the above comments and also the convergence properties of the method of Garrick, are given in [15: Sect.6]; see also [35: Sect.4].
(iv) A Fourier series method has also been used by Wanstrath et al [56], for the mapping of quadrilaterals of the form (3.7). It is of interest to note that their series representation of the mapping function $F^{[-1]}$ can also be derived from the theory of the Garrick method, via the use of (3.20).

### 3.5 A finite difference method

Let $Q:=\left\{\Omega . ; z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$, where the domain $\Omega$. is bounded by four Jordan arcs, and the points $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}$ are the corners where these arcs intersect. That is, the quadrilaterals under consideration are of the form illustrated in Fig. 1, except that now all four sides of $Q$ are allowed to be curved.

The conformal mapping of quadrilaterals of the above special form has been considered recently by Seidl and Klose [45]. Their numerical method involves the use of an iterative algorithm which solves by finite differences a coupled pair of Laplacian mixed boundary value problems in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$, for the unknown functions

$$
\mathrm{x}\left(\xi ( \eta ) = \operatorname { R e } F ^ { [ - 1 ] } ( \xi , \eta ) \text { and } \mathrm { y } \left(\xi(\eta)=\operatorname{Im} F^{[-1]}(\xi, \eta)\right.\right.
$$

(Of course, the height of the rectangle $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$, i.e. the conformal module $h:=m(Q)$, is also an unknown of the two Laplacian problems.)

The method of [45] is based to a large extent on experimental observations. Also, in the numerical examples the authors are mainly interested in comparing the efficiencies of methods for solving the discretized Laplacian problems; e.g. the SLOR and the multigrid.

### 3.6 A modified Schuarz-Christoffel transformation method

This is a recent method due to Howell and Trefethen [24], for computing approximations to $\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})$ and to $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}: \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}} \rightarrow \Omega$ in cases where $\Omega$ is a polygonal domain. The method is designed to overcome the crowding difficulties which, when $h$ is large, affect the use of procedures based on (3.4); see Section 3.1. This is done by using an infinite strip, instead of the unit disc, as the intermediate canonical domain. More precisely the method of [24] is based on expressing $\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{[-1]}=\widehat{\mathrm{f}}^{[-1]} \quad \mathrm{o} \widehat{\mathrm{~s}}^{[-1]}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{s}}^{[-1]}$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{f}}^{[-1]}$ are as follows:
(a)
$\hat{\mathrm{S}}^{[-1]}$ denotes the conformal map of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ onto the infinite strip $\sigma:=\{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}):-\infty<\mathrm{s}<\infty, 0<\mathrm{t}<1\}$, (This map is known exactly in terms of $h$ and the logarithm of a Jacobian elliptic sine.)
(b) $\quad \hat{\mathrm{f}}^{[-1]}: \sigma \rightarrow \Omega$ is a modified Schwarz-Christoffel transformation that maps the strip $\sigma$ onto the polygon $\Omega$. (An algorithm for constructing $\mathrm{f}^{[-1]}$ is described with full computational details in [24].)

The efficiency of methods based on the use of (3.21) is illustrated in [24] by several impressive examples, involving the conformal map of highly elongated quadrilaterals.

## 4. Numerical examples

In this section we present three numerical examples, chosen to illustrate the following:
. The application of the conformal map $F: \Omega \rightarrow R_{h}$ to the solution of "singular" Laplacian boundary value problems of the form (2.2); Examples 1 and 2.
. The remarks (i), (ii) and (iv) made in Section 3.1, in connection with the use of procedures based on expressing the conformal maps F or F[-'] in the composite forms (3.1) or (3.4); Examples 1 and 2.
. The crowding difficuties associated with the use of procedures of the type described in Section 3.1, in cases where the conformal module $h$ is "large" or "small"; Example 3.
. The efficiency of procedures of the type described in Section 3.2, for the mapping of quadrilaterals having one of the two special forms illustrated in Fig. 1; Example 3.

