TR/100

July 1980

End Conditions for Improved Cubic Spline Derivative Approximations.

By

N . PAPAMICHAEL & A. J. WORSEY

w9260240

### ABSTRACT

We consider the problem of deriving accurate end conditions for cubic spline interpolation at equally spaced knots. In particular we derive a number of end conditions which lead to derivative approximations of high accuracy.

#### 1. Introduction

Let s be a cubic spline on [a,b] with equally spaced knots

$$x_i = a + ih;$$
  $i = 0, 1, ..., k,$  (1.1)

where h = (b-a)/k. Then  $s \in C^2[a,b]$  and in each of the intervals  $[x_{i-1},x_i]$ ; i = 1,2,...,k, s is a cubic polynomial.

Given the set of values  $y_i$ ; i = 0, 1, ..., k, where

$$y_i = y(x_i); y \in C^n[a, b], n \ge 4$$
,

we consider the problem of constructing an interpolatory s such that

$$s(x_i) = y_i$$
;  $i = 0, 1, ..., k.$  (1.2)

To simplify the presentation we use throughout the abbreviations

$$m_i = s^{(1)}(x_i), \quad M_i = s^{(2)}(x_i) \quad and \quad y_i^{(r)} = y^{(r)}(x_i) ; \quad r = 1,2,3,4.$$

If the values  $m_i$ ; i=0,1,....,k are known, s can be constructed in each of the intervals  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  by use of Hermite's two point interpolation formula. Equivalently, if the values  $M_i$ ; i = 0,1,..,kare known, s can be obtained in  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  by integrating

$$s^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \{ (x_i - x)M_{i-1} + (x - x_{i-1})M_i \}$$

twice with respect to x and using the interpolation conditions  $s(x_{i-1}) = y_{i-1}$ ,  $s(x_i) = y_i$  for the determination of the two constants of integration. To determine either of the k+1 parameters m<sub>i</sub> or M<sub>i</sub> the consistency relations

$$m_{i-1} + 4m_i + m_{i+1} = \frac{3}{h} \{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}\}; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1,$$
 (1.3)

or

$$M_{i-1} + 4M_i + M_{i+1} = \frac{6}{h^2} \{y_{i-1} - 2y_i + y_{i+1}\}; i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1,$$
(1.4)

are used, these being direct consequences of the continuity constraints on s. Since either (1.3) or (1.4) provide only k-1 linear equations, it follows that the interpolation conditions (1.2) are not sufficient to determine s uniquely. Two additional linearly independent conditions are always needed for this purpose. These are usually taken to be end conditions, i.e. conditions imposed on  $s_{,s}^{(1)}$  or  $s^{(2)}$  near the two end ponts a and b.

As might be expected the choice of end conditions plays a critical role on the quality of the spline approximation. It is well known that the best order of uniform convergence that can be achieved by s and its derivatives is

$$\| s^{(r)} - y^{(r)} \| = 0(h^{4-r}); \qquad r = 0, 1, 2,$$
(1.5)

where  $\| \|$  denotes the uniform norm on [a,b]. It is also known that this order is obtained only if the end conditions of s are such that

$$m_i - y_i^{(1)} = 0(h^n) ; \qquad i = 0, 1, ..., k , \qquad (1.6)$$

with  $n \ge 3$ . This implies that the order of  $\max_{\substack{0 \le i \le k}} |mi - y_i^{(1)}|$  determines the quality of the end conditions of s; see e.g. Kershaw [6] and Behforooz and Papamichael [2], However, if y is sufficiently smooth then, as observed by Lucas [7], a better indication of the accuracy of s is provided by the order of  $\max_{\substack{0 \le i \le k}} |\lambda_i|$  where,

$$\lambda_{i} = y_{i}^{(2)} - \frac{h^{2}}{12}y_{i}^{(4)} + \frac{h^{4}}{360}y_{i}^{(6)} - M_{i}; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$
(1.7)

The reason for this emerges from the results (1.9) - (1.11), stated below.

Let s be an interpolatory cubic spline which agrees with  $y \in C^{8}[a,b]$ at the equally spaced knots (1.1) and satisfies end conditions such that

$$\max_{0 \le i \le k} |\lambda_i| \le \alpha_n h_n ; \quad 0 \le n \le 6 , \qquad (1.8)$$

where  $\alpha_n$  is a constant independent of h and the  $\lambda_i$  are defined by (1,7). Then, the following results are direct consequences of the results established in Lucas [7]:

 (i) There exist constants A<sub>n</sub>, B<sub>n</sub>, C<sub>n</sub>, D<sub>n</sub> and E<sub>n</sub> independent of h such that

$$\begin{array}{c} \max_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \mid m_{i} - y_{i}^{(1)} \mid \leq A_{n} \mid h^{r+1} ,\\ \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \mid s^{(1)} \left( x_{i} + 0.5h \right) - y^{(1)} \left( x_{i} + 0.5h \right) \mid \leq B_{n} \mid h^{r+1} ,\\ \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \mid s^{(2)} \left( x_{i} + \mu h \right) - y^{(2)} \left( xi + \mu h \right) \mid \leq C_{n} h^{r} ,\\ \min \quad \mu = (3 + \sqrt{3})/6, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \mid s^{(3)} \left( x_{i} + 0.5h \right) - y^{(3)} \left( x_{i} + 0.5h \right) \mid \leq D_{n} \mid h^{r-1} ,\\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} \mid y_{i}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2h} \left( M_{i+1} - M_{i-1} \right) \mid \leq E_{n} h_{r-1} , \end{array}$$

$$(1.9)$$

where  $r = \min(n,3)$ 

(ii) There exist constants  $F_n$ ,  $G_n$  and  $H_n$  independent of h such that

$$|y_{0}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{12}(14M_{0} - 5M_{1} + 4M_{2} - M_{3})| \leq F_{n} h^{r},$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} |y_{i}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{12}(M_{i-1} + 10M_{i} + M_{i+1})| \leq G_{n}h_{r},$$

$$|y_{k}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{12}(14M_{k} - 5M_{k-1} + 4M_{k-2} - M_{k-3})| \leq H_{n}h^{r},$$

$$(1.10)$$

where  $r = \min(n, 4)$ 

(iii) There exist constants  $k_n$  and  $L_n$  independent of h such that

$$\max_{2 \le i \le k-2} |y_{i}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{24h} (M_{i-2} - 14M_{i-1} + 14M_{i+1} - M_{i+2})| \le k_{n}h^{r-1},$$
  

$$r = \min (n,5),$$

$$\max_{1 \le i \le k-1} |y_{i}^{(4)} - \frac{1}{h^{2}} (M_{i-1} - 2M_{i} + M_{i+1})| \le L_{n}h^{n-2}$$

$$(1.11)$$

The following conclusions can be drawn immediately from the above results. If, in (1.8),  $n \ge 2$  then the cubic spline s has optimal  $0(h^4)$ convergence uniformly on [a,b]. If  $n \ge 3$  then the derivatives of s display the superconvergence properties (1.9), and the linear combinations of the M<sub>i</sub> contained in (1.10) give more accurate approximations to  $y_i^{(2)}$ than those obtained from  $s^{(2)}$ . Finally, if n = 6 then the linear combinations of the M<sub>i</sub> contained in (1.11) give  $0(h^4)$  approximations to  $y_i^{(3)}$  and  $y_i^{(4)}$  respectively.

