TR/05/84 May 1984

Principal Stress and Strain Trajectories in Non-Linear Elastostatics

by

R.W. Ogden

PRINCIPAL STRESS AND STRAIN

TRAJECTORIES IN NON-LINEAR ELASTOSTATICS

By R.W. OGDEN†

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Brunel University)

[Received 1

SUMMARY

The Maxwell-Lame equations governing the principal components of Cauchy stress for plane deformations are well known in the context of photo-elasticity, and they form a pair of coupled first-order hyperbolic partial differential equations when the deformation geometry is known. In the present paper this theme is developed for non-linear isotropic elastic materials by supplementing the (Lagrangean form of the) equilibrium equations by a pair of compatibility equations governing the deformation. The resulting equations form a system of four first-order partial differential equations governing the principal stretches of the plane deformation and the two angles which define the orientation of the Lagrangean and Eulerian principal axes of the

---

†Now at Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow.

deformation. Coordinate curves are chosen to coincide locally with the Lagrangean (Eulerian) principal strain trajectories in the undeformed (deformed) material.

Coupled with appropriate boundary conditions these equations can be used to calculate directly the principal stretches and stresses together with their trajectories. The theory is illustrated by means of a simple example.

1. Introduction

In plane linear elasticity the equilibrium equations in the absence of body forces may be written in the form

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial \xi} + \frac{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)}{\rho_\eta} &= 0 \quad , \\ \frac{\partial \sigma_2}{\partial \eta} + \frac{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)}{\rho_\xi} &= 0 \quad , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{1}$$

where σ_1 ,σ_2 are the in-plane principal stresses, (ξ,n) are (orthogonal) curvilinear coordinates corresponding to coordinate directions coinciding locally with the in-plane principal directions of stress, and ρ_ξ ρ_η are the radii of curvature of the coordinate curves η = constant and ξ - constant respectively.

If θ denotes the direction of the tangent to the coordinate curves n = constant relative to the x_1 - axis of an in—plane rectangular Cartesian coordinate system (x_1,x_2), then

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2\sigma_{i2}}{\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22}} \quad , \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha_{\alpha ß}$ (α,ß=1,2) are the Cartesian components of the stress tensor.

We also have

$$\frac{1}{\rho\xi} = \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\xi}\ , \quad \frac{1}{\rho\eta} = \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\eta}\ . \tag{3}$$

The (orthogonal) coordinate transformation between (x_1,x_2) and (ξ,n) satisfies

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \xi} &= \cos\theta \ , \quad \frac{\partial x1}{\partial \eta} = -\sin\theta\ , \\ \frac{\partial x2}{\partial \xi} &= \sin\theta \ , \quad \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \eta} = -\cos\theta\ , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_1} &= \cos\theta \ , \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_2} = \sin\theta\ , \\ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_2} &= -\sin\theta \ , \quad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_2} = \cos\theta\ , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{5}$$

For an isotropic elastic material equation (2) is coupled with

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2e_{i2}}{e_{11} - e_{z2}}\ , \tag{6}$$

where $e_{\alpha\beta}$. $(\alpha,\beta=1,2)$ are the Cartesian components of the infinitesimal strain tensor (whose principal directions then coincide with those of the stress tensor).

Equations (1) are known as the Maxwell-Lame equations and they are used as a basis for comparing experimental results with theory in the context of photoelasticity; see, for example, (1). Assuming that θ,p_ξ,p_n and the principal strains are known from experimental measurements equations (1) serve to determine the principal stresses σ_1,σ_2 and hence the stress trajectories. Thus the properties of an isotropic elastic material can be assessed in non-homogeneous deformations. In this framework the hyperbolic character of equations (1) has been remarked upon in (2).

Clearly, equations (1) apply to any material in equilibrium in the absence of body forces, as also do equations (2) - (5). In particular, they apply in non-linear elasticity.

The objective of the present paper is first to provide a Lagrangean formulation of the equilibrium equations, analogous to (1), for non-linear elastic materials and secondly to supplement these with appropriate compatibility equations. The resulting system of four equations with four dependent variables forms a first-order system (not, in general, hyperbolic).
For any given non-linear isotropic elastic constitutive law the equations may be solved for the deformation when suitable boundary conditions are prescribed.

