

Imogen Racz, Katerina Paramana, Marie-Louise Crawley, Sarah Whatley

INTRODUCTION

This interdisciplinary book considers, questions, explores, and problematizes the relation between contemporary dance, visual art and performance. Touching upon a relatively new area of debate in the wider arts field (that of the relationship between dance and the visual arts) it investigates their critical discourses and practices: both the ways in which each might situate itself within the discourses of the other and also how explorations of bodies, spaces and objects in the contemporary visual arts and in contemporary dance might speak to one another. Our interest is in interrogating these relations and their affordances: the new thinking (about both and their in-between relation) and the new practices that emerge from them.

The book itself emerged from a series of meetings between dance and visual arts scholars and artists that extended to two international symposia at Coventry University held in 2015 and 2016. Their focus was the triad ‘body-space-object’ In the spirit of the symposia that gave rise to it, and as its title suggests, this book therefore seeks to be a dialogue between dance and visual art, and as such will appeal to scholars and practitioners in both fields.

Underpinning this book is practice and the spaces within which these practices take place and are experienced. Movement, or the suggestion of movement, the relationship that we all have with the environment, and the echoes, memories and rituals associated with these, are fundamental to being socially and culturally human. Many of these rituals and projected meanings between humans, and humans and their constructed environments engender and maintain a sense of belonging and are

gradually accreted onto consciousness through repeated actions.¹ They are crucial in the development of individual and social identity building. The phenomenologist Edmund Husserl, for instance, discussed how the world was inter-subjective, and the relationship between consciousness and the material world is layered and interdependent. He argued how the world becomes reframed in the mind through memory and reflection.² In his discussion of ontology as a *process* of individuation, Philosopher Gilbert Simondon proposed that the ontology of being is relational, and that these (specific) relations amongst entities are ontologically pre-eminent.³ It is these immutable and apparently natural, and therefore overlooked elements and relations, that form the bedrock of much artists' and dancers' practices.

This book focuses on two main themes – the subjective, lived relations with objects and the social sphere, and different approaches to absence, visibility and resistance. In selecting the contributions for this book, we considered how the different perspectives, experiences, writing approaches and registers of the authors could open up new thinking about dance and visual art, the relationship between the two fields' respective discourses and practices, and the social and cultural relationships of people with their environments through them. The authors build on dialogues between, for example, philosophy and museum studies, memory studies and post-humanism, and engage with a wide range of theory from phenomenology to relational aesthetics to New Materialism. Despite their diversity, these frameworks dialogue back and forth across the collection.

The chapters have all been written by specialists in their field and consider particular aspects from diverse cultures. They are by practitioners and dancers, and historians and theorists, which allow for different forms of knowledge to be

¹ See for instance, Andrew Ballentyne, 'The Nest and the Pillar of Fire', in Andrew Ballentyne, ed., *What is Architecture*, (London: Routledge, 2002).

² Edmund Husserl, *Ideas: General Introduction to Pure Phenomenology*, trans. W. R. Boyce Gibson, (London: Allen and Unwin, New York: Humanities Press, 1931), esp. 101-103.

³ Gilbert Simondon, *L'Individu et sa Genese Physico-Biologique: L'individuation à la lumière des notions de forme et d'information*, (Paris: Millon, 2005)

articulated. Some works relate to one genre, some blur the boundaries. Some are in the social world, and others within institutionally framed settings.

The collection has in part, been shaped by recent discourse on the presentation of dance within the museum and aims to further current debates. This book also addresses more recent developments in contemporary dance and art and developments that sit outside the Western canon which have been less well considered. For example, it offers a range of perspectives across different locations, including Central and Western Europe, Mexico and America. They reveal the interesting tensions and disruptions to established conventions and modes of working in different practices.

As early as 1992, Doreen Massey wrote that there have been huge structural, economic and political changes across the world during the previous few decades that had reshaped social relationships at every level.⁴ More recently, Wendy Brown suggested that neoliberal capitalism extends the logic of metrics to all areas of social life, which again has psychological, social, political and economic implications.⁵ At a time of social and political division and unrest, the themes and works discussed in this book have increased relevance as ways of reconsidering our relationship with the material and social worlds.

