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## 1. Introduction.

The purpose of this note is to discuss the relationship between blending-function methods $[2,3,4$,$] and cross-product methods$ [6]. A general theorem on projections is quoted. This theorem includes blending-function methods as a special case and leads to simpler proofs of some of Gordon's theorems.

## 2. Orthogonal Projections.

The following is a theorem in Bachman and Narici [1, p. 414]:

Theorem 1. Let X be a Hilbert space with $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ as orthogonal projections that commute and are onto the closed suhspaces $M_{1}$, and $M_{2}$, respectively. If $E \equiv E_{1}+E_{2}-E_{1} E_{2}$, then $E$ is an orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{U} \mathrm{M}_{2}}$. (Moreover, if $I$ is the identity operator, then $\left.I-E=\left(I-E_{1}\right)\left(I-E_{2}\right).\right)$

The application of this theorem to blending-functions is
as follows: Let $\varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, \varphi_{k}(x)$ be an orthonormal set of
functions in $L_{2}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1} \equiv\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{y}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad: \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ (y) piecewise
continuous] and let $\psi_{1}(\mathrm{y}), \ldots \psi_{\mathrm{k}}$, (y) be an orthonormal set of functions in $L^{2}(c, d)$ and $M_{2} \equiv\left\{\sum_{j} b_{j}(x) \psi_{j}(y): b_{j}(x)\right.$ piecewise continuous] . For a function of two variables,, $F(x, y)$,
projections of the from $E_{1}(F)=P_{x}(F) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(y) \varphi_{i}(x) \quad$ and $E_{2}(F)=P_{y}(F) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}} b_{j}(x) \psi_{j}(y)$ are considered. For the case of least squares approximation, $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{y}) \equiv \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{x})$ dually [2].

We now use the above to simplify the proof of the following theorem due to Gordon [2].

Theorem 2. ("Bivariate orthogonal expansions")

Let $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ be piecewise continuous on $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}]$. Of all functions of the from $\tilde{f}(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}(y) \varphi_{i}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}} h_{j}(x) \psi_{j}(y)$, the $g_{i}$ and $h_{j}$ piecewise continuous, such that

$$
\left(\mathrm{F}, \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{(\mathrm{x})} \equiv \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}=\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \tilde{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}
$$

and

$$
\left(\mathrm{F}, \psi_{\mathrm{j}}\right)_{(\mathrm{y})} \equiv \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dy}=\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{d}} \tilde{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dy},
$$

the function $f=P_{x}(F)+P_{y}(F)-P_{x} P_{y}(F)$ uniquely minimizes $\|\mathrm{F}-\tilde{\mathrm{f}}\|$.

Proof: The fact that $f$ is admissible, i.e.,
$\left(\mathrm{F}, \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{(\mathrm{x})}=\left(\mathrm{f}, \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{(\mathrm{x})}$ and $\left(\mathrm{F}, \psi_{\mathrm{j}}\right)_{(\mathrm{y})}=\left(\mathrm{f}, \psi_{\mathrm{j}}\right)_{(\mathrm{y})}$, follows from its definition: Let $\tilde{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}} \equiv \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{y}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad$ and $\quad \tilde{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}} \equiv \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{x}) \psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y})$.

By the properties of orthogonal projections, $\left(F-P_{x}(F), \tilde{f}_{x}\right)_{(x)}=0$ i.e., $\quad \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{F})$ is orthogonal to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. Similarly, $\left(F-P_{y}(F), f_{y}\right)_{(y)}=0$. Since the inner product on the space is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (F, G)=\int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} F(x, y) G(x, y) d x d y \quad \text { it is obvious that } \\
& \left(F-P_{x}(F), \tilde{f}_{y}\right)=0=\left(F-P_{y}\right) \quad \text { Expand } \\
& \left.\left\|F-\tilde{f_{x}}-\tilde{f_{y}}\right\|=\|F\|^{2}-2\left[F, \tilde{f_{x}}\right)+\left(F, \tilde{f}_{y}\right)\right]+\left\|\tilde{f_{y}}+\tilde{f_{y}}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\|F\|^{2}-2\left[\left(P_{x}(F), \tilde{f}_{x}\right)+\left(P_{y}(F), \tilde{f_{y}}\right)+\left(P_{y}(F), \tilde{f_{x}}\right)\right. \\
& \\
& \left.+\left(P_{x}(F), \tilde{f}_{y}\right)-\left(P_{y}(F), \tilde{f}_{x}\right)-\left(P_{x}(F), \tilde{f}_{y}\right)\right] \\
& \\
& +\left\|\tilde{f}_{x}+\tilde{f}_{y}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\quad P_{x} P_{y}(F)-P_{y}(F)$ is orthogonal $M_{1}$, i.e., $\left(\operatorname{PxPy}(\mathrm{F})-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{F}), \tilde{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{(\mathrm{x})}=0=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{F})-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{F}), \tilde{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|F-\tilde{f_{x}}-\tilde{f_{y}}\right\|^{2}=\|F\|^{2}-2\left[\left(P_{x}(F)+P_{y}(F)-P_{x} P_{y}(F), \tilde{f_{x}}+\tilde{f_{y}}\right)\right]+\left\|\tilde{f_{x}}+\tilde{f_{y}}\right\|^{2} \\
&=\|F\|^{2}-\left\|P_{x}(F)+P_{y}(P)-P_{x} P_{y}(F)\right\|^{2} \\
&+\left\|P_{x}(F)+P_{y}(F)-P_{x} P_{y}(F)-\tilde{f_{x}}-\tilde{f_{y}}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the conclusion follows.
Q.E.D.