The specialization of the above-mentioned compatibility conditions to the case-of linear isotropic elasticity yields a second-order equation coupling θ with the principal infinitestinal strains e_1, e_2. With equations (1) and Hooke's Law this forms a system of three equations for e_1, e_2 and θ.

The equations that we have obtained for non-linear elasticity are new; moreover, their specialization to the linear case has not, apparently, appeared in the literature previously.

The formulation of the equations provided here is particularly suited to the calculation of stress and strain trajectories in a deformed elastic material. It has the advantage that it requires the constitutive law of an isotropic elastic material to be expressed in terms of the principal stretches of the deformation (which have immediate physical interpretations). Moreover, the equations are in a form, which facilitates the numerical computation of solutions to boundary-value problems.

The use of the equations is illustrated by their application to a simple problem whose solution does not require a numerical treatment. From the computational viewpoint the equations and boundary conditions have some novel features, and it is appropriate to deal with these in a separate paper.

2. Deformation and stress

Let $B_0 \subset E^3$, where E^3 denotes a three-dimensional Euclidean space, be the region occupied by the considered material body in some reference configuration. Let $x : B_0 \to B \subset E^3$ denote the deformation of the body from B_0 onto the region B in some current configuration. We label points in B_0 and B by their position vectors $\underset{\sim}{X}$ and $\underset{\sim}{x}$ respectively relative to an appropriate choice of origin, so that

$$\underset{\sim}{x} = \underset{\sim}{x}(\underset{\sim}{X}) \quad , \underset{\sim}{x} \in B_0 \quad . \tag{7}$$

The boundaries of B_0 and B are denoted by ∂B_0 and ∂B respectively -

The deformation gradient tensor $\underset{\sim}{A}$ is defined by

$$\underset{\sim}{A} = \text{Grad} \quad \underset{\sim}{X} \quad , \tag{8}$$

where Grad denotes the gradient operator with respect to $\underset{\sim}{X}$ and is subject to det $\underset{\sim}{A} > 0$. Polar decomposition of $\underset{\sim}{A}$ yields

$$A = RU = VR, \tag{9}$$

Where $\underset{\sim}{R}$ is a proper orthogonal tensor and $\underset{\sim}{U}$ and $\underset{\sim}{V}$ are positive definite symmetric tensors (respectively the right and left stretch tensors).

We may represent $\underset{\sim}{U}$ and $\underset{\sim}{V}$ in the spectral forms

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \underset{\sim}{U} &= \lambda_1 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)} + \lambda_2 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)} + \lambda_3 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)} \quad, \\ \underset{\sim}{V} &= \lambda_1 \underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)} + \lambda_2 \underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)} + \lambda_3 \underset{\sim}{v}^{(3)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{v}^{(3)} \quad, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{10}$$

where λ_1, λ_2, λ_3 are the principal stretches, $(\underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)}, \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)}, \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)})$ and $(\underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)}, \underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)}, \underset{\sim}{v}^{(3)})$ are two sets of orthonormal vectors defining respectively the Lagrangean and Eulerian principal directions (i.e. the principal axes of the Lagrangean and Eulerian strain ellipsoids), and

$$\underset{\sim}{v}^{(i)} = \underset{\sim}{R}\underset{\sim}{u}^{(i)} \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 \;. \tag{11}$$

It follows from (9) - (11) that

$$\underset{\sim}{A} = \lambda_1 \underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)} + \lambda_2 \underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)} + \lambda_3 \underset{\sim}{v}^{(3)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)} \quad . \tag{12}$$

For an incompressible material

$$\det \underset{\sim}{A} = \det \underset{\sim}{U} \equiv \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1 \,. \tag{13}$$

for each point of B_0.

