

- Spence, K. W. (1952). Mathematical formulations of learning phenomena. *Psychological Review*, 59, 152–160. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0058010>
- Suzuki, S. (1999). Selection of forced- and free-choice by monkeys (*macaca fascicularis*). *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 88, 242–250. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2466/pms.1999.88.1.242>
- Tanno, T., Maguire, D. R., Henson, C., & France, C. P. (2014). Effects of amphetamine and methylphenidate on delay discounting in rats: Interactions with order of delay presentation. *Psychopharmacology*, 231, 85–95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00213-013-3209-3>
- Tolman, E. C. (1938). The determinants of behavior at a choice point. *Psychological Review*, 45, 1–41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0062733>
- Vestergaard, M. D., & Schultz, W. (2015). Choice mechanisms for past, temporally extended outcomes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society. Advance online publication*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1766>
- Volkert, V. M., Lerman, D. C., & Vorndran, C. (2005). The effects of reinforcement magnitude on functional analysis outcomes. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 38, 147–162. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2005.111-04>
- Watanabe, M., Cromwell, H. C., Tremblay, L., Hollerman, J. R., Hikosaka, K., & Schultz, W. (2001). Behavioral reactions reflecting differential reward expectations in monkeys. *Experimental Brain Research*, 140, 511–518. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002210100856>
- Weatherly, J. N., Nurnberger, J. T., & Hanson, B. C. (2005). Investigating the procedural variables that determine whether rats will display negative anticipatory contrast or positive induction. *Behavioural Processes*, 70, 10–18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2005.03.002>
- Webber, E. S., Chambers, N. E., Kostek, J. A., Mankin, D. E., & Cromwell, H. C. (2015). Relative reward effects on operant behavior: Incentive contrast, induction and variety effects. *Behavioural Processes*, 116, 87–99. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2015.05.003>
- Weber, E. U., Shafir, S., & Blais, A. R. (2004). Predicting risk sensitivity in humans and lower animals: Risk as variance or coefficient of variation. *Psychological Review*, 111, 430–445. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.111.2.430>
- Wikenheiser, A. M., Stephens, D. W., & Redish, A. D. (2013). Subjective costs drive overly patient foraging strategies in rats on an intertemporal foraging task. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110, 8308–8313. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1220738110>
- Zabludoff, S. D., Wecker, J., & Caraco, T. (1988). Foraging choice in laboratory rats: Constant vs. variable delay. *Behavioural Processes*, 16, 95–110. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-6357\(88\)90021-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-6357(88)90021-6)

Received August 11, 2015

Revision received March 7, 2016

Accepted March 8, 2016 ■

Correction to Acerbi and Tennie (2016)

In the article “The Role of Redundant Information in Cultural Transmission and Cultural Stabilization,” by Alberto Acerbi and Claudio Tennie (*Journal of Comparative Psychology*, Vol. 130, No. 1, pp. 62–70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0040094>), the copyright should have been “© 2016 The Author(s)”. The author note also should have included the following license statement “This article has been published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s). Author(s) grant(s) the American Psychological Association the exclusive right to publish the article and identify itself as the original publisher.” The online version of this article has been corrected.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/com0000029>