The set $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is $\left.\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{y}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right]\right\} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}$ is $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{x}) \psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y})\right\}$,
with $\mathrm{M}_{1} \cup \mathrm{M}_{2}$ then being $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{y}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})+\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{x}) \psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y})\right\}$.

Now $\mathrm{M}_{1} \cup \mathrm{M}_{2}=\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}} \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y})\right\}$ and the tensor (cross -) product approximation to $F$ is $E_{1} \mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})$. It is the best approximation
to $F$ from $M_{1} \cap M_{2}$ [2] and hence is the orthogonal projection of $F$ onto $M_{1} \cap M_{2}$. Now $M_{1} \cap M_{2} \subset M_{1} U_{2}$ implies that $\left\|F-E_{1}(F)-E_{2}(F)+E_{1} E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|F-E_{1} E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2}$. The next theorem gives a precise statement of the improvement obtained.

Theorem 3. Under the above conditions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| F- & E_{1} E_{2}(F)\left\|^{2}-\right\| F-E_{1}(F)-E_{2}(F)+E_{1} E_{2}(F) \|^{2} \\
& =\left\|E_{1}(F)-E_{2} E_{1}(F)\right\|^{2}+\left\|E_{2}(F)-E_{2} E_{1}(F)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|E_{1}(F)-E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2} \gamma\left\{\left\|E_{1}(F)\right\|-\left\|E_{2}(F)\right\|\right\}^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof; By Theorem 1,
$\left\|F-E_{1}(F)-E_{2}(F)+E_{1} E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2}=\|F\|^{2}-\left\|E_{1}(F)+E_{2}(F)-E_{1} E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2}$
Using successively the facts that $\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{~F}), \mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})\right)=0$, $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\left[\mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{~F}), \mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})\right)=0\right.$, and that $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ commute,
we find that
$\left\|F-E_{1}(F)-E_{2}(F)+E_{1} E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2}=\|F\|^{2}-\left\|E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2}-\left\|E_{1}(F)\right\|^{2}+\left\|E_{1} E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2}$.
By the above remarks concerning ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{~F}),\left\|\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})\right\|^{2}=$ $\|F\|^{2}-\left\|E_{1} E_{2}(F)\right\|^{2} \quad$ from which the conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

## 3. Connection with Stancu's results.

For interpolation along sections, different definitions of the projections $P_{x}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}$ are required than for the above least squares interpolation. If $L_{i}(F)=g_{i}(y), \quad i=\overline{1, K}, \quad$ and
$M_{j}(F)=h_{j}(x), \quad j=\overline{1, K^{1}}$, are required, then the corresponding $\varphi_{i}(x)$ and $\psi_{j}(y)$ are required to be biorthonormal with respect to the linear functionals $L_{i}$ and $M_{j}$, respectively.