It should be observed that some of the results (1.9) - (1.11) hold under much weaker'requirements than y C<sup>8</sup>[a,b]. Full details concerning these requirements can be found in Lucas [7]. (See also Behforooz and Papamichael [3], where an alternative interpretation to some of the results corresponding to the case n = 3 is established under the assumption  $y \in C^{5}[a,b]$ .)

The purpose of the present paper is to derive various classes of end conditions and to compare their quality by using as a criterion the order of

$$\max_{0 \le i \le k} |y_i^{(2)} - \frac{h^2}{12}y_i^{(h)} + \frac{h^4}{360}y_i^{(6)} - M_i|$$
(1.12)

In particular we derive a number of end conditions for which (1.12)

achieves  $0(h^n)$  with  $n \ge 5$ . Such end conditions are needed for computing accurate approximations to  $y_i^{(3)}$  and  $y_i^{(4)}$  by means of the formulae contained in (1.11). Although some of the results concerning the less accurate end conditions can be established under weaker continuity requirements, in order to simplify the presentation we assume throughout that  $y \in C^8[a,b]$ .

The following lemma is needed for the derivation of the results given in Section 2. It can be established easily, from (1.4), by Taylor series expansion about the point  $x_i$ ; see Lucas [7, p.576].

Lemma 1.1 Let

$$\lambda_{i} = y_{i}^{(2)} - \frac{h^{2}}{12}y_{i}^{(4)} + \frac{h^{4}}{360}y_{i}^{(6)} - M_{i}; \quad i = 0,1,..., k.$$

If  $y \in C^{8}[a,b]$  then

$$^{\lambda}i-1 + 4^{\lambda}{}_{i} + ^{\lambda}{}_{i+1} = {}^{E}i; \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1 ,$$
 (1.13)

where

$$|E_{i}| \leq \frac{516}{8!} h^{6} ||y^{(8)}||$$
 (1.14)

### 2. End Conditions

We let s be an interpolatory cubic spline which agrees with  $y \in C^8$  [a,b] at the equally spaced knots (1.1) and satisfies end conditions of the

form

$$\alpha M_{0} + \beta M_{1} + \gamma M_{2} = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_{i} y_{i} + h \sum_{i=0}^{2} b_{i} y_{i}^{(1)} + h^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{2} c_{i} y_{i}^{(2)} \right\}$$

$$\gamma M_{k-2} + \beta M_{k-1} + \alpha M_{k} = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_{i} y_{k-i} - h \sum_{i=0}^{2} b_{i} y_{k-i}^{(1)} + h^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{2} c_{i} y_{k-i}^{(2)} \right\}$$

$$(2.1)$$

where we assume without loss of generality that  $a \ge 0$ . Our purpose is to examine the effect that various choices of the parameters  $\alpha,\beta,\gamma$ ,  $a_i,b_i$  and  $c_i$  have upon the quality of the spline approximation. We do this by using as a criterion the order of  $\max_{0 \le i \le k} |\lambda_i|$  where, as before.

$$\lambda_{i} = y_{i}^{(2)} - \frac{h^{2}}{12}y_{i}^{(4)} + \frac{h^{4}}{360}y_{i}^{(6)} - M_{i}; \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$
(2.2)

With this notation the equations (2.1) and (1.4) give

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha\lambda_{0} + \beta\lambda_{1} + \gamma\lambda_{2} = E_{0}, \\ \lambda_{i-1} + 4\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{i+1} = E_{i}; \ i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, \\ \gamma\lambda_{k-2} + \beta\lambda_{k-1} + \alpha\lambda_{k} = E_{k}, \end{array}$$

$$(2.3)$$

where

$$E_{0} = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \left\{ -\frac{4}{12} a_{i}y_{i} - h\sum_{i=0}^{2} b_{i}y_{i}^{(1)} - h^{2}\sum_{i=0}^{2} c_{i}y_{i}^{(2)} + h^{2}(\alpha y_{0}^{(2)} + \beta y_{1}^{(2)} + yy_{2}^{(2)}) - \frac{h^{4}}{12}(\alpha y_{0}^{(4)} + \beta y_{2}^{(4)} + yy_{2}^{(4)}) + \frac{h^{6}}{360}(\alpha y_{0}^{(6)} + \beta y_{1}^{(6)} + yy_{2}^{(6)}) \right\},$$

$$E_{k} = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \left\{ -\sum_{i=0}^{4} a_{i}y_{k-i} + h\sum_{i=0}^{2} b_{i}y_{k-i}^{(1)} - h^{2}\sum_{i=0}^{2} c_{i}y_{k-i}^{(2)} + h^{2}(\alpha y_{k}^{(2)} + \beta y_{k-1}^{(2)} + yy_{k-2}^{(2)}) \right\},$$

$$(2.4)$$

$$-\frac{h^{4}}{12}(\alpha y_{k}^{(4)} + \beta y_{k-1}^{(4)} + yy_{k-2}^{(4)} + \frac{h^{6}}{360} + \frac{h^{6}}{360}(\alpha y_{k}^{(6)} + \beta y_{k-1}^{(6)} + yy_{k-2}^{(6)}) \right\},$$

and, from (1.14),

$$|E_{i}| \leq \frac{516}{8!} h^{6} ||y^{(8)}||; i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1$$
 (2.5)