For an <u>isotropic</u> elastic material the nominal stress tensor $\underset{\sim}{S}$ may be written

$$S = TR^T \tag{14}$$

analogously to (9), where $\underset{\sim}{T}$ is the (symmetric) Biot stress tensor and ^{T} denotes the transpose of a tensor (see, for example, (3) and (4)). Since the material is isotropic (relative to B_0.), $\underset{\sim}{T}$ is coaxial with $\underset{\sim}{U}$ and hence we may write

$$\underset{\sim}{T} = t_1 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)} + t_2 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)} + t_3 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)} , \tag{15}$$

where t_1 ,t_2 , t_3 are the principal Biot stresses, and

$$\underset{\sim}{S} = t_1 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)} + t_2 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)} + t_3 \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)} \otimes \underset{\sim}{v}^{(3)} , \tag{16}$$

If the elastic material possesses a strain-energy function W per unit reference volume then

$$S = \frac{\partial W}{\partial A} \tag{17}$$

For W to be objective (i.e. indifferent to superimposed rigid-body rotations) we must have

$$W(\underset{\sim}{A}) \equiv W(\underset{\sim}{U}) , \tag{18}$$

and then

$$\underset{\sim}{T} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \underset{\sim}{U}} . \tag{19}$$

Further, for an isotropic elastic material W depends on $\underset{\sim}{U}$ only through $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3$, and is indifferent to interchange of any pair of $\lambda_1 , \lambda_2 , \lambda_3$. In this case we write

$$W(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = W(\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \lambda_2) = W(\lambda_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \tag{20}$$

and then

$$t_i = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_i} \qquad i = 1,2,3. \tag{21}$$

For an incompressible material equation (13) applies and equations (17), (19) and (21) are replaced by

$$\underset{\sim}{S} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \underset{\sim}{A}} - p\,\underset{\sim}{A}^{-1}\ , \tag{22}$$

$$\underset{\sim}{T} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \underset{\sim}{U}} - p\,\underset{\sim}{U}^{-1}, \tag{23}$$

$$t_i = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_i} - p\lambda_i^{-1} \quad i = 1,2,3, \tag{24}$$

respectively, where p is a Lagrange multiplier.

Let (X_1,X_2,X_3) and (x_1,x_2,x_3) denote rectangular Cartesian components of $\underline{X}$ and $\underline{X}$ respectively. Henceforth we restrict attention to plane problems in which x_1,x_2 depend only on X_1,X_2, and $x_3 = \lambda_3.X_3$, where λ_3 is a constant. We may then represent the vectors , $\underset{\sim}{u}^{(i)}$ and $\underset{\sim}{v}^{(i)}$, $i = 1,2,3$, in terms of their Cartesian components:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)} = (\cos\ \theta_L, \sin\theta_L, 0),\ \underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)} = (-\sin\theta_L, \cos\theta_L, 0),\ \underset{\sim}{u}^{(3)} = (0,0,1), \\ &\underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)} = (\cos\ \theta\cos\theta E\ _E, 0),\ \underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)} = (-\sin\theta_E, \cos\theta_E, 0),\ \underset{\sim}{v}^{(3)} = (0,0,1), \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{25}$$

The labels 'L' and 'E' refer to 'Lagrangean' and 'Eulerian' respectively, and θ_L and θ_E describe the orientation of the Lagrangean and Eulerian principal directions in the considered plane (being measured in the anticlockwise sense from the X_1-axis).

From (12), (16) and (25) it follows that the non-vanishing Cartesian components of A and S are given by

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &A_{11} = \lambda_1\cos\theta_L\cos\theta_E + \lambda_2\sin\theta_L\sin\theta_E,\ A_{12} = \lambda_1\sin\theta_L\cos\theta_E - \lambda_2\cos\theta_L\theta_E, \\ &A_{21} = \lambda_1\cos\theta_L\cos\theta_E + \lambda_2\sin\theta_L\sin\theta_E,\ A_{22} = \lambda_1\sin\theta_L\cos\theta_E - \lambda_2\cos\theta_L\theta_E, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{26}$$

$$A_{33} = \lambda_3 \quad , \tag{27}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} s_{11} &= t_1 \cos\theta_L \cos\theta_E + t_2 \sin\theta_L , \sin_E , S_{12} = t_1 \cos\theta_L \sin\theta_E - t_2 \sin\theta_L \cos\theta_E , \\ s_{21} &= t_1 \sin\theta_L \cos\theta_E + t_2 \cos\theta_L \sin\theta_E , s_{22} = t_1 \sin\theta_L \sin\theta_E + t_2 \cos\theta_L \cos\theta_E , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{28}$$

$$S_{33} = t_3 \quad . \tag{29}$$

3. The governing equations

For the plane deformation considered above the equilibrium equation may be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial S_{11}}{\partial X_1} + \frac{\partial S_{21}}{\partial X_2} = 0 \quad , \frac{\partial S_{12}}{\partial X_1} + \frac{\partial S_{22}}{\partial X_2} = 0 \tag{30}$$

when there are no body forces. Substitution of the expressions (28) into (30) followed by elimination of terms involving $\cos\theta_E$ and $\sin\theta_E$ then yields the equations