Example 1. Consider the solution of the Laplacian problem (2.2) in the case where:
(a) The quadrilateral $Q:=\left\{\Omega ; z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right\}$ consists of the rectangle

$$
\Omega:\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):|\mathrm{x}|<1, \quad 0<\mathrm{y}<1\},
$$

and the points

$$
\mathrm{z}_{1}=-1, \mathrm{z}_{2}=0, \mathrm{z}_{3}=1, \mathrm{z}_{4}=1+\mathrm{i}
$$

(b) The Dirichlet boundary values are

$$
\mathrm{V}_{1}=500 \text { and } \mathrm{V}_{2}=1,000
$$

This problem has a serious boundary singularity at the point $z_{2}=0$, in the sense that the first derivatives of its solution become unbounded at $z_{2}$. More specifically, the singularity occurs because in the neighbourhood of $z_{2}$ the solution $u$ has a series expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)=500+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} r^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cos (n+1 / 2) \theta, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $\mathrm{r}, \theta$ ) are polar coordinates. (In fact, it can be shown that the expansion (4.1) is valid everywhere in $\Omega$; see [44].)

The above problem is often referred to as the "Motz problem", because it was first considered by Motz [31] in 1946, as an example for solving singular Laplacian problems by a modified finite difference technique. Since then it has become a standard test problem, for comparing the performances of numerical methods in the presence of boundary singularities. Here, we consider the solution of the Motz problem by conformal transformations and, in particular, by expressing the conformal $\operatorname{map} F: \Omega \rightarrow R_{h}$ in the composite form (3.1), i.e. as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\operatorname{Sof} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use of (4.2) recommends itself because in this case the conformal map f : $\Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is known exactly in terms of a Jacobian elliptic sine; see e.g. [4: p44], [32: p280] and [59]. In addition, the conformal module h is known exactly in terms of two complete elliptic integrals of the first kind; see [44: Eq. (6.23)] and [59]. In fact, to nine decimal places,

$$
\mathrm{h}=1.469218032 .
$$

Hence the measure of crowding (3.5) is

$$
\mathrm{C}=0.795805280 .
$$

Therefore, we can conclude that, in this case, the implementation of (4.2) will not be affected by crowding of the form described in Section 3.1.

It follows from the above that (4.2) gives the exact solution of the Motz problem, in terms of elliptic functions and integrals. Surprisingly,
this does not appear to be generally recognized, althoug the exact solution has been available in [59], since 1972.

The conformal map (4.2) has also been used by Rosser and Papamichael [44], for developing a procedure that computes accurate approximations to the coefficients $a_{n}$ of the expansion (4.1). This procedure is essentially based on expressing the coefficients $a_{n}$, in terms of the coefficients in the series expansions of the various elliptic functions and integrals involved in the conformal maps $f$ and $S$. The computed approximations to the values $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} / 500 ; \mathrm{n}=0,1, \ldots, 19$, corresponding to the first twenty coefficients of (4.1), are listed in pages 34 and 35 of [44]. From these we can conclude, for example, that the exact values of the first four coefficients are given, to fourteen significant figures, by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=401.16245374523, \\
& a_{1}=87.655920195088, \\
& a_{2}=17.237415079446,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
a_{3}=-8.0712152596981 .
$$

Example 2. We consider again the solution of a Laplacian problem of the form (2.2), where now:
(a) The quadrilateral $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$ consists of the L-shaped domain

$$
\Omega:=\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-1<\mathrm{x}<3,|\mathrm{y}|<1\} \cup\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):|\mathrm{x}|<1,-1<\mathrm{y}<3\},
$$

and the points

$$
\mathrm{z}_{1}=3-\mathrm{i}, \quad \mathrm{z}_{2}=3+\mathrm{i}, \quad \mathrm{z}_{3}=-1+3 \mathrm{i}, \quad \mathrm{z}_{4}=-1-\mathrm{i} .
$$

(b) The Dirichlet boundary values are

$$
V_{1}=0 \text { and } V_{2}-1 .
$$

The above problem also has a boundary singularity, which this time occurs because the first derivatives of the solution $u$ become unbounded at the re-entrantcorner

$$
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{c}}=1+\mathrm{i} .
$$

In this case the exact conformal map $\mathrm{f}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is not known. However, by using elliptic integrals or symmetry arguments, it can be shown that

$$
\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Q})=1 / \sqrt{3} ;
$$

see [10], and [20,21], That is, the conformal module of Q is given to six decimal places by

$$
\mathrm{h}=0.577350 .
$$

Also, although the exact solution of the Laplacian problem is not known, it is easy to show by using symmetry arguments that the value of $u$ at the re-entrant corner $z_{c}$ is