Also, by Taylor series expansions about the points  $x_{\,2}\,\text{and}\,\,x_{k\text{-}2}\,,$  we find that

$$E_{0} = \sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{B_{j}}{j!} h^{j-2} y_{2}^{(j)} + 0 (h^{6}),$$

$$E_{k} = \sum_{j=0}^{7} (-1)^{j} \frac{B_{j}}{j!} h^{j-2} y_{k-2}^{(j)} + 0 (h^{6}),$$
(2.6)

where

$$B_{0} = -a_{0} - a_{1} - a_{2} - a_{3} - a_{4},$$

$$B_{1} = 2a_{0} + a_{1} - a_{3} - 2a_{4} - b_{0} - b_{1} - b_{2},$$

$$B_{2} = -4a_{0} - a_{1} - a_{3} - 4a_{4} + 4b_{0} + 2b_{1} - 2c_{0} - 2c_{1} - 2c_{2} + 2\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma\gamma$$

$$B_{3} = 8a_{0} + a_{1} - a_{3} - 8a_{4} + 12b_{0} + 3b_{1} + 12c_{0} + 6c_{1} - 12\alpha - 6\beta\beta$$

$$B_{4} = -16a_{0} - a_{1} - a_{3} - 16a_{4} + 32b_{0} + 4b_{1} - 48c_{0} - 12c_{1} + 46\alpha + 10\beta - 2\gamma\gamma$$

$$B_{5} = 32a_{0} + a_{1} - a_{3} - 32a_{4} - 80b_{0} - 5b_{1} + 160c_{0} + 20c_{1} - 140\alpha - 10\beta0$$

$$B_{6} = -64a_{0} - a_{1} = a_{3} - 64a_{4} + 192b_{0} + 6b_{1} - 480c_{0} - 30c_{1} + 362\alpha - 2\beta + 2\gamma\gamma$$

$$B_{7} = 128a_{0} + a_{1} - a_{3} - 128a_{4} - 448b_{0} - 7b_{1} + 1344c_{0} + 42c_{1} - 812\alpha + 14\beta4$$

$$(2.7)$$

To simplify the presentation we assume that in (1-1)  $k \ge 5$ . Then a sufficient condition for the unique existence of s is that the parameters  $\alpha,\beta,$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy either

or

(i) 
$$\alpha = \gamma$$
 and  $\beta \neq 4 \alpha$   
(ii)  $\alpha \neq \gamma$  and  
 $3\beta < 11 \alpha + \gamma - \frac{2}{5}(\gamma - \alpha)_{+},$   
or  
 $5\beta > 19 \alpha + \gamma + \frac{2}{3}(\gamma - \alpha)_{+},$   
(2.8)

where

$$(\gamma - \alpha)_{+} = \begin{cases} 0, \gamma < \alpha, \\ \gamma - \alpha > \alpha \end{cases}$$

This follows easily from the results of Behforooz and Papamichael [2, p.358-59], by observing that the linear system (2.3) can be written in the tridiagonal form

$$\begin{array}{c} (\alpha - \gamma)\lambda_{0} + (\beta - 4\gamma)\lambda_{1} = E_{0} - \gamma E_{1}, \\ \lambda_{i-1} + 4\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{i+1} = E_{i}; \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, \\ (\beta - 4\gamma)\lambda_{k-1} + (\alpha - \gamma)\lambda_{k} = E_{k} - \gamma E_{k-1}, \end{array}$$

$$(2.9)$$

and that the matrix in (2.9) is the matrix of the linear system which determines the parameters M <sub>i</sub> of s. The results of [2] also show that if (2.8) holds and

$$E_i = O(h^m)$$
;  $i = 0, k$ , (2.10)

then

$$\max_{0 \le i \le k} |\lambda_{i}| = 0(h^{n}), \qquad (2.11)$$

where  $n = \min (m, 6)$ . This shows that the quality of end conditions of the form (2.1) is determined by the order of  $E_i$ ; i = 0,k.

The remainder of the paper is concerned with examining various classes of end conditions of the form (2.1). In each case we consider only end conditions for which s attains the optimal order  $0(h^4)$  of uniform convergence on [a,b]. This requires that  $E_i = 0(h^m)$ ; i = 0,k, with  $m \ge 2$ , and implies that the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $a_i, b_i$  and  $c_i$  must be chosen so that in (2.7),

$$B_i = 0$$
;  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$  (2.12)

To avoid unnecessary repetition, we point out now that all the results of subsequent sections are established under the assumption that the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy the condition (2.8). This condition certainly holds for all the specific values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  that occur in some of the results, considered in the following sections.

### 3. End conditions involving values of y only

We take  $b_i = c_i = 0$ ; i = 0,1,2 and  $\gamma = 0$  in (2.1) and consider end conditions of the form

$$\alpha M_{0} + \beta M_{1} = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_{i} y_{i},$$

$$\beta M_{k-1} + \alpha M_{k} = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_{i} y_{k-i}.$$

$$(3.1)$$

It should be observed that there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $\gamma = 0$  The reason for this is that the terms  $\gamma M_2$  and  $\gamma M_{k-2}$  can always be eliminated by means of the relations (1.4).

It can be shown easily from (2.7) that the requirement (2.12) is satisfied for any values of the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $a_4$  provided that the other four parameters in (3.1) satisfy the relations

$$\begin{array}{c} a_{0} - 2\alpha + \beta + a_{4}, \quad a_{1} = -5\alpha - 2\beta - 4a_{4}, \\ a_{2} - 4\alpha + \beta + 6a_{4}, \quad a_{3} = -\alpha - 4a_{4}. \end{array} \right\}$$
(3.2)

When (3.2) hold then

$$B_{i} = 0; i = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

$$B_{4} = 4(5\alpha - \beta - 6a_{4}), B_{5} = 20(-4\alpha + \beta),$$

$$B_{6} = 60(4\alpha - \beta - 2a_{4}), B_{7} = 140(-4\alpha + \beta),$$
(3.3)

and, by using (1.4), the end conditions (3.1) can be written as

$$a_{4} \Delta^{4} M_{0} - (\alpha - 6a_{4}) \Delta^{3} M_{0} - (5\alpha - \beta - 6a_{4}) \Delta^{2} M_{0} = 0,$$

$$a^{4} \nabla^{4} M_{k} + (\alpha - 6a_{4}) \nabla^{3} M_{k} - (5\alpha - \beta - 6a_{4}) \nabla^{2} M_{k} = 0$$

$$(3.4)$$

In particulars if  $a_4 = 0$ , i.e. if in (3.1)

then (3.4) gives the class of end conditions

$$\alpha \Delta^{3} M_{0} + (5\alpha - \beta) \Delta^{2} M_{0} = 0,$$

$$\alpha \nabla^{3} M_{k} - (5\alpha - \beta) \nabla M_{k} = 0,$$

$$(3.6)$$

which is considered fully in Behforooz and Papamichael [2]. The special case  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$  of (3.6) i.e. the conditions

$$\Delta^2 M_0 = \nabla^2 M_k = 0 , \qquad (3.7)$$

have also been considered by De Boor [4] and [5, p.55] Kershaw [6] and Lucas [7].