Background

In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, the relationship between dance and the visual arts was explored by artists and dancers in many ways, creating overlaps between theatre, music and art. The impact of Serge Diaghilev's (1872-1929) 'total theatre' which brought together the leading choreographers, visual artists, composers and designers of the time, marked a move towards the theatrical integration of visual

⁴ Doreen Massey, 'A Place called Home', *New Formations* 17, (1992), 3.

⁵ Wendy Brown, *Undoing the Demos: Neoliberalism's Stealth Revolution*, (New York: Zone Books, 2005)

art and dance.⁶ Pablo Picasso, Henri Laurens and many other artists contributed to the sets and costumes of Diaghilev's ballets, and these experiences were important for their studio practices. Artists, dancers and theatre designers also collaborated in the work of Russian constructivists and the Bauhaus in Germany. In the US, the work of choreographer Martha Graham (1894-1991) who collaborated with the sculptor/architect Isamu Noguchi (1904-1988), the filmmaker Maya Deren's (1917 – 1961) pioneering 'choreocinema' project that started in the 1940s and explored links between dance, choreography and film, and Merce Cunningham's (1919-2009) work with leading artists, notably Robert Rauschenberg (1925-2008), Jasper Johns (1930 -), Andy Warhol (1928 – 1987), and composer and artist John Cage, have all been profoundly influential, well documented and researched.

The permeable boundaries between different genres that were being developed since the 1960s were mirrored by the creation of arts centres in Britain from the 1970s, which frequently had spaces for performance, music and exhibitions. The Plymouth Arts Centre, Midlands Arts Centre in Birmingham, and the Riverside Studios in London, for instance, proved to be important venues for contemporary practices, especially those that were collaborative projects between artists and dancers. After the 1970s, these inter-genre projects were particularly welcomed by women sculptors, who sought to challenge the accepted hierarchies of art, by using materials and means that were less gender specific.⁷ To collaborate and work in ways that were seen as on the margins was liberating. One of the works that reflected this thinking was *Borders* (1982), the collaborative dance and installation work by Kate Blacker and Gaby Agis, presented at the Riverside Studios. In *Borders*, the costumes of the dancers reflected the corrugated metal of the installation sets, crumpling and bending with the movements of the bodies. In their

⁶ See L. Garafola, *Diaghilev's Ballets Russes*, (Boston, Mass: Da Capo Press, 1998).

⁷ See, for instance, Whitney Chadwick, 'Reflecting on History and Histories', in Dianna Burgess Fuller and Daniela Salvioni, eds., *Art/Women/California 1950-2000: Parallels and Intersections*, (Berkeley CA and London: University of California Press, 2002), 21.

suggestion of buildings and urban spaces echoed the sets and costumes of Picasso's *Parade* (1917).⁸ Later, choreographer William Forsythe (1955 -) began a process of boundary blurring work that explored the interconnection between dance and visual arts. His large-scale installations in major cultural venues (such as the Tate Modern in London) afforded the perception of his work to as much 'sculpture' as 'dance'.⁹

Many dance artists since the 1970s have looked to the institutionally framed spaces of the gallery as a generative space in which to work. The late Rosemary Butcher (1947 – 2016), a major British dance and visual artist and a memorable contributor to the conversations in Coventry that gave rise to this book, advanced understanding about how the movement of bodies in relation to sculptures and other visual objects could generate new understandings about the human condition.¹⁰ Her work, influenced by minimalist visual art of the 1960s and 1970s, has left a valuable legacy that continues to inform work today, particularly in how dance operates as a visual art practice in non-theatrical spaces to bind environment and movement. Along with Butcher, British artist Siobhan Davies (1950 -) has built a growing partnership with the gallery and, more recently, Berlin-based artist Tino Sehgal (1976 -), who focuses on live encounters between people in museum spaces, has challenged conventions of choreography, spectatorship, and the economies of art making, exchange, and the 'market place'. These and other dance artists have been exploring new aesthetics, a new spatial organisation and sensibility, and (having entered into dialogue with the discourses of other disciplines such as visual arts, performance studies, philosophy, cultural studies, and critical theory) new approaches to and understandings of what constitutes 'dance' and 'choreography'. As a result, they have forged new forms of 'choreography' that soften further the boundaries between dance and visual art and call into question the politics that play

⁸ <http://www.kateblacker.com>.