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}}=2 / 3
$$

For the numerical solution of the problem we use again the composite transformation (3.1). However, since in this case the conformal map $\mathrm{f}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is not known exactly, we perform the transformation $\mathrm{F}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ approximately by means of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{F}}=\operatorname{So} \underset{\mathrm{f}}{ } \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is an approximation to $f$. More specifically, the approximation $\tilde{f}$ is obtained by using the Bergman kernel method (BKM), i.e. an orthonormalization method based on the properties of the Bergman kernel function of $\Omega$. Full details of the BKM procedure used can be found, for example, in [33]. Here, we only note that the BKM leads to approximations of the form

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})
$$

that in our case $\tilde{f}:=f_{26}$, and that the coefficients $\alpha_{n}$ and basis functions $v_{\Omega} ; n=1,2, \ldots, 26$, involved in the formula for $\tilde{f}$, are listed in [36: Ex.
2.3]. We also note that the estimate of the maximum error in $|\tilde{f}|$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=2.2 \times 10^{-5} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding crowding, in this case (3.5) gives

$$
\mathrm{C}=8 \exp \{-\pi \sqrt{3 / 2}\}=0.526629
$$

i.e. there are no crowding difficulties. We can therefore conclude that the estimate (4.4) also gives a good indication of the accuracy of the approximation (4.3) and of our numerical solution to the Laplacian problem. This is confirmed by the computed approximations to the conformal module h and to the value $u_{c}$, which are as follows:

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{h}}=0.570340 \text { and } \widetilde{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.666674,
$$

i.e., $h-\tilde{h}=1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\tilde{u}_{c}-u_{c}=7.0 \times 10^{-6}$. (The computed approximations to u at several other points in $\bar{\Omega}$ are listed in [37: p82].) By comparison, the finite element method outlined in Section 3.3 gives the following lower and upper bounds to $h$ :

$$
0.57725<\mathrm{h}<0.57745 .
$$

These bounds were obtained in [10: p191], by solving a set of 12,351 linear equations corresponding to a discretization based on the use of rectangular elements and bilinear test functions.

Further examples, illustrating the application of the composite transformation (3.1) to the solution of Laplacian and other more general elliptic boundary value problems, can be found in [37] and [42].

Example 3. Let $\mathrm{Q}_{\ell}$, denote the quadrilateralillustrated in Fig. 2. That is, $Q_{\ell}:=\left\{\Omega_{\ell} ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$ where:
(a) $\Omega_{\ell}$ is the trapezium bounded by the real and imaginary axes and the lines $\mathrm{x}=\ell$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}+\ell-1$, where $\ell>1$.
(b) The points $\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}$ are the corners of $\Omega_{\ell}$, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{1}=0, \mathrm{z}_{2}=1, \mathrm{z}_{3}=1+\mathrm{i} \ell, \mathrm{z}_{4}=\mathrm{i}(\ell-1) .
$$

Also, let $\mathrm{h}_{\ell}:=\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ and observe that the exact values of $\mathrm{h}_{\ell}$ are known in terms of elliptic integrals; see [4: p104]. For example, the exact values of $h_{2.5}, h_{5.0}$ and $h_{10.0}$ are given, to nine decimal places, by:

$$
\mathrm{h}_{2.5}=1.779359959, \quad \mathrm{~h}_{5.0}=4.279364400
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{h}_{10,0}=9.279364400 .
$$

In this example we compute approximations to $\mathrm{h}_{\ell} ; \ell=2.5,5.0,10.0$, by using each of the following two methods:
Method 1: This method is based on using the composite form (3.1), i.e. on approximating the conformal map $\mathrm{f}_{\ell}: \Omega_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$; see Section 3.1. As in Example 2, the approximation to $f_{\ell}$ is obtained by using the BKM.
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Method 2: This method is based on using the composite form (3.8), i.e. on approximating the conformal map $\mathrm{g}_{\ell}: \Omega_{(\ell) \mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}}$, where $\Omega_{(\ell) \mathrm{d}}$ is the doubly-connected domain associated with the quadrilateral $\mathrm{Q}_{\ell}$; see Section 3.2. The approximation to $g_{\ell}$ is obtained by an orthonormalization method (ONM), which may be regarded as the generalizaiton of the BKM to the mapping of doubly-connected domains. (The details of the ONM procedure used can be found in [34].)