For any values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $a_4$  the end conditions (3.4) are such that  $E_i = 0(h^2)$ ; i = 0,k. However, it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that when  $a_4 = (5\alpha - \beta)/6$ , i.e. when in (3.1),

$$a_{0} = (17 \alpha + 5\beta)/6, \qquad a_{1} = -(50 \alpha + 8\beta)/6, \qquad a_{2} = 9\alpha, \\ a_{3} = (-25 \alpha + 4\beta)/6, \qquad a_{4} = (5\alpha - \beta)/6,$$
 (3.8)

then

$$B_{i} = 0; i = 0,1,..., 4, B_{5} = 20 (4\alpha + \beta), B_{6} = 20 (7\alpha - 2\beta), B_{7} = 140 (-4\alpha + \beta),$$
(3.9)

and the end conditions (3.1) can be written as

$$(5\alpha - \beta) \Delta^{4} M_{0} + 6(4\alpha - \beta) \Delta^{3} M_{0} = 0,$$

$$(5\alpha - \beta) \nabla^{4} M_{k} + 6(4\alpha - \beta) \nabla^{3} M_{k} = 0.$$
(3.10)

This class of end conditions is considered in Behforooz [1].

For any values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  the end conditions (3.10) are such that  $E_i = 0(h^3)$ ; i = 0, k. However, if  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 4$ , i.e. when in (3.1)

$$\alpha = 1$$
,  $\beta = 4$ ,  $a_0 = 37/6$ ,  $a_1 = -82/6$ ,  $a_2 = 9$ ,  $a_3 = -10/6$ ,  $a_4 = 1/6$ , (3.11)

then

$$B_i = 0$$
;  $i = 0, 1, ..., 5$ ,  $B_6 = -20$ ,  $B_7 = 0$ . (3.12)

Thus, from (3,10) and (3.12) the end conditions

$$\Delta^4 M_0 = \nabla^4 M_k = 0 , \qquad (3.13)$$

are such that  $E_i = 0(h^4)$ ; i = 0,k. Furthermore, (3.13) are the most "accurate" end conditions of the class (3.1), in the sense that they are the only such end conditions for which  $E_i = 0(h^4)$ ; i = 0,k.

The end conditions (3.13) are considered by Lucas [7] who also considers the conditions

$$\Delta^{3} M_{0} = \nabla^{3} M_{k} = 0 , \qquad (3.14)$$

i.e. the special case  $\alpha = 1, \beta = 5$ , of (3.10). It is interesting to observe that (3.14) are also the special case  $\alpha = 1, \beta = 5$  of the class (3.6), and that they are the only conditions of this class for which  $E_i = 0(h^3)$ ; i = 0,k.

4. End conditions involving values of y<sup>(1)</sup> only

In this section we consider end conditions of the form

$$\widetilde{\alpha} m_{0} + \widetilde{\beta} m_{1} + \widetilde{\gamma} m_{2} = \widetilde{b}_{0} y_{0}^{(1)} + \widetilde{b}_{1} y_{1}^{(1)} + \widetilde{b}_{2} y_{2}^{(1)} ,$$

$$\widetilde{\gamma} m_{k-2} + \widetilde{\beta} m_{k-1} + \widetilde{\alpha} m_{k} = \widetilde{b}_{2} y_{k-2}^{(1)} + \widetilde{b}_{1} y_{k-1}^{(1)} + \widetilde{b}_{0} y_{k}^{(1)} ,$$

$$(4.1)$$

where  $\widetilde{\alpha} \ge 0$  and, as before  $m_i = s^{(1)}(x_i)$ .

By using the cubic spline identities

$$m_{i} = -\frac{h}{3}M_{i} - \frac{h}{6}M_{i+1} + \frac{1}{h}(y_{i+1} - y_{i}); \quad i = 0,1,..., k-1,$$

and

$$m_{i} = \frac{h}{6}M_{i-1} + \frac{h}{3}M_{i} + \frac{1}{h}(y_{i} - y_{i-1}); \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

the conditions (4.1) can be written in the form (2.1) with

$$\alpha = \widetilde{\alpha}, \quad \beta = (\widetilde{\alpha} + 2\widetilde{\beta} - \widetilde{\gamma})/2, \quad \gamma = (\widetilde{\beta} - 2\widetilde{\gamma})/2, ,$$

$$a_{0} = -3\widetilde{\alpha}, \quad a_{1}(\widetilde{\alpha} - \widetilde{\beta} - \widetilde{\gamma}), \quad a_{2} = 3(\widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma}), \quad a_{3} = a_{4} = 0,$$

$$b_{i} = -3\widetilde{b}_{i}; \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

$$c_{i} = 0; \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

$$(4.2)$$

It follows easily from (2.7) and (4.2) that the requirement (2.12) is satisfied provided that in (4.1)

$$\tilde{b}_0 = \tilde{\alpha}, \quad \tilde{b}_1 = \tilde{\beta} \text{ and } \tilde{b}_2 = \tilde{\gamma}.$$
 (4.3)

Furthermore, it turns out that for the parameters defined by (4.2) and (4.3),  $B_4 = 0$  also. More specifically (4.2), (4.3) and (2.7) show that

for any values of  $\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\beta}$  and  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  the end conditions

$$\widetilde{\alpha} m_{0} + \widetilde{\beta} m_{1} + \widetilde{\gamma} m_{2} = \widetilde{\alpha} y_{0}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\beta} y_{1}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\gamma} y_{2}^{(1)} ,$$

$$\widetilde{\gamma} m_{k-2} + \widetilde{\beta} m_{k-1} + \widetilde{\alpha} m_{k} = \widetilde{\gamma} y_{k-2}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\beta} y_{k-1}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\alpha} y_{k}^{(1)} ,$$

$$(4.4)$$

are such that

$$B_{i} = 0; \quad i = 0,1,\dots, 4,$$

$$B_{5} = 2(\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma}), \quad B_{6} = -12(2\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta}), \quad B_{7} = 158 \ \widetilde{\alpha} + 32 \ \widetilde{\beta} - 10 \ \widetilde{\gamma}$$

$$(4.5)$$

Therefore, for any values of  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  the end conditions (4.4) are such that  $E_i = 0(h^3)$ ; i = 0,k, and if  $\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\gamma} = 0$  then  $E_i = 0(h^4)$ ; i = 0,k.

The most "accurate" end conditions of the class (4.4) are those which correspond to the values  $\tilde{\alpha} = 1$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = -2$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} = 1$ , For these values (4,5) gives

$$B_i = 0$$
;  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$ ,  $B_7 = 84$ , (4.6)

and thus the end conditions

$$\Delta^{2} m_{0} = \Delta^{2} y_{0}^{(1)} ,$$

$$\nabla^{2} m_{k} = \nabla^{2} y_{k}^{(1)} ,$$
(4.7)

and such that  $E_i=0(h^5)$ ; i=0,k.