⁹ *Nowhere and Everywhere at the Same Time* (2009) at Tate Modern:

<https://www.tate.org.uk/research/publications/performance-at-tate/case-studies/william-forsythe>

¹⁰ See <http://rosemarybutcher.com/>

out when dance is presented at these new institutional homes. As Lizzie Thomson points out, the trend of programming dance in museum and gallery spaces over the last decade has prompted lively (and ongoing) discourse among artists, curators and scholars¹¹. This collection has, in part, been shaped by some of this recent discourse.

Over a similar period, art has been increasingly exploring ideas around performance and dance, and exhibiting and performing in non-gallery spaces. This has had the effect of blurring the boundaries between ‘performed culture’ and the everyday world. Movement and how to capture it truthfully in art has been an ongoing concern since the birth of photography and Muybridge’s experiments with humans and horses in motion during the 1870s, where he proved – among other things – that the traditional depiction of a galloping horse was scientifically inaccurate. However, it was in the 20th century that this interest in bodily movement and gesture became more self-consciously personalised, socialized, and politicised. For example, in her work *Up to and Including Her Limits – Blue* (1973-76), Carolee Schneeman was suspended in a harness above and within canvas walls, making drawings using pencils and her body while swinging from the ropes. The gestural traces that were the residue of the performance were a critique of male dominated Abstract Expressionism and Action Painting. In 1970, for her work *Man Walking Down the Side of a Building*, Trisha Brown strapped a male dancer into a mountaineering harness and sent him walking down the seven-story façade of 80 Wooster St, in Manhattan. In contrast to the performance of Schneeman, or indeed the photographs of Yves Klein’s *Leap into the Void* (1960) – some of which resulted in broken bones – for Brown and her fellow dancers, gravity was not a transgressive force over which one had limited control, but something to be explored, analysed, and challenged.¹²

¹¹ Lizzie Thomson, ‘Dance/Visual/ Art’ in *Critical Dialogues* vol. 9 (Sydney: Critical Path, 2018), 4-7, 4.

¹² Maurice Berger ‘Gravity’s Rainbow’, in Hendel Teicher, ed., *Trisha Brown: Dance and Art in Dialogue, 1961-2001*, (Andover Mass: Addison Gallery of American Art, 2002), 17-23, 17.

The limited control of Schneeman's gestural marks, Brown's formally beautiful, walking, horizontal figure, Klein's apparently triumphal leap and the work of more recent artists and performers, have relied on the muscle memory of the viewer to communicate meaning. As has been frequently described, these apparently innate human movements and feelings are also part of the social body. Some of the chapters in this book consider how the audience and artist interact in everyday situations. Cinzia Cremona's chapter 'Networked commensals – bodily, relational and performative affordances of sharing food remotely', for instance, enacts eating – that most fundamental human activity that has been ritualized in so many ways – as a way of considering relationships and gestures. Food and the everyday rituals of eating and preparing meals, that have been considered by many artists and performers since the 1960s, including Bobby Baker, Bruce Lacey and Jill Bruce, are here investigated as activities mediated by technology. These normally socially anchoring rituals are made strange and reconsidered within the parameters of our changing bodily relationships with networked screens.

Allan Kaprow's *Essays on the Blurring of Art and Life* (1993), contains essays spanning many decades, all of which explore his original thoughts on reading a book by the American philosopher John Dewey. In the margins of his copy of *Art as Experience*, Kaprow noted 'art is not separate from experience [...] what is an authentic experience? [...] environment is a process of interaction'.¹³ He described how through concentrating and reflecting on particular rituals, like cleaning teeth, aspects of muscle discomfort and the action of performing those rituals become understood in another way. He extended this to how and what we communicate in society. These aspects are also important in dance, art and choreography, where the articulation of life experiences is through embodied knowledge. Clearly these are

¹³ Jeff Kelley, 'Introduction', in Jeff Kelley, ed., *Allan Kaprow: Essays on the Blurring of Art and Life*, (Berkeley, Los Angeles and London: University of California Press, 1993/2003), xi – xxvi, xi.