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\left(\cos\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_1} + \sin\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_2}\right)t_1 + t_1\left(-\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_1} + \cos\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_2}\right)\theta_L - t_2\left(-\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_1} + \cos\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_2}\right)\theta_E = 0, \\ &\left(-\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_1} + \cos\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_2}\right)t_1 + t_1\left(-\cos\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_1} + \sin\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_2}\right)\theta_E - t_2\left(-\cos\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_1} + \sin\theta\frac{\partial}{L\partial X_2}\right)\theta_L = 0. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{31}$$

This prompts the introduction of (orthogonal) Lagrangean curvilinear coordinates (ξ,n) such that

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial \xi} &= \cos\theta_L \quad , \quad \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial \eta} = -\sin\theta_L \quad , \\ \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial \xi} &= \sin\theta_L \quad , \quad \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial \eta} = -\cos\theta_L \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial X_1} &= \cos\ \theta \quad , \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial X_2} = \sin\ \theta_L \quad ,\\ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial X_1} &= -\sin\ \theta \quad , \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial X_2} = \cos\ \theta_L \quad ,\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{33}$$

analogously to (4) and (5). Note that the Jacobian determinant of the transformation between (X_1, X_2) and (ξ,η) has value unity. The equilibrium equations (31) now take on the form

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial t_1}{\partial \xi} + t_1 \frac{\partial \theta_L}{\partial \eta} - t_2 \frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \eta} &= 0 \quad ,\\ \frac{\partial t_2}{\partial \eta} + t_2 \frac{\partial \theta_L}{\partial \xi} - t_1 \frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \xi} &= 0 \quad ,\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{34}$$

with t_1 ,t_2 , θ_L and θ_E regarded as functions of the independent variables (ξ, η).

When the constitutive law is given in the form (21) then (34) may be rewritten with λ_1 , λ_2 θ_L and 6,, as the dependent variables. If the deformation $\underset{\sim}{X}$ is known then the associated values of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \theta_L$, and θ_E are uniquely determined by the gradient $\underset{\sim}{A}$ (subject to $0 \le \theta_L \le \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \le \theta_E \le \frac{\pi}{2}$), but, in general, an $\underset{\sim}{A}$ with in-plane components (26) constructed from given values of λ_1 , λ_2 θ_L and θ_E need not be the gradient of a deformation function $\underset{\sim}{X}$ To ensure that is $\underset{\sim}{A}$ a deformation gradient we require that the compatibility equations

$$\frac{\partial A_{22}}{\partial X_1} - \frac{\partial A_{21}}{\partial X_2} = 0 \quad , \frac{\partial A_{12}}{\partial X_1} - \frac{\partial A_{11}}{\partial X_2} = 0 \tag{35}$$

hold.

Comparison of (35) with (30) and (26) with (28) shows that (35) can be recast immediately as equations for λ_1 ,λ_2 ,θ_L and θ_E , namely

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial \xi} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial \theta_L}{\partial \eta} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \eta} &= 0 ,\\ \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial \eta} + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \theta_L}{\partial \xi} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \xi} &= 0 .\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{36}$$

Through (21), equations (34) and (36) form a set of four first-order partial differential equations for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \theta_L$ and θ_E when the material has no internal constraints, and, by (24), for one of λ_1 and λ_2 together with p, θ_L and θ_E when the material is incompressible. Equations (34) form a hyperbolic system when θ_L and θ_E are known, (ξ, η) being characteristic coordinates associated with families of characteristic curves locally tangential to $\underline{u}^{(1)}$ and $\underline{u}^{(2)}$ and defined by

$$\xi = \xi(X_1, X_2) = \text{constant}, \eta = \eta(X_1, X_2) = \text{constant} \tag{37}$$

in any plane section X_3 = constant of B_0, subject to (32) or (33). Let such a section be denoted by $\bar{B}_0$ and its curvilinear boundary by $\partial\bar{B}_0$