The special case  $\tilde{\alpha} = 1$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = -1$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$  of (4.4), (i.e). the end condition

$$\Delta m_{0} = \Delta y_{0}^{(1)},$$

$$\nabla m_{k} = \nabla y_{k}^{(1)},$$

$$(4.8)$$

are considered in Lucas [7]. For these end conditions (4.5) gives

$$B_i = 0;$$
  $i = 0, 1....5,$   $B_6 = -12,$   $B_7 = 126,$  (4.9)

and thus  $E_i = 0(h^4)$ ; i = 0,k.

The most frequently used end conditions of the class (4.4) are those which correspond to  $\tilde{\alpha} = 1$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\gamma} = 0$ , i.e. the conditions

$$m_0 = y_0^{(1)}, \ m_k = y_k^{(1)}$$
 (4.10)

For these end conditions (4.5) gives

$$B_i = 0; \quad i = 0, 1, ..., 4 , \qquad B_5 = 2 , \quad B_6 = -24 , B_7 = 158 , \qquad (4.11)$$

and thus  $E_i = 0(h^3)$ ; i = 0,k.

### 5. End conditions involving values of y<sup>(2)</sup>only

We take  $a_1 = 0$ ; i = 0, 1, ..., 4, and  $b_i = 0$ , i = 0, 1, 2, in (2.1) and consider end conditions of the form

$$\alpha M_{0} + \beta M_{1} + \gamma M_{2} = c_{0} y_{0}^{(2)} + c_{1} y_{0}^{(2)} + c_{2} y_{2}^{(2)},$$

$$\gamma M_{k-2} + \beta M_{k-1} + \alpha M_{k} = c_{2} y_{k-2}^{(2)} + c_{1} y_{k-2}^{(2)} + c_{0} y_{k}^{(2)},$$

$$(5.1)$$

Then the requirement (2.12) is satisfied for any values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $c_2$  provided that the other two parameters in (5.1) satisfy the relations

$$c_0 = \alpha = \gamma + c_2$$
,  $c_1 = \beta + 2\gamma - 2c_2$ . (5.2)

When (5.2) hold then, from (2.7),

$$B_{i} = 0; i = 0,1,2,3,$$

$$B_{4} = -2(\alpha + \beta - 11\gamma + 12c_{2}), B_{5} = 10(\alpha + \beta - 12\gamma + 12c_{2})$$

$$B_{6} = -118\alpha - 28\beta + 422\gamma - 420c_{2} B_{7} = 532\alpha + 56\beta - 1260\gamma + 1260c_{2},$$
(5.3)

and the end conditions (5.1) can be written as

$$\alpha M_{0} + \beta M_{1} + \gamma M_{2} = \alpha y_{0}^{(2)} + \beta y_{1}^{(2)} + \gamma y_{2}^{(2)} + (c_{2} - \gamma) \Delta^{2} y_{0}^{(2)},$$

$$\gamma M_{k-2} + \beta M_{k-1} + \alpha M_{k} = \gamma y_{k-2}^{(2)} + \beta y_{k-1}^{(2)} + \alpha y_{k}^{(2)} + (c_{2} - \gamma) \nabla^{2} y_{k}^{(2)}.$$

$$(5.4)$$

For any values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $C_2$  the end conditions (5.4) are such that  $E_i = 0(h^2)$ ; i = 0,k. However, if  $c_2 = -(\alpha+\beta = 11\gamma)/12$ , i.e. if in (5.1),

$$c_0 = (11\alpha - \beta - \gamma)/12$$
,  $c_1 = (2\alpha + 14\beta + 2\gamma)/12$ ,  $c_2 = -(\alpha + \beta - 1 \lambda)/12$ , (5.5)

then, from (5.3),

$$B_{i} = 0; i = 0,1,..., 4, B_{5} = 10 (\alpha - \gamma),$$
  

$$B_{6} = -83 \alpha + 7\beta + 37 \gamma, B_{7} = 427 \alpha - 49 \beta - 105 \gamma.$$
(5.6)

Therefore, for any values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  the end conditions

$$\alpha M_{0} + \beta M_{1} + \gamma M_{2} = \alpha y_{0}^{(2)} + \beta y_{1}^{(2)} + \gamma y_{2}^{(2)} - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \Delta^{2} y_{0}^{(2)} / 12,$$

$$\gamma M_{k-2} + \beta M_{k-1} + \alpha M_{k} = \gamma y_{k-1}^{(2)} + \beta y_{k-1}^{(2)} + \alpha y_{k}^{(2)} - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \nabla^{2} y_{k}^{(2)} / 12,$$

$$(5.7)$$

are such that  $E_i = 0(h^3)$ ; i=0,k. In particular if  $\alpha = \gamma$  then, from (5.6),

$$B_i = 0; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 5 , \qquad B_6 = -46\alpha + 7\beta , \quad B_7 = 7 (46_{\alpha} - 7_{\beta}) , \quad (5.8)$$

and therefore, for any values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the end conditions

$$\alpha M_{0} + \beta M_{1} + \alpha M_{2} = \alpha y_{0}^{(2)} + \beta y_{1}^{(2)} + \alpha y_{2}^{(2)} - (2\alpha + \beta) \nabla^{2} y_{0}^{(2)} / 12,$$

$$\alpha M_{k-2} + \beta M_{k-1} + \alpha M_{k} = \alpha y_{k-2}^{(2)} + \beta y_{k-2}^{(2)} + \alpha y_{k}^{(2)} - (2\alpha + \beta) \nabla^{2} y_{k}^{(2)} / 12,$$

$$(5.9)$$

are such that  $E_i = O(h^4)$ ; i = 0, k The special case  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$  of (5.9), i.e. the end conditions

$$12 M_{1} = -y_{0}^{(2)} + 14 y_{1}^{(2)} - y_{2}^{(2)},$$

$$12 M_{k-1} = -y_{k-2}^{(2)} + 14 \frac{(2)}{k-1} - y_{k}^{(2)},$$
(5.10)

is considered in Lucas [7]. Lucas also considers the case  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 10$  of (5.9), i.e. the conditions

$$M_{0} + 10 M_{1} + M_{2} = 12 y_{1}^{(2)},$$

$$M_{k-2} + 10 M_{k-1} + M_{k} = 12 y_{k-1}^{(2)}$$

$$(5.11)$$

The conditions (5.11) are of special interest because they require knowledge of  $y^{(2)}$  only at the two points  $x_1$  and  $x_{k-1}$ 