framed by the performers' embodied identities, as 'always already' gendered, raced and classed individuals, and the places in which they are performed.¹⁴

The position of the body of the viewer in relation to the work is also crucial to the works' reading. Cremona's audience communicates remotely through the screen. Hwang and Racz discuss works shown in galleries, where the audience moves around objects, with the scale, material and imagery being measured against their bodies. While materials and manipulated objects frequently contain echoes of their previous lives, they are staged and articulate the elusive boundaries between fact, and the subjective values we ascribe to things.¹⁵ Marie-Louise Crawley discusses a choreographed work set in a gallery, where it is the performers who interact with objects, while the audience pause to look. Robert Sutton discusses how works seen in the everyday environment can be 'not seen' by the audience. The works are experienced – or not – against the everyday sounds of city life and particular political and social backdrops.

Many works, especially performances, are primarily viewed and experienced through written texts, archives of photographs or video, as the exhibition or performance took place only once, or the original object has gone. These layers of evidence serve to filter and mediate the experience of the viewers. Because the flow of the original experience is lost, the inevitably fragmentary documentation of the original 'becomes' the work for the viewer, and increasingly how it is embedded into common consciousness. As Rosalind Krauss has argued, text, film and photography create extra layers of evidence, but the original space of engagement remains within the 'literal space' of the photograph.¹⁶ As with all images, they are anchored within the real world, and, in the mind of the maker and reader, are linked

¹⁴ Jeff Friedman, 'Muscle Memory: Performing Embodied Knowledge', in Richard Candida Smith, *Art and the Performance of Memory: Sounds and Gestures of Recollection*, (London and New York: Routledge, 2002), 156-180, 161.

¹⁵ For a discussion of this, please see Imogen Racz, *Art and the Home: Comfort, Alienation and the Everyday*, (London and New York: I. B. Tauris, 2015), 1-2.

¹⁶ See Rosalind Krauss, 'Pictorial Space and the Question of Documentary', *Artforum*, (November 1971), 68-71.

to a network of other representations that are themselves set within cultural and social frameworks. As such they can be manipulated to suggest a ‘fact’, while actually being the realisation of an already imagined image. Indeed, the images presented within this book act as a conduit between the performance, dance or artwork and information, and support what is being discussed within the written text.

In some chapters, like Elise Nuding’s chapter ‘Moving Matter’, or Sally Doughty, Lisa Kendall, and Rachel Krische’s chapter, the body of the performer is articulated as holding knowledge, and offer an embodied, insider’s view of making the work. The imagery however, can only tell part of that story. In other works discussed in this book, such as Imogen Racz’s chapter on Helen Chadwick’s *Ego Geometria Sum*, the author had to work with images of the sculptures individually and in exhibition alongside other forms of documentation, as the work has been dispersed and is almost never seen as an entity and as Chadwick conceived it.

Outline

The book is structured into two parts. Each part points to conceptual, aesthetic or theoretical connections, arising out of the discourses and practices of art, dance and performance. Part One: *Emergent Relations*, comprises seven chapters in the which the authors explore the relation of the live body or subjectivity to objects. They examine the relationship of the human to the non-human body and the insights the one offers to the understanding of the other. They question where and how ideas and notions converge, are ingested, metabolized, and transformed, and bring attention to the emergent relations ensuing from the interaction of body and object.

Cinzia Cremona’s chapter, ‘Networked commensals: Bodily, relational and performative affordances of sharing food remotely’, addresses the emergent relationships and states of becoming in mediated social interactions between human and non-human actors. For this, the author draws on Bruno Latour’s work on

‘assemblages’, Donna Haraway’s work on ‘cyborgs’, and her own performance work *By Invitation Only*. She argues that sharing food remotely via networked screens reveals the materiality of both the consuming body and of the screen (which appears to consume the body) and, as result, it reveals that both are involved in a metabolic process. The author suggests that the act of eating with others and with screens is an attempt to regain control of relationality and embodiment, whilst acknowledging that all actors are ‘part of the same metabolic process of becoming-with’.