The tangent to a characteristic η = constant is given by

$$\frac{dX_2}{dX_1} = \tan \theta_L \tag{38}$$

and that to ξ = constant by

$$\frac{dX_2}{dX_1} = -\cos\theta_L \qquad (39)$$

Equally, (36) form a similar hyperbolic system when θ_L and θ_E are known. However, when taken together as equations for $\theta_L, \theta_E, \lambda_1$ and λ_2 . (34) and (36) are not in general hyperbolic. Indeed, if the original equations for x_1 and x_2 are (strongly) elliptic, as is often assumed, then so are equations (34) and (36) jointly. In this case the coordinates (ξ, η) are not associated with characteristics, but merely with the Lagrangean principal directions.

The formulation of a boundary-value problem is complete when a pair of suitable boundary conditions is prescribed on $\partial\bar{B}_0$. As we shall see in Section 4, such a pair may be recast as two equations linking λ_1 ,λ_2 ,θ_L and θ_E - (or λ_1-,p,θ_L and θ_E as appropriate) on $\partial\bar{B}_0$ (or its image under (37)).

4. Boundary conditions

(a) Boundary condition of traction

Let $\underset{\sim}{N}$ denote the unit outward normal to $\partial\bar{B}_0$, Then, by (16) with (25), we may write the boundary traction $\underset{\sim}{T}$ as

$$\underset{\sim}{T} = \underset{\sim}{S}^T \underset{\sim}{N} \equiv t_1(\underset{\sim}{N}\,\underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)})\,\underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)} + t_2(\underset{\sim}{N}.\,\underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)})\,\underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)} \qquad (40)$$

per unit length of $\partial\bar{B}_0$ for the plane problem under consideration. The traction on a plane X_3 = constant is $t_3\,\underset{\sim}{v}^{(3)}$.

Let $\underset{\sim}{N}$ have Cartesian components $(-\sin\theta, \cos\theta, 0)$ and the tangent vector $\underset{\sim}{M}$ to $\partial\bar{B}_0$ have corresponding components $(\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0)$. Then (40) yields

$$\left.\begin{aligned} t_1 \sin(\theta_L - \theta)\cos\theta_E - t_2\cos(\theta_L - \theta)\sin\theta_E &= \tau_1 , \\ t_1 \sin(\theta_L - \theta)\sin\theta_E + t_2\cos(\theta_L - \theta)\cos\theta_E &= \tau_2 , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{41}$$

where $\tau_1\tau_2$ are the Cartesian components of $\underset{\sim}{\tau}$ which, together with θ, are known as functions of X_1 and X_2 on $\partial\bar{B}_0$ (in the case of dead load tractions).

We also have $t_3 = \partial W/\partial\lambda_3$, and for plane strain this equation specifies the normal stress required to maintain fixed λ_3.

(b) <u>Boundary condition of place</u>

If $x_\alpha = x_\alpha(X_1, X_2)$, $\alpha = 1,2$, is prescribed on $\partial\bar{B}_0$ then

$$(\underset{\sim}{M}\,\mathrm{Grad})\,\underset{\sim}{X} \equiv \underset{\sim}{A}\underset{\sim}{M} \equiv \underset{\sim}{R}\underset{\sim}{U}\underset{\sim}{M}$$

is known and directed along the tangent to the deformed boundary (i.e. $\underset{\sim}{M}$ is an embedded vector). We may write the boundary condition as

$$\lambda_1(\underset{\sim}{M}\cdot\underset{\sim}{u}^{(1)})\underset{\sim}{v}^{(1)} + \lambda_2(\underset{\sim}{M}\,\underset{\sim}{u}^{(2)})\underset{\sim}{v}^{(2)} = \underset{\sim}{w} , \tag{42}$$

with $\underset{\sim}{W}$ prescribed on $\partial\bar{B}_0$. In Cartesian components this takes the form

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \lambda_1\cos(\theta - \theta)\cos\theta_E - \lambda_2\sin(\theta_L - \theta)\sin\theta_E &= w_1 , \\ \lambda_1\cos(\theta_L - \theta)\sin\theta_E + \lambda_2\sin(\theta_L - \theta)\cos\theta_E &= w_2 , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{43}$$

analogously to (41).