The approximations $\overline{\mathrm{h}}_{\ell}$ to $\mathrm{h}_{\ell} ; \quad \ell=2.5,5.0,10.0$, computed by each of the above methods are listed in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. In the tables we also list the values E£ and $\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}$, whose meanings are as follows:
. $\mathrm{E}_{\ell}$ denotes the estimate of the maximum error in modulus in the BKM
approximation to $\mathrm{f}_{\ell}: \Omega_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ (Table 1), or the ONM approximation to $\mathrm{g}_{\ell}: \Omega_{(\ell) \mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}}($ table 2$)$.
.$\varepsilon_{\ell}$ denotes the absolute error in the approximation $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\ell}$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{\ell}:=$ $\left|\tilde{h}_{\ell}-\mathrm{h}_{\ell}\right|$.

In addition, in Table 1 we list the values

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\ell}:=8 \exp \left\{-\pi \mathrm{h}_{\ell} / 2\right\} ; \ell,=2.5,5.0,10.0
$$

which measure the "crowding" associated with the use of Method 1 ; see Eq. (3.5).

TABLE 1 (Method 1)

| $\ell$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\ell}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\ell}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\ell}$ | $\epsilon_{\ell}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.5 | $3,5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.779360754 | $8.0 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 5.0 | $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | 4.279424389 | $6.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 10.0 | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-6}$ | Method fails | - |

TABLE $2($ Method 2$)$

| $\ell$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\ell}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\ell}$ | $\epsilon_{\ell}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.5 | $1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.799359961 | $2.0 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 5.0 | $6.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | 4.279364401 | $1.0 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 10.0 | $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | 9.279364291 | $1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ |

We make the following remarks in connection with the results of Method I, listed in Table 1:
. The valueC $\mathrm{C}_{2.5}$ indicates that no crowding occurs in the case $\ell=2.5$. For this reason, Method 1 gives an accurate approximation to $h_{2.5}$, with $\varepsilon_{2.5}<\mathrm{E}_{2.5}$
. Although the value $\mathrm{C}_{5.0}$ indicates a noticeable amount of crowding, the method leads to a perfectly adequate approximation $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}_{5.0}$. This occurs
because $\mathrm{C}_{5.0}$ is substantially larger than $\mathrm{E}_{5.0}$ However, the computed approximation is contaminated somewhat by the effects of crowding and, as a result, $\varepsilon_{5.0}>\mathrm{E}_{5.0}$

When $\ell=10.0$, the crowding on $|\zeta|=1$ is severe. In this case $\mathrm{C}_{10.0}<\mathrm{E}_{10.0}$ and, not surprisingly, the BKM fails to compute the images of the points Zj in the correct order. For this reason, Method 1 fails completely in this case.

The results to Table 2 illustrate the high accuracy that can be achieved by methods of the type discussed in Section 3.2. We note in particular that, for each of the three values of $\ell$, the error $\varepsilon_{\ell}$ is substantially smaller than the corresponding error estimate $\mathrm{E}_{\ell}$. This is due to the fact that, in general, numerical methods for the conformal map $\mathrm{g}: \Omega_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\right.$ or $\mathrm{g}^{[-1]}: \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) approximate $\mathrm{q}($ and hence h$)$ more accurately than $g\left(\right.$ or $\left.g^{[-1]}\right)$.

Further numerical examples, similar to the one considered here, can be found in [35: Sect.4].

## 5. A domain decomposition method for long quadrilaterals

In this section we discuss briefly some recent work, concerning a domain decomposition method for the mapping of quadrilaterals of the form illustrated in Fig. 1(b).