Let $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{F}) \equiv \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{F}) \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{F}) \equiv \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{F}) \psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y}) \quad$. If we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{B}(F) \equiv F(x, y)-P_{x}(F)-P_{y}(F)+P_{x} P_{y}(F) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $R_{B}(F)$ can be related to the cross-product remainder. In Stancu's [6] notation, the cross-product remainder can be represented as $R(F)=T(F)-\sum_{i, j} B_{j}\left(A_{i}(F)\right)$, where $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{F})+\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{~F}), \quad \mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})=\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{F})+\mathrm{R}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})$, and $T_{1}$ operates on the function $F(x, y)$ as a function of its first variable and $T_{2}$ dually. For this situation, Stancu shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~F})=\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})\right)+\mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right)-\mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For interpolation along sections, $T, T_{1}$, and $T_{2}$ are all point evaluations at $(x, y), A_{i}(F) \equiv L_{i}(F) \varphi_{i}(x)$, and $B_{j}(F) \equiv M_{j}(F) \psi_{j}(y)$.

Theorem 3. Under the above conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{~F})=\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{~F}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: $\quad R(F)=T(F)-\sum_{i, j} B_{j}\left(A_{i}(F)\right)$. Subtract
$\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})\right)+\mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right)-2 \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right)$ from both sides of this equation.

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right)=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~F})-\sum_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~F})\right)-\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \quad-\mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right)+2 \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}\left(T_{2}(F)\right)+R_{2}\left(T_{1}(F)\right)-2 R_{2}\left(R_{1}(F)\right) \\
& =R_{1}\left(T_{2}(F)-R_{2}(F)\right)+R_{2}\left(T_{1}(F)-R_{1}(F)\right) \\
& =R_{1}\left(\sum_{j} B_{j}(F)\right)+R_{2}\left(\sum_{i} A_{i}(F)\right) \\
& =T_{1}\left(\sum_{j} B_{j}(F)\right)-\sum_{i} A_{i}\left(\sum_{i} A_{i}\left(\sum_{i} B_{j}(F)\right)\right. \\
& \quad+T_{2}\left(\sum_{i} A_{i}(F)\right)-\sum_{i} B_{j}\left(\sum_{j} B_{j}\left(\sum_{i} A_{i}(F)\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{j} B_{j}(F)-2 \sum_{i, j} A_{i}\left(B_{j}(F)\right)+\sum_{i} A_{i}(F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of this in equation (4) yields
$R_{2}\left(R_{1}(F)\right)=F(x, y)-\sum_{i} A_{i}(F)-\sum_{i} A_{i}(F)-\sum_{j} B_{j}(F)+\sum_{i, j} A_{i}\left(B{ }_{j}(F) \equiv R_{B}(F)\right.$.
Q.E.D,

Gordon has derived remainder terms for specific examples that are of the form $R_{B}(F)=R_{2} R_{1}(F)$. The following corollary shows that this is a general result.

Corollary. Let $T=T_{2} T_{1}$ be a bounded linear functional. that commutes with $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~F}))=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~F})-\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}(\mathrm{~F})\right) \quad \mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{j} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})\right) \quad \mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y})\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{~F}) \quad \mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right) \quad \mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\psi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{y})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Apply $T=T_{2} T_{1}$ to equation (1).
The importance of this corollary is that, when the problem functional T , which operates on functions of two variables, can be written as a composition of linear functionals $T_{1}$, and $T_{2}$ which operate on functions of one variable, then $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{F}))=\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~F}))$ and the appropriate blending-function approximation is obtained by operating with T on the interpolatory blending-function. (The latter is the procedure used in practice.) The point is that $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are the one-dimensional interpolation remainders throughout, instead of being e.g., quadrature remainders if $T(F)=\int_{c}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} F(x, y) d x d y$. If the varibles in $T$ cannot be separated into the product of a $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, then the above does not hold. However, blending-function methods are inherently of a (generalized) cross-product type in that $R_{B}\left(\varphi_{1}(x) g(y)\right)=0=R_{B}\left(f(x) \psi_{j}(y)\right)$, i.e., the precision is of a rectangular type and spaces analogous to Sard's [5] $\quad \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$ are appropriate.

We remark in conclusion that the use of projections can simplify other proofs, e.g., the minimum norm property for interpolating blending-functions [3]. In addition, it leads
to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)(\mathrm{F})$ as the approximation to use, since
$F-P_{x}(F)$ and $P_{y}(F)-P_{x} P_{y}(F)$ are both orthogonal to
$\mathrm{M}_{1}$, $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{F})$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{F})-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{F})$ are both orthogonal to
$\mathrm{M}_{2}$, and hence $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)(\mathrm{F})$ is orthogonal to $\mathrm{M}_{1} \cup \mathrm{M}_{2}$.
(Equivalently, the factorization $I-E=\left(I-E_{1}\right)\left(I-E_{2}\right)$ of Theorem 1 could be considered,)
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