As it is clear from (5.8), the most accurate end conditions of the class (5.1) are those which correspond to the values  $\alpha = 7$ ,  $\beta = 46$  in (5.9), i.e. the conditions

$$7M_{0} = 46M_{1} + 7M_{2} = 2y_{0}^{(2)} + 56y_{1}^{(2)} + 2y_{2}^{(2)},$$

$$7M_{k-2} + 46M_{k-1} + 7M_{k} = 2y_{k-2}^{(2)} + 56y_{k-2}^{(2)} + 2y_{k}^{(2)}.$$
(5.12)

For these end conditions  $B_i=0$ ; i = 0, 1, ..., 7 and the  $E_i$ ; i = 0,k, achieve the best possible order  $0(h^6)$ . The conditions (5.12) are also considered in Lucas [7]. The most frequently used end conditions of the class (5.4) are those which correspond to  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = c_2 = 0$ , i.e. the conditions

$$M_0 = y_0^{(2)}, M_k = y_k^{(2)}$$
 (5.13)

For (5.13),

 $B_i = 0$ ; i = 0,1,2,3,  $B_4 = -2$ ,  $B_5 = 20$ ,  $B_6 = -118, B_7 = 532$ , (5.14) and thus  $E_i = 0(h^2)$ ; i = 0,k

### 6. Other end conditions

Of the end conditions considered in Sections 3, 4 and 5 the most accurate are (4.7) and (5.12). These conditions give  $E_i = O(h^n)$ ; i = 0, k, with n = 5 and n = 6 respectively. However, (4.7) and (5.12) require knowledge of  $y^{(1)}$  and  $y^{(2)}$  respectively, at the six knots  $x_i$ ; i = 0, 1, 2, k-2, k-1, k, and it is unlikely that this additional information would be available in an interpolation problem. End conditions of the class (3.1) do not require any additional information, but the most accurate of these, i.e. the conditions (3.13), give  $E_i = O(h^4)$ ; i = 0, k. In this sect ion we show that it is possible to construct end conditions which require derivative information only at the two end points  $x_0$  and  $x_k$  and which, like (4.7) and (5.12), give  $E_i = O(h^n)$ ; i=0,k, with  $n \ge 5$ . This is done by forming linear combinations of end conditions derived in earlier sections.

Let ECO, EC1 and EC2 denote end conditions which belong respectively in the three classes defined by (3.1), (4.1) and (5.1). Assume that the E<sub>i</sub>; i = 0,k, corresponding to ECO, EC1 and EC2 are given by (2.6) with  $B_j = B_j^{(r)}$ ; r = 0,1,2, respectively. Let EC denote the linear combination of EC0, EC1 and EC2, in the proportion  $d_0$  parts of EC0 to  $d_1$  parts of EC1 to  $d_2$  parts of EC2, i.e. symbolically

$$EC \equiv d_0 (EC0) + d_1 (ECl) + d_2 (EC2)..$$
(6.1)

Then clearly the  $E_i$ ; i = 0,k, for the end condition EC are given by (2.6) with

$$B_{j} = d_{0} = B_{j}^{(0)} + d_{1} B_{j}^{(1)} + d_{2} B_{j}^{(2)}; \quad j = 0, 1,...7,$$
(6.2)

This observation leads to a simple technique for constructing accurate end conditions of the form (2.1). We illustrate the technique by deriving three such end conditions which are of greater practical value than (4.7) and (5.12), in the sense that they require derivative information only at the two points  $x_0$  and  $x_k$ .

Let

$$EC = ECO + d_i (EC1), (6.3)$$

where ECO are conditions of the class (3.1) with parameters (3.8) and EC1 are the conditions (4.10). Then, from (3.9) (4.11) and (6.2), the B. corresponding to the conditions EC are given by

$$B_{i} = 0 ; i = 0,1,..., 4, B_{5} = 20 (-4\alpha + \beta) + 2d_{1},$$
  

$$B_{6} = 20 (7\alpha - 2\beta) - 24d_{1}, B_{7} = 140 (-4\alpha + \beta) = 158d_{1}.$$
(6.4)

Therefore, if the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  of ECO and  $d_1$  of (6.3) are chosen so that

$$\beta/\alpha = 41/10$$
 and  $d_1/\alpha = -1$ , (6.5)

then

$$B_{i}$$
, = 0 ; i=0,1,...,6,  $B_{7}$  = -144 $\alpha$  , (6.6)

and the conditions EC defined by (6.3) are such that  $E_i = 0(h^5)$ ; i = 0,k. In particular, when  $\alpha = 20/72$  then (6.5) and (6.3) give the end conditions

$$M_{1} = \frac{1}{72h^{2}} \{185y_{0} - 336y_{1} + 180y_{2} - 32y_{3} + 3y_{4} + 60hy_{0}^{(1)}\},$$

$$M_{k-1} = \frac{1}{72h^{2}} \{185y_{k} - 336y_{k-1} + 180y_{k-2} - 32y_{k-3} + 3y_{k-4} - 60hy_{k}^{(1)}\}\}$$
(6.7)

for which

$$B_i = 0; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 6, \quad B_7 = -40, \quad (6.8)$$

In a similar manner it can be shown that the end conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} 144M_0 + 876M_1 &= \frac{1}{h^2} \{ 1313y_0 - 288y_1 + 1866y_2 - 320y_3 - 29y_4 - 60h^2y_0^{(2)} \}, \\ 876M_{k-1} + 144M_k &= \frac{1}{h^2} \{ 1313y_k - 2888y_{k-1} + 1866y_{k-2} - 320y_{k-3} + 29y_{k-4} - 60h^2y_k^{(2)} \}, \\ are such that E_i = 0(h^5); \quad i = 0, k. More specifically, the B_i corresponding to (6.9) are$$

$$B_i = 0; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 6, \quad B_7 = -23520.$$
 (6.10)

This result is obtained by taking ECO to be conditions of the form (3.1) with parameters (3.2), EC2 to be the conditions (5.13) and determining the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $a_4$  of ECO and the constant of proportionality  $d_2$  so that

$$EC = ECO + d_2(EC2)$$

gives  $B_i = 0$ ; i = 0, 1, ..., 6. Finally, by taking EC01 and EC02 to be respectively the conditions (6.7) and (6.9) and determining the constant d so that

$$EC^{\circ} EC01 + d(EC02)$$

gives  $B_7=0$ , we find that the end conditions

$$M_{0} + 2M_{1} = \frac{1}{864h^{2}} \{-1187y_{0} - 864y_{1} + 237y_{2} - 352y_{3} + 27y_{4} \\ -2940hy_{0}^{(1)} - 360h^{2}y_{0}^{(2)}\} \}$$

$$2M_{k-1} + M_{k} = \frac{1}{864h^{2}} \{-1187y_{k} - 864y_{k-1} + 2376y_{k-2} - 352y_{k-3} + 27y_{k-4} \\ + 2940hy_{k}^{(1)} - 360h^{2}y_{k}^{(2)}\},$$

$$(6.11)$$

are such that  $E_i = O(h^6)$ ; i = 0, k.