In order to motivate our discussion, we consider again the quadrilateral $\mathrm{Q}_{\ell}$ illustastrated in Fig. 2 and, with the notation of Example 3, we list below the exact values of $h_{\ell}:=m\left(Q_{\ell}\right) ; \ell=2.0,2.5,4.0,5.0,10.0$, which were computed to twelve decimal places from the formulae of Bowman [4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{2.0}=1.279261571171, \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{2.5}=1.779359959478, \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{4.0}=3.279364399489, \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{5.0}=4.279364399847, \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{10.0}=9.279364399847,
\end{aligned}
$$

The above values indicate that $h_{2.5}, h_{4.0}, h_{5.0}$, and $h_{10.0}$ are given respectively, to three, five, eight and twelve decimal places by $\mathrm{h}_{2.0}+0.5, \mathrm{~h}_{2.5}+1.5, \mathrm{~h}_{4.0}+1.0$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{s} .0}+5.0$. In fact, a closer inspection suggests that, for "large" $h_{\ell}$,

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\ell+\mathrm{c}}-\left(\mathrm{h}_{\ell}+\mathrm{c}\right)-\exp \left\{-2 \pi \pi_{\ell}\right\}, \quad \mathrm{c}>0 .
$$

This is an example of a much more general phenomenon, which can be described as follows:

Let $\mathrm{Q}:=\left\{\Omega ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\}$ be a quadrilateral of the form illustrated in Fig. 1(b), where:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\Omega & :=\left\{\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}: 0<\mathrm{x}<1, \tau,(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{y}<\tau_{2}(\mathrm{x})\right\}\right. \\
& \tau_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{x})>0, \mathrm{x} \in[0,1] ; \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
z_{1}=-i \tau_{1}(0), \quad z_{2}=1-i \tau_{1}(1), \\
z_{3}=1+i \tau_{2}(1), \quad z_{4}=i \tau_{2}(0)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Also let

$$
\Omega_{1}:=\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}: 0<\mathrm{x}<1,-\tau,(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{y}<0\},
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{2}:=\left\{\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}: 0<\mathrm{x}<1, \tau, 0<\mathrm{y}<\tau_{2}(\mathrm{x})\right\},\right.
$$

So that $\bar{\Omega}=\bar{\Omega}_{1} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{2}$, and let

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{1}:=\left\{\Omega_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, 1,0\right\} \text { and } . \mathrm{Q}_{2}:=\left\{\Omega_{2} ; 1,0, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right\} .
$$

Finally, let $h:=m(Q), h_{j}:=m\left(Q_{j}\right) ; j=1,2$, and $h^{*}=\min \left(h, h_{2}\right)$. Then for large $h^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h-\left(h_{1}+h,\right) \sim \exp \left\{-2 \pi h^{*}\right\} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, if $Q$ is a "long" quadrilateral, then $h:=m(Q)$ can be approximated closely by the sum $h_{1}+h_{2}$ of the conformal modules of the two smaller quadrilaterals $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. In fact, by imposing certain smoothness conditions on the functions $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2$, it is possible to obtain precis estimates of $h-\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)$. Such estimates are derived in [39], where also the analysis of a decomposition method, for determining the full conformal map $F: \Omega \rightarrow R_{h}$ in terms of $F_{1}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow R_{h_{1}}$ and $F_{2}: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow R_{h 2}$, is given; see also [38].

To illustrate the practical significance of (5.1), we recall the numerical results which were obtained in Example 3, by the use of Method 1. In particular, we recall that the crowding on the unit circle had affected the accuracy of the approximation to $h_{5} 0$, and had caused the procedure to fail completely in the case $\ell=10.0$. These crowding difficulties can be overcome, quite simply, by domain decomposition. For example, $h_{5.0}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{10.0}$ can be approximated respectively by

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{h}}_{5.0}:=\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{2.5}+2.5=4.279360754
$$

and

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{h}}_{10.0}:=\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{2.5}+7.5=9.279360754,
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{2.5}$ is the accurate Method 1 approximation to h 2.5 listed in Table 1 In this way we find that the error in both $\widehat{\mathrm{h}}_{5.0}$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{h}}_{10.0}$ is $\hat{\varepsilon}=3.6 \times 10^{-6}$, i.e. $\hat{\varepsilon} \simeq \mathrm{E}_{2.5}$.
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