In principle the four dependent variables can be found from the above equations and boundary conditions. The two boundary conditions interconnect these variables at each point of the boundary $\partial\overline{B}_0$. The analytical solution of the equations is illustrated in Section 6 for a simple problem, while details of the numerical solution of boundary-value problems are reserved for a subsequent paper.

Once λ_1, λ_2, θ_L and θ_E have been determined, the deformation function is obtained by integration of $d\underset{\sim}{x} = \underset{\sim}{A}\, d\underset{\sim}{X}$ using (26) and (32).

5. Eulerian formulation

Here we provide an alternative formulation of the governing equations based on the current configuration with coordinate curves along the Eulerian principal axes. Analogously to (32) we have

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \xi^*}\theta &= \cos\theta_E \quad , \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \eta^*} = -\sin\theta_E \quad , \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \xi^*}\theta &= \sin\theta_E \quad , \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \eta^*} = \cos\theta_E \quad , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{44}$$

where the current curvilinear coordinates (ξ^*, n^*) are such that

$$\frac{\partial \xi^*}{\partial \xi} = \lambda_1 \, , \frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \eta} = \lambda_2 \, , \frac{\partial \xi^*}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \xi} = 0 \, . \tag{45}$$

In terms of the principal components σ_1, σ_2 of the Cauchy stress tensor J^{-1} AS , the equilibrium equations (34) may be rewritten as

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial \xi^*} + (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \eta^*} = 0 \quad , \\ \frac{\partial \sigma_2}{\partial \eta^*} + (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \xi^*} = 0 \quad , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{46}$$

which, in different notation, are the same as (1). The compatibility equations (36) may similarly be expressed in terms of ξ^* and n^*.

In the linear theory (ξ^*, n^*) are identified with (ξ, n) and we introduce the principal infinitesimal strains $e_1 = \lambda_1 - 1, e = \lambda_2 - 1$ with λ_3 fixed as unity. From (36), we then obtain

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(\theta_L - \theta_E) = \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial \eta} - (e_1 - e_2)\frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \xi} \; , \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(\theta_L - \theta_E) = \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial \xi} + (e_1 - e_2)\frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \eta} \; , \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{47}$$

correct to the first order in e_1 , e_2 and their derivatives. This means that, to this order, θ cannot be identified with θ_E. However, elimination of θ_L between the two equations in (47) yields

$$\frac{\partial^2 e_1}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 e_2}{\partial \xi^2} - 2(e_1 - e_2)\frac{\partial^2 \theta_E}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(e_1 - e_2)\frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(e_1 - e_2)\frac{\partial \theta_E}{\partial \xi} = 0 \; . \tag{48}$$

Equations (46), with (ξ^*, η^*) replaced by (ξ, η), and (48), together with the constitutive relations

$$\sigma_\alpha = 2\mu e_\alpha + \lambda(e_1 + e_2) \quad \alpha = 1,2,$$

for a linear isotropic elastic material, where λ and μ are the Lame moduli, form a coupled system of three equations for e_1, e_2 and θ_E . Note that $e_1 + e_2$ also satisfies Laplace's equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}\right)(e_1 + e_2) = 0$$

6. Illustration : flexure of a rectaneular block

We consider a plane strain problem with $\lambda_3 = 1$ for a body whose undeformed plane section is defined by

$$- A \leq X_1 \leq A, \quad - B \leq X_2, \leq B .$$

Suppose this section is deformed into a sector of a circular annulus in such a way that straight lines X_1 = constant become circles r = constant and straight lines X_2 = constant become radial lines θ = constant, where r and θ are plane polar coordinates. For an incompressible material the deformation is described by

$$r^2 = \beta + \frac{2X_1}{\alpha}, \theta = \alpha X_2 \quad , \tag{49}$$

where α and ß are constants (to be determined by the boundary conditions). For detailed discussion of this deformation we refer to (4) - (6).