#### 7. Numerical Results

In this section we present numerical results obtained by taking

$$y(x) = exp(x)$$
;  $x_i = 0.05i$ ;  $i = 0,1,...,20$ ,

and computing the parameters  $M_i$ ; i = 0, 1, ..., 20, of the cubic splines with end conditions (3.13), (4.10), (5.13), (6.7), (6.9) and (6.11). We denote these six splines respectively by  $S_I$ ,  $S_{II}$ ,  $S_{III}$ ,  $S_{III}$ ,  $S_V$ , and  $S_{VI}$ .

As was remarked earlier (3.13) are the most accurate end conditions of the class (3.1), whilst (4.10) and (5.13) are respectively those most frequently used from the classes (4.1) and (5.1). The three new "accurate" end conditions (6.7), (6.9) and (6.11), like (4.10) and (5.13), require derivative information only at the two endpoints and for this reason are of greater practical interest than (4.7)and (5.12). The results in Tables 1 - 5 are computed values of

$$|\lambda_{i}| = \left| y_{i}^{(2)} - \frac{h^{2}}{12} y_{i}^{(4)} + \frac{h^{4}}{360} y_{i}^{(6)} - M_{i} \right|,$$
  
$$|m_{i} - y_{i}^{(1)}|, |\tilde{y}_{i}^{(2)} - y_{i}^{(2)}|, |\tilde{y}_{i}^{(3)} - y_{i}^{(3)}| \text{ and } |\tilde{y}_{i}^{(4)} - y_{i}^{(4)}|,$$

corresponding to  $s_I, s_{II}, ..., s_{VI}$ , where  $y_i^{(r)}$ ; r = 2,3,4 denote the approximations to  $y_i^{(r)}$  obtained by using the formulae contained in (1.10) and (1.11). The results illustrate clearly that the use of accurate end conditions, like (6,7), (6.9) and (6.11), leads to significant improvement in the accuracy of the approximations  $\tilde{y}_i^{(r)}$ ; r = 2,3,4, especially near the two ends of the interval of interpolation.

An important observation concerns the results corresponding to the end conditions (3.13) and (4.10). Although for these conditions the theory gives  $l_i = O(h^4)$  and  $l_i = 0(h^3)$  respectively, the numerical results of  $s_I$  are slightly less accurate than those of  $s_{II}$ . The reason for this is that the theoretical results of the present paper concern orders of convergence only. In fact a more detailed analysis similar to that used in Behforooz and Papamichael [2] gives, for (3.13) and (4.10),

 $\begin{array}{c} \max \mid \lambda_{i} \mid \leq .5834 \ h^{4} \ \exp(1) + 0(h^{6}); \quad i = 0, k, \\ \max \mid \lambda_{i} \mid \leq (.0203 \ + .0405 \ h + .031 \ h^{2}) h^{3} \ \exp(1) + 0(h^{6}); \quad i = 0, k. \end{array} \right\}$ and  $\begin{array}{c} \max \mid \lambda_{i} \mid \leq (.0203 \ + .0405 \ h + .031 \ h^{2}) h^{3} \ \exp(1) + 0(h^{6}); \quad i = 0, k. \end{array} \right\}$  (7.1)respectively. With h = 0.05 and the  $0(h^{6})$  terms ignored (7.1) gives

max 
$$|\lambda_i| \leq 0.0225 x (0.05)^3 exp(l)$$
,

and

$$\max |\lambda_i| \le 0.0291 x (0.05)^3 \exp(1).$$

Values of  $|\lambda_i|$ 

	$S_{I}$	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{II}}$	S III	S <sub>IV</sub>	$S_V$	${f S}_{VI}$
x <sub>0</sub>	.267x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.240x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.208x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.997x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.173x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.873x10 <sup>-10</sup>
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	.716xl0 <sup>-6</sup>	.644x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.558x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.268x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.465x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.175x10 <sup>-10</sup>
x <sub>2</sub>	.192x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.173x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.150x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.702x10 <sup>-9</sup>	.123x10 -8	.196x10 <sup>-10</sup>
X 4	.138x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.124x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.107x10 <sup>-5</sup>	$.357 x 10^{-10}$	.735x10 <sup>-10</sup>	.162x10 <sup>-10</sup>
X 6	.978x1 <sup>-9</sup>	. 879x1 <sup>-9</sup>	$.771 \times 10^{-7}$	$.732 \mathrm{x1}^{-11}$	.460x10 <sup>-11</sup>	.110x10 <sup>-10</sup>
$\mathbf{x}_8$	.662x10 <sup>-10</sup>	.574x10 <sup>-10</sup>	.562x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.536x10 <sup>-11</sup>	519X10 -11	. 565x10 <sup>-11</sup>
x <sub>10</sub>	.183x10 <sup>-11</sup>	$.261 \times 10^{-10}$	.150x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.183xI0 <sup>-10</sup>	.183x10 <sup>-10</sup>	.183x10 <sup>-10</sup>
x <sub>12</sub>	.156x1 <sup>-9</sup>	.176x10 <sup>-9</sup>	.151x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.335x10 <sup>-11</sup>	.379x10 <sup>-11</sup>	. 274x10 <sup>-11</sup>
x <sub>14</sub>	.219x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.243x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.210x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.188x10 <sup>-10</sup>	.252x10 <sup>-10</sup>	.102xI0 <sup>-10</sup>
x <sub>16</sub>	.306x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.337x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.292xI0 <sup>-5</sup>	.153x10 <sup>-9</sup>	.241x10 <sup>-9</sup>	.331x10 <sup>-10</sup>
<b>x</b> <sub>18</sub>	.427x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.469x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.407x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.172xI0 <sup>-8</sup>	.295x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.517x10 <sup>-10</sup>
<b>X</b> 19	.159x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.175x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.152x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.627x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.109x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.418x10 <sup>-10</sup>
x <sub>20</sub>	. 595x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.634x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.566x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.235x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.406x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.214x10 <sup>-9</sup>

