

# Mapping the language landscape: A systematic review of interventions used in awake craniotomy

Rhiannon MacKenzie-Phelan<sup>1\*</sup>, Karen Sage<sup>2</sup> and Daniel Roberts<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup> Sheffield Hallam University, Department for Allied Health Professions and Centre for Health and Social Care, United Kingdom

## Background and Aims:

Awake craniotomy often results in postoperative aphasia. Over the last several decades, neurosurgical technologies have evolved, increasing resection precision for the surgeon (e.g., intraoperative fMRI). However, one ongoing concern reported by surgical teams is delineating the extent of tissue that can be safely resected – remove too little and one may not get the desired result; remove too much and one risks impairing language function. Despite technological advances and considerable progress in the neuroanatomy of language, the Penfield three-task approach (counting, naming, reading; based on the Wernicke-Geschwind model) for intraoperative language mapping remains the standard. Although this can elicit speech-arrest and “aphasic” errors, the basic tasks are not aligned with current language models and fail to probe subtler language functions that are often impaired postoperatively (De Witte & Marien, 2013). For example, stimulation of anterior and posterior cortex could invoke reading problems due to different reasons (e.g., phonology, vision). Preserving language function is therefore reliant on probing a spectrum of multimodal functions during cortical mapping. This requires a test-battery driven by a multifaceted theoretical framework (MRI, experimental neuropsychology etc.). The first step towards developing such a tool is to establish which intraoperative tasks are effective in mapping language function and minimising aphasic symptoms.

## Methods:

A systematic review was conducted. Databases were searched for articles using key terms (e.g., “brain tumour” AND “neurosurgery” AND “language” etc.). This returned 7294 articles screened for inclusion by title, abstract and full-text by independent reviewers based on the following criteria: 1) patients aged >18 years; 2) brain tumour excision under awake conditions or general anaesthesia; 3) validated language outcomes for pre-, intra- and post-operative assessment. Data were extracted from eligible papers including intervention (intraoperative tasks) and outcomes (language function pre-, intra- and post-operatively), and are currently being analysed.

## Results:

It is expected that adopting a multimodal approach will provide better outcomes than the traditional Penfield approach. For example, employing tasks engaging different input modalities (e.g., phonology, vision) and measuring a range of processes (e.g., rhyme judgement, pattern recognition) will provide a better profile of language functioning for the surgeon. Not all modalities and processes will be affected by the tumour and resection location so task delivery should be optimised as a function of patient group (e.g., semantic tasks are more beneficial for anterior temporal resection).

## Conclusions:

For the first time the results from this review will allow predictions to be made regarding the best combination of tasks for mapping and preserving language function in and out of theatre. It will consider important factors such as tumour location, from which more valid conclusions may be reached on how to tailor interventions. Data from cortical mapping may also provide insights into the neuroanatomy of language. The findings will provide a basis for the development of specific tasks that comprehensively and concisely assess the functions associated with a particular region pre-, intra-, and post-operatively. Such a tool is not only relevant for craniotomy but for diagnosis of different language impairments in non-tumour patients.

## References

De Witte, E., & Marien, P. (2013). The neurolinguistic approach to awake surgery reviewed. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 115(2), 127-145. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2012.09.015>

**Keywords:** Awake craniotomy, language cortex surgery, Language mapping, eloquent cortex mapping, brain tumour, Glioma, Language Disorders, Aphasia

**Conference:** Academy of Aphasia 55th Annual Meeting , Baltimore, United States, 5 Nov - 7 Nov, 2017. **Presentation Type:** poster presentation

**Topic:** Consider for student award

**Citation:** MacKenzie-Phelan R, Sage K and Roberts D (2019). Mapping the language landscape: A systematic review of interventions used in awake craniotomy. *Front. Hum. Neurosci. Conference Abstract: Academy of Aphasia 55th Annual Meeting* . doi: 10.3389/conf.fnhum.2017.223.00125

**Copyright:** The abstracts in this collection have not been subject to any Frontiers peer review or checks, and are not endorsed by Frontiers. They are made available through the Frontiers publishing platform as a service to conference organizers and presenters.

The copyright in the individual abstracts is owned by the author of each abstract or his/her employer unless otherwise stated.

Each abstract, as well as the collection of abstracts, are published under a Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 (attribution) licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) and may thus be reproduced, translated, adapted and be the subject of derivative works provided the authors and Frontiers are attributed.

For Frontiers' terms and conditions please see <https://www.frontiersin.org/legal/terms-and-conditions>. Received: 02 May 2017; Published Online: 25 Jan 2019.

\* **Correspondence:** Ms. Rhiannon MacKenzie-Phelan, Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool, United Kingdom, [r.mackenziephelan@2016.ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:r.mackenziephelan@2016.ljmu.ac.uk)