BABAR-PUB-03/042
SLAC-PUB-10318

Study of $B^{\pm} \to J/\psi\,\pi^{\pm}$ and $B^{\pm} \to J/\psi\,K^{\pm}$ Decays: Measurement of the Ratio of Branching Fractions and Search for Direct CP Violation

B. Aubert,[1] R. Barate,[1] D. Boutigny,[1] F. Couderc,[1] J.-M. Gaillard,[1] A. Hicheur,[1] Y. Karyotakis,[1] J. P. Lees,[1] V. Tisserand,[1] A. Zghiche,[1] A. Palano,[2] A. Pompili,[2] J. C. Chen,[3] N. D. Qi,[3] G. Rong,[3] P. Wang,[3] Y. S. Zhu,[3] G. Eigen,[4] I. Ofte,[4] B. Stugu,[4] G. S. Abrams,[5] A. W. Borgland,[5] A. B. Breon,[5] D. N. Brown,[5] J. Button-Shafer,[5] R. N. Cahn,[5] E. Charles,[5] C. T. Day,[5] M. S. Gill,[5] A. V. Gritsan,[5] Y. Groysman,[5] R. G. Jacobsen,[5] R. W. Kadel,[5] J. Kadyk,[5] L. T. Kerth,[5] Yu. G. Kolomensky,[5] G. Kukartsev,[5] C. LeClerc,[5] M. E. Levi,[5] G. Lynch,[5] L. M. Mir,[5] P. J. Oddone,[5] T. J. Orimoto,[5] M. Pripstein,[5] N. A. Roe,[5] M. T. Ronan,[5] V. G. Shelkov,[5] A. V. Telnov,[5] W. A. Wenzel,[5] K. Ford,[6] T. J. Harrison,[6] C. M. Hawkes,[6] S. E. Morgan,[6] A. T. Watson,[6] N. K. Watson,[6] M. Fritsch,[7] K. Goetzen,[7] T. Held,[7] H. Koch,[7] B. Lewandowski,[7] M. Pelizaeus,[7] M. Steinke,[7] J. T. Boyd,[8] N. Chevalier,[8] W. N. Cottingham,[8] M. P. Kelly,[8] T. E. Latham,[8] F. F. Wilson,[8] K. Abe,[9] T. Cuhadar-Donszelmann,[9] C. Hearty,[9] T. S. Mattison,[9] J. A. McKenna,[9] D. Thiessen,[9] P. Kyberd,[10] L. Teodorescu,[10] V. E. Blinov,[11] A. D. Bukin,[11] V. P. Druzhinin,[11] V. B. Golubev,[11] V. N. Ivanchenko,[11] E. A. Kravchenko,[11] A. P. Onuchin,[11] S. I. Serednyakov,[11] Yu. I. Skovpen,[11] E. P. Solodov,[11] A. N. Yushkov,[11] D. Best,[12] M. Bruinsma,[12] M. Chao,[12] I. Eschrich,[12] D. Kirkby,[12] A. J. Lankford,[12] M. Mandelkern,[12] R. K. Mommsen,[12] W. Roethel,[12] D. P. Stoker,[12] C. Buchanan,[13] B. L. Hartfiel,[13] J. W. Gary,[14] B. C. Shen,[14] K. Wang,[14] D. del Re,[15] H. K. Hadavand,[15] E. J. Hill,[15] D. B. MacFarlane,[15] H. P. Paar,[15] Sh. Rahatlou,[15] V. Sharma,[15] J. W. Berryhill,[16] C. Campagnari,[16] B. Dahmes,[16] S. L. Levy,[16] O. Long,[16] A. Lu,[16] M. A. Mazur,[16] J. D. Richman,[16] W. Verkerke,[16] T. W. Beck,[17] A. M. Eisner,[17] C. A. Heusch,[17] W. S. Lockman,[17] T. Schalk,[17] R. E. Schmitz,[17] B. A. Schumm,[17] A. Seiden,[17] P. Spradlin,[17] D. C. Williams,[17] M. G. Wilson,[17] J. Albert,[18] E. Chen,[18] G. P. Dubois-Felsmann,[18] A. Dvoretskii,[18] D. G. Hitlin,[18] I. Narsky,[18] T. Piatenko,[18] F. C. Porter,[18] A. Ryd,[18] A. Samuel,[18] S. Yang,[18] S. Jayatilleke,[19] G. Mancinelli,[19] B. T. Meadows,[19] M. D. Sokoloff,[19] T. Abe,[20] F. Blanc,[20] P. Bloom,[20] S. Chen,[20] P. J. Clark,[20] W. T. Ford,[20] U. Nauenberg,[20] A. Olivas,[20] P. Rankin,[20] J. G. Smith,[20] W. C. van Hoek,[20] L. Zhang,[20] J. L. Harton,[21] T. Hu,[21] A. Soffer,[21] W. H. Toki,[21] R. J. Wilson,[21] D. Altenburg,[22] T. Brandt,[22] J. Brose,[22] T. Colberg,[22] M. Dickopp,[22] E. Feltresi,[22] A. Hauke,[22] H. M. Lacker,[22] E. Maly,[22] R. Müller-Pfefferkorn,[22] R. Nogowski,[22] S. Otto,[22] J. Schubert,[22] K. R. Schubert,[22] R. Schwierz,[22] B. Spaan,[22] D. Bernard,[23] G. R. Bonneaud,[23] F. Brochard,[23] P. Grenier,[23] Ch. Thiebaux,[23] G. Vasileiadis,[23] M. Verderi,[23] D. J. Bard,[24] A. Khan,[24] D. Lavin,[24] F. Muheim,[24] S. Playfer,[24] M. Andreotti,[25] V. Azzolini,[25] D. Bettoni,[25] C. Bozzi,[25] R. Calabrese,[25] G. Cibinetto,[25] E. Luppi,[25] M. Negrini,[25] A. Sarti,[25] E. Treadwell,[26] R. Baldini-Ferroli,[27] A. Calcaterra,[27] R. de