Values of  $|m_i - y_i^{(1)}|$ 

	S I	S II	S III	S IV	S V	S <sub>VI</sub>
x <sub>0</sub>	.387x10 <sup>-8</sup>		.304x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.346x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.345x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.347x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>X</b> <sub>1</sub>	.468x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.458x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.769x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.365x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.366x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.365x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	.356x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.359x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.254x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.384x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.383x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.384x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>X</b> 4	.422x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.422x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.579x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.424x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.424x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.424x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>6</sub>	.468x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.468x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.480x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.469x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.469x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.469x10 <sup>-7</sup>
$\mathbf{x}_8$	.518x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.518x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.519x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.518x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.5I8x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.518x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>10</sub>	.572x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.572x10 <sup>-7</sup>	$.572 \times 10^{-7}$	.572x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.572x10 <sup>-7</sup>	$.572 \times 10^{-7}$
x <sub>12</sub>	.633x10 <sup>-7</sup>	$.632 \times 10^{-7}$	.630x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.632x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.632x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.632x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>14</sub>	.699x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.699x10 <sup>-7</sup>	,669x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.699x10 <sup>-7</sup>	. 699x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.699x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>16</sub>	.777x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.768x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.351x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.773x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.772x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.773x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>x</b> <sub>18</sub>	.915x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.786x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.501x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.854x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.853x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.854x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>X</b> 19	.667x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.115x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.228x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.898x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.899x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.898x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>20</sub>	.180x10 <sup>-6</sup>		.808x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.940x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.938x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.944x10 <sup>-7</sup>

Values of  $|\tilde{y}_{i}^{(2)} - y_{i}^{(2)}|$ .

	SI	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{II}}$	S <sub>III</sub>	S <sub>IV</sub>	$s_V$	S <sub>VI</sub>
x <sub>0</sub>	.402x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.367x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.271x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.543x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.553x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.530x10 <sup>-6</sup>
$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{l}}$	.376x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.340x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.279x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.196x10 <sup>-7</sup>	206x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.182x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>2</sub>	.768x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.671x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.750x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.188x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.186x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.192x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>X</b> 4	.143x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.150x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.558x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.212x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.212x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.212x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>6</sub>	.229x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.230x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.620x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.234x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.234x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.234x10 <sup>-7</sup>
$\mathbf{x}_8$	.259x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.259x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.287x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.259x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.259x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.259x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>10</sub>	.286x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.286x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.294x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.286x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.286x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.286x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>12</sub>	.315x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.317x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.392x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.316x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.316x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.316x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>X</b> <sub>14</sub>	.339x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.362x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.140x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.350x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.350x1 0 <sup>-7</sup>	.350x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>X</b> <sub>16</sub>	.233x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.555x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.150x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.387x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.388x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.387x10 <sup>-7</sup>
<b>X</b> <sub>18</sub>	.171x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.277x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.204x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.436x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.442x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.427x10 <sup>-7</sup>
X19	.842x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.831x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.758x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.418x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.395x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.449x10 <sup>-7</sup>
x <sub>20</sub>	.906x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.722x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.737x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.127x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.125x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.130x10 <sup>-5</sup>

Values of  $|\widetilde{y}_i^{(3)} - y_i^{(3)}|$ .

	SI	$\mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{II}}$	S <sub>III</sub>	$s_{IV}$	$s_V$	$S_{VI}$
X2	.101x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.909x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.777x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.152x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.180x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.115x10 <sup>-6</sup>
X4	.843x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.771x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.557x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.130x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.132x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.127x10 <sup>-6</sup>
<b>X</b> <sub>6</sub>	,192x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.187x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.387x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.141x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.141x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.141x10 <sup>-6</sup>
<b>X</b> <sub>8</sub>	.159x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.159x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.128x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.155x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	.155x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.155x10 -6
x <sub>10</sub>	.172x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.172x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.207x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.172x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.172x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.172x10 <sup>-6</sup>
x <sub>12</sub>	.181x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.199x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.970x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.190x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.190x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.190x10 <sup>-6</sup>
X <sub>14</sub>	.954x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.336x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.111x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.210x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.211x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.210x10 <sup>-6</sup>
x <sub>16</sub>	.136x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.198x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.152x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.239x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.243x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.232x10 <sup>-6</sup>
x <sub>18</sub>	.219x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.246x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.211x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.343xI0 <sup>-6</sup>	.407x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.257x10 <sup>-6</sup>

Values of  $|\widetilde{y}_i^{(4)} - y_i^{(4)}|$ .

	s <sub>I</sub>	S <sub>II</sub>	S <sub>III</sub>	$s_{IV}$	$s_V$	$s_{VI}$	
x <sub>1</sub>	.172x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.155x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.134	.642x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.111x10 <sup>-4</sup>	. 476x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
x <sub>2</sub>	.461x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.414x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.359x10 <sup>-1</sup>	.170x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.296x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.343x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
x4	.330x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.297x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.258x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.984x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.189x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.260x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
<b>x</b> <sub>6</sub>	.236x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.212x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.185x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.984x10- <sup>8</sup>	.332x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.188x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
<b>x</b> <sub>8</sub>	.161x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.140x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.135x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.104x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.995x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.110x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
x <sub>10</sub>	.154x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.430x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.357x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.242x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.242x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.241x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
x <sub>12</sub>	.369x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.428x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.362x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.130x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.141x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.116x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
x <sub>14</sub>	.527x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.582x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.503x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.359x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.510x10 <sup>-7</sup>	.152x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
x <sub>16</sub>	.735x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.809x10 <sup>-4</sup>	$.701 \times 10^{-2}$	. 339x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.550x10 <sup>-6</sup>	.508x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
x <sub>18</sub>	.103x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.113x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.976x10 <sup>-1</sup>	.410x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.704x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.891x10 <sup>-7</sup>	
X19	.383x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.420x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.364	.151x10 <sup>-4</sup>	,261x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.117x10 <sup>-6</sup>	

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. BEHFOROOZ, G.H. 1980 Ph.D. Thesis, Brunel University, Uxbridge.
- 2. BEHFOROOZ, G.H. and PAPAMICHAEL, N. 1979 End Conditions for cubic spline interpolation. J. Inst Maths Applies. <u>23</u>, 355-366.
- 3. BEHFOROOZ, G.H. and PAPAMICHAEL, N. 1979 Improved orders of approximation derived from interpolatory cubic splines. BIT <u>19</u>, 19-26.
- 4. DE BOOR, C.R. 1966 Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- 5. DE BOOR, C.R. 1978 A practical guide to splines. Springer-Verlag: New York.
- 6. KERSHAW, D. 1973 J. Inst. Maths Applies. <u>11</u>, 329-333.
- 7. LUCAS, T.R. 1974 SIAM J.Numer.Anal. <u>11</u>, 569-584.

# NOT TO BE REMOVED FROM THE LIBRARY