In ‘Unsound bodies: Mapping manifolds in/of the dance’, Elise Nuding discusses her practice *sounding score* and the emergent relations of the agents that constitute it. For this, she draws on new materialist approaches to matter and in particular on Karen Barad’s concept of ‘intra-actions’. Nuding suggests that through attending to the spaces between bodies, matter and language, the *sounding score* (a score of movement, writing, speaking, listening, and sensing) shifts the understanding of dance from a particular body or bodies to a phenomenon that is ‘constituted through specific agential intra-actions’ between human and non-human matter. Considering that all objects in the material world play an active role in human interactions, Nuding pays attention to the emergent relations between the different agents constituting *sounding score*, shifting the emphasis from ‘what a body is’ to ‘what a body is doing and how it is becoming’.

Yuh, J. Hwang’s chapter ‘TV, Body and Landscape: *Nam June Paik’s Show* (2016)’ examines this exhibition that was held in Seoul, and discusses particular works, including *Turtle*, and the robots *David* and *Marat* (based on the painter Jacques Louis David and the politician and radical journalist Jean Paul Marat). Hwang explores how Paik’s nomadic life and political interests, combined with his international links within music and contemporary art, led him to focus on the domestic television and re-present it within the gallery space as a new living body with symbolic meanings of space and time. The robots, made up of TV sets, suggest

the emergence of an artificial metabolism, with electronic imagery, names and postures. The mundane set that was a means of one-way communication has, in the gallery space, become an interactive and dynamic artwork, capable of creating its own aesthetic space.

The gallery is also the focus for Marie-Louise Crawley, in her essay '*Please Do Not Touch: Dancing with the sculptural works of Robert Therrien*' in which she offers an account of her experience of choreographing a new work alongside and inside the large-scale sculptural works of Robert Therrien. Crawley's interest was in exploring the relationship of the choreographic to the sculptures' ability to transform everyday objects to 'dream-like, story-tale narratives'. In addition, Crawley wanted to examine how dance, like these works, can investigate space both as a physical and temporal entity, transforming the viewer's perception of and bodily relation to it. For this, she observed the relationship and physical responses of the gallery visitors to the work, noting that a desire to play characterised the relationship of both adults and children to the work. Her research led to the creation of a solo choreographic work made up of four short movements sited alongside and within Therrien's works *No Title (Table and Four Chairs)*, *No Title (Stacked Plates)*, *No Title (Oil Can)*, and *No Title (Beard Cart)*. The dance work aimed to mirror the spatio-temporal distortions of Therrien's sculptures, exploiting the tensions between the playful, tactile impulse of the present moment and the 'intangibility' of a lost childhood past.

Dance is also at the root of the next chapter, 'The Holding Space: Body of (as) knowledge'. Here, Sally Doughty, Lisa Kendall, and Rachel Krische introduce, theoretically contextualise, and reflect on their on-going practice-as-research project *Body of Knowledge* and its accompanying website *The Holding Space*. The focus of the *Body of Knowledge* project is the examination of the dancers' body as a living archive: as a collection of experiences that reside in the body. Doughty et al. suggest that personal archives play a significant role in our thinking and our (choreographic or otherwise) practices, and propose that the artist's, and in particular the dancer's,

body should be considered a source of experience and knowledge and, ‘to a certain extent, its own legacy’. Through this project, they are interested in reflecting on how their own corporeal archives can contribute to the development of new choreographic work. *The Holding Space* website holds the audio-visual and written documentation of the *Body of Knowledge* project and, like Doughty et al.’s corporeal archives, will evolve over time as it continues to accumulate material. However, the authors recognise the inherent contradiction of constructing an online artefact of this living, embodied project, and therefore propose that the online resource, in correlation with the concept of the moving body as archive, has a finite life-span, utilizing encryption technology that makes electronic data ‘self-destruct’ after a specified period of time. Therefore, the content held on-line remains only in the memories, bodies and practices of the three artist-scholars and the readers who engage with the online artefacts within the identified timeframe. Perhaps what is most important about this project is what endures and emerges from its remnants.