It is easily shown from the above that $\theta_L = 0, \theta_E = \theta$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^{-1} = \alpha r$ From (32) we deduce that the coordinates (ξ,η) can be identified with (X_1,X_2). The compatibility equations

(36) are automatically satisfied and the equilibrium equations reduce to

$$\frac{\partial t_1}{\partial X_1} = \alpha t_2 \ , \frac{\partial t_2}{\partial X_2} = 0 . \tag{50}$$

On X_1 = constant the traction is t_1 in the radial direction, and on X_2 = constant the traction is t_2 in the θ-direction.

We introduce the notation $\lambda - \lambda_1 = 1/\alpha r$ and write

$$\hat{W}(\lambda) = W(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, 1),$$

so that, by (24),

$$\lambda_1 t_1 - \lambda_2 t_2 = \lambda \hat{W}'(\lambda), \tag{51}$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to λ.

On changing the independent variable X_1 to λ and eliminating t_2 between $(50)_1$ and (51), we obtain

$$\lambda \frac{d t_1}{d\lambda} + t_1 = \hat{W}(\lambda)$$

and hence

$$\lambda t_1 = \hat{W}(\lambda) + \gamma \tag{52}$$

where γ is a constant. The stress t_2 is then expressed as a function of λ by means of (51) and (52)

At this stage there are three unknown constants, α, β, γ, to be determined.

Suppose that we impose the boundary conditions

$$t_1 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad X_1 = \pm A. \tag{53}$$

Then, from (52) we obtain

$$-\gamma = \hat{W}(\lambda_+) = \hat{W}(\lambda_-) , \tag{54}$$

where

$$\lambda_\pm = (\alpha^2\beta \pm 2\alpha A))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{55}$$

thus providing two equations linking $\alpha, ß$ and γ.

Because of (53) it follows from (50) that the total load on the boundaries $X_2 = \pm B$ vanishes. The moment M of the tractions on $X_2 = \pm B$ about the origin $r = 0$ is given by

$$M = \int_{-A}^{A} rt_2 dX_1 .$$

Expressed in terms of the independent variable λ, this can be rewritten as

$$M = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\int_{\lambda_-}^{\lambda_+} \lambda^{-3}\{\hat{W}(\lambda\lambda + \gamma\}d\lambda \quad ,$$

or, equivalently, as

$$M = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\int_{\lambda_-}^{\lambda_+} \lambda^{-2}\hat{W}'(\lambda)d\lambda . \tag{56}$$

This provides a third equation relating α, ß and γ to the boundary tractions.

For the neo-Hookean or Mooney strain-energy functions we have

$$\hat{W} = \frac{1}{2}\mu(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2} - 2)$$

and the following explicit results are obtained. Equations (54) yield

$$\beta^2 = (1+4\alpha^2 A^2)/\alpha^4 \quad ,$$

$$\gamma = \mu[1 - 2\alpha A - \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2 A^2}\ ] \quad ,$$

while the relationship between M and a is calculated from (56) as

$$M = \frac{\mu}{2\alpha^2} 1n[2\alpha A + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2 A^2}\,] - \frac{\mu A}{\alpha}\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2 A^2}$$

Acknowledgement

The writer is grateful to Dr. G. Moore, Brunei University, for discussions concerning the numerical solution of the equations derived here.

REFERENCES

1. H.T. JESSOP, Photoelasticity, in Handbuch der Physik, Vol. VI (Edited by S. Flugge), Springer (1958).

2. A. FRANEK, J. KRATOCHVIL and L. TRAVNICEK, ZAMM 63 (1983) 156-158.

3. R.W. OGDEN, Math.Proc. Cambridge Philos.Soc. 81 (1977) 313-324.

4. R.W. OGDEN, Non-linear Elastic Deformations, Ellis Horwood (1984).

5. A.E. GREEN and W. ZERNA, Theoretical Elasticity, Oxford University Press (1968).

6. A.E. GREEN and J.E.. ADKINS, Large Elastic Deformations, Oxford University Press (1970).