Sangro,[27] G. Finocchiaro,[27] P. Patteri,[27] M. Piccolo,[27] A. Zallo,[27] A. Buzzo,[28] R. Capra,[28] R. Contri,[28] G. Crosetti,[28] M. Lo Vetere,[28] M. Macri,[28] M. R. Monge,[28] S. Passaggio,[28] C. Patrignani,[28] E. Robutti,[28] A. Santroni,[28] S. Tosi,[28] S. Bailey,[29] G. Brandenburg,[29] M. Morii,[29] E. Won,[29] R. S. Dubitzky,[30] U. Langenegger,[30] W. Bhimji,[31] D. A. Bowerman,[31] P. D. Dauncey,[31] U. Egede,[31] J. R. Gaillard,[31] G. W. Morton,[31] J. A. Nash,[31] G. P. Taylor,[31] G. J. Grenier,[32] S.-J. Lee,[32] U. Mallik,[32] J. Cochran,[33] H. B. Crawley,[33] J. Lamsa,[33] W. T. Meyer,[33] S. Prell,[33] E. I. Rosenberg,[33] J. Yi,[33] M. Davier,[34] G. Grosdidier,[34] A. Höcker,[34] S. Laplace,[34] F. Le Diberder,[34] V. Lepeltier,[34] A. M. Lutz,[34] T. C. Petersen,[34] S. Plaszczynski,[34] M. H. Schune,[34] L. Tantot,[34] G. Wormser,[34] C. H. Cheng,[35] D. J. Lange,[35] M. C. Simani,[35] D. M. Wright,[35] A. J. Bevan,[36] J. P. Coleman,[36] J. R. Fry,[36] E. Gabathuler,[36] R. Gamet,[36] M. Kay,[36] R. J. Parry,[36] D. J. Payne,[36] R. J. Sloane,[36] C. Touramanis,[36] J. J. Back,[37] P. F. Harrison,[37] G. B. Mohanty,[37] C. L. Brown,[38] G. Cowan,[38] R. L. Flack,[38] H. U. Flaecher,[38] S. George,[38] M. G. Green,[38] A. Kurup,[38] C. E. Marker,[38] T. R. McMahon,[38] S. Ricciardi,[38] F. Salvatore,[38] G. Vaitsas,[38] M. A. Winter,[38] D. Brown,[39] C. L. Davis,[39] J. Allison,[40] N. R. Barlow,[40] R. J. Barlow,[40] P. A. Hart,[40] M. C. Hodgkinson,[40] G. D. Lafferty,[40] A. J. Lyon,[40] J. C. Williams,[40] A. Farbin,[41] W. D. Hulsbergen,[41] A. Jawahery,[41] D. Kovalskyi,[41] C. K. Lae,[41] V. Lillard,[41] D. A. Roberts,[41] G. Blaylock,[42] C. Dallapiccola,[42] K. T. Flood,[42] S. S. Hertzbach,[42] R. Kofler,[42] V. B. Koptchev,[42] T. B. Moore,[42] S. Saremi,[42] H. Staengle,[42] S. Willocq,[42] R. Cowan,[43] G. Sciolla,[43] F. Taylor,[43] R. K. Yamamoto,[43] D. J. J. Mangeol,[44] P. M. Patel,[44] S. H. Robertson,[44] A. Lazzaro,[45] F. Palombo,[45] J. M. Bauer,[46] L. Cremaldi,[46] V. Eschenburg,[46] R. Godang,[46] R. Kroeger,[46] J. Reidy,[46] D. A. Sanders,[46] D. J. Summers,[46] H. W. Zhao,[46]

S. Brunet,[47] D. Côté,[47] P. Taras,[47] H. Nicholson,[48] C. Cartaro,[49] N. Cavallo,[49] F. Fabozzi,[49,*] C. Gatto,[49] L. Lista,[49] D. Monorchio,[49] P. Paolucci,[49] D. Piccolo,[49] C. Sciacca,[49] M. Baak,[50] G. Raven,[50] L. Wilden,[50] C. P. Jessop,[51] J. M. LoSecco,[51] T. A. Gabriel,[52] T. Allmendinger,[53] B. Brau,[53] K. K. Gan,[53] K. Honscheid,[53] D. Hufnagel,[53] H. Kagan,[53] R. Kass,[53] T. Pulliam,[53] R. Ter-Antonyan,[53] Q. K. Wong,[53] J. Brau,[54] R. Frey,[54] O. Igonkina,[54] C. T. Potter,[54] N. B. Sinev,[54] D. Strom,[54] E. Torrence,[54] F. Colecchia,[55] A. Dorigo,[55] F. Galeazzi,[55] M. Margoni,[55] M. Morandin,[55] M. Posocco,[55] M. Rotondo,[