In the next chapter, ‘Contextualising the Developing Self in Helen Chadwick’s *Ego Geometria Sum*’, Imogen Racz explores how, in this autobiographical work, Chadwick presents her subjective sense of self through particular objects that represent different stages of her early life, using mathematical and universal means. Racz re-presents this pivotal work, exploring notions of performing belonging and alienation, home and society, and how Chadwick’s sense of emerging identity was linked to objects and events related to her extended home. Chadwick was concerned with how families and society gradually ‘train’ the individual, and Racz both maps the developing ideas, and suggests how the realisation of the work was influenced both by contemporary art, and her reading of Arthur Koestler’s books *The Sleepwalkers* and *Ghost in the Machine*.

The final chapter in this section is Ruth Hellier-Tinoco’s essay ‘Cutting Onions, Cooking Stew: Stabilizing the unstable in Mexico City’. Hellier-Tinoco addresses the potential of sociality and everyday rituals through her analysis of

Zapata: Death Without End, a multi-company collaborative project which explores questions of memory, temporality and history. Engaging with, among others, notions of the archive and precariousness, the author suggests that through social interaction and the everyday ritual of food preparation *Zapata: Death Without End* succeeds in both resisting and transforming ‘unstable narratives of violence into a stable scenario of convivial sharing’. Hellier-Tinoco’s articulation of the relations that emerged from bringing everyday rituals and narratives of the past to a performance space moves us to the next section, the chapters of which turn our focus further outwards: to the contexts of the works’ presentation and their interrelation.

Part Two: Absence, (In)visibility and Resistance, examines approaches to and understandings of absence (of objects and bodies) and its relation to notions of (in)visibility and resistance. The choice and the different approaches to making something or somebody absent and allowing for different kinds of visibility are seen as strategies of complication or subversion of expectations, and often of resistance to conventional ways of thinking and relating to others, to ideas, and practices. In the first chapter, ‘Series and Relics. On the presence of remainders in performance’s museum’, Susanne Foellmer discusses the ‘double state’ of the remnants of ORLAN’s series: *MesuRage des institutions* (1964-1983/2012). Focusing on the bottled artifacts containing traces of Orlan’s performance actions that were exhibited with other documentation of the actions, Foellmer argues that these simultaneously emphasise the absence and presence (albeit in different form) of the artist and also resist the representation of and fail to represent the actions performed by the artist. Instead, she argues they ‘conserve the energy of [the] labour’ involved in the performances, and present presence by way of an absence, leaving the labour of tracing the presence of the artist to the viewer of the work.

The labour of the everyday is the focus of Sophie Lally’s chapter, ‘Knitting Connection with the *Red Ladies*: Walking, remembering, transforming’. Lally analyses the work of the *Red Ladies*. Eighteen identically dressed women perform

everyday actions in public or theatrical spaces throughout the world, often appearing (and disappearing) unexpectedly like a ‘swarm of ladybirds’. They walk; they knit; they lament; they witness; they remember. Through using these strategies that mimic and play with (in)visibility and the mundane, Lally suggests that the Red Ladies subvert and resist late capitalism’s preoccupation with individuality, originality, and forgetfulness.

In ‘A Dance After All Hell Broke Loose: Mourning as ‘Quiet’ in Ralph Lemon’s *How Can You Stay in the House All Day and Not Go Anywhere?*’” Alison Bory also deals with notions of (in)visibility and resistance. She first suggests that the work is ‘a meditation on loss and grief’. Engaging with the thinking of Bojana Cvejic, Peggy Phelan, and Judith Butler, she then proposes that the work foregrounds moments of ‘quiet’: moments for the exploration of vulnerability and interiority, of feeling and sensation as central to experience, moments for ‘navigating the unknown’ and experiencing mourning ‘in all of its incompleteness’, which can, in turn, allow for transformation. Bory argues that in doing so, *How Can You Stay* offers a counter-narrative to the narrow framing of black cultural expressions in relation to visibility, publicness, and resistance.

A different view on absence and visibility emerges in the next essay by Tamara Tomic-Vajagic, ‘Theatre as FOMO: Metonymic spaces of William Forsythe’s KAMMER/KAMMER’. Tomic-Vajagic examines Forsythe’s work in relation to Hans Thies Lehmann’s notion of ‘metonymic space’ and the contemporary cultural phenomenon of ‘fomo’ (‘the fear of missing out’). She suggests that by making it impossible for the viewer to take the work in all at once, the simultaneously occurring onstage events makes the viewer feel at once an insider and an outsider. In this manner she suggests that the work mirrors the intimacy and the fear of missing out experienced in our encounter with social media.

Domestic space rather than theatrical space is the focus for Gill Perry’s chapter ‘Broken Homes and Haunted Houses’ in which she considers three works: *Cold*

Dark Matter: An Exploded View (1991) by Cornelia Parker, Michael Landy's performative work *Break Down* (2001) and the ongoing *Heidelberg Project* in the McDougall-Hunt neighbourhood on the east side of Detroit, Michigan. As the body is conspicuously absent in all of these works, their broken-ness is suggested by metaphors, traces, and surrogates. Readings of these works are contingent on cultural notions of belonging, identity, and what constitutes a comfortable home. Through exploring the dynamics of these works, Perry argues that the playful, subversive and destructive practices can enrich and reframe the seemingly banal, 'everyday' themes of the house and home, thereby resisting, challenging and provoking our perceptions of domestic space.

The final essay of the collection returns to the outside. Robert James Sutton's 'The Monumental and the Mundane: Living with public art in London's East End', discusses some of the less acclaimed public artworks and cultural monuments in London's East End, taking into account what these works say about the area's evolution since World War II. The author argues that these works' primary attribute is 'mundanity'. Unlike gallery works which demand our attention and point to their individual maker's intent and specialism, by embellishing our surrounding yet remaining in part invisible by resisting our attention these works, Sutton suggests, evidence the impermanency and complexity of the histories to which they, like the public who lives with them, have been a part.

As evidenced by the summary of their works, each of our contributors has approached questions about their own practice or the practice of others, in response to our investigation of the relation between the discourses and practices of dance and visual art: the thinking and practices that emerge from their relation and how explorations of bodies, spaces and objects in the contemporary visual arts and in contemporary dance might speak to one another. It is hoped that this book that developed from the concept of 'body-space-object' will encourage more writing that pushes at the boundaries between disciplines and which builds on the insights that

this collection offers, on how the social, material and sensorial intersect in dance and visual art.

References:

- Ballentyne, Andrew. 2002. The Nest and the Pillar of Fire. In *What is Architecture*, ed. Andrew Ballentyne. London: Routledge.
- Berger, Maurice. 2002. Gravity's Rainbow. In *Trisha Brown: Dance and Art in Dialogue, 1961-2001*, ed. Hendel Teicher. Andover, Mass: Addison Gallery of American Art.
- Brown, Wendy. 2015. *Undoing the Demos: Neoliberalism's Stealth Revolution*. New York: Zone Books.
- Chadwick, Whitney. 2002. Reflecting on History and Histories. In *Art/Women/California 1950-2000: Parallels and Intersections*, ed. Dianna Burgess Fuller and Daniela Salvioni. Berkeley, CA and London: University of California Press.
- Friedman, Jeff. 2002. Muscle Memory: Performing Embodied Knowledge. In *Art and the Performance of Memory: Sounds and Gestures of Recollection*, ed. Richard Candida Smith. London and New York: Routledge.
- Garafola, Lynn. 1998. *Diaghilev's Ballets Russes*. Boston, Mass: Da Capo Press.
- Husserl, Edmund. 1931. *Ideas: General Introduction to Pure Phenomenology*, trans. W. R. Boyce Gibson. London: Allen and Unwin, New York: Humanities Press.
- Kelley, Jeff. 1993/2003. Introduction. In *Allan Kaprow: Essays on the Blurring of Art and Life*, ed. Jeff Kelley. Berkeley, Los Angeles and London: University of California Press.
- Krauss, Rosalind. 1971. Problems of Criticism X: Pictorial Space and the Question of Documentary. *Artforum* 10 (3): 68-71.
- Massey, Doreen. 1992. A Place called Home? *New Formations* 17: 3-15
- Racz, Imogen. 2015. *Art and the Home: Comfort, Alienation and the Everyday*. London and New York: I. B. Tauris.
- Simondon, Gilbert (2005) *L'Individu et sa Genèse Physico- Biologique*:

L'individuation à la lumière des notions de forme et d'information, Paris:
Millon.

Thomson, Lizzie. 2018. Introduction. Dance/Visual/Art. *Critical Dialogues* 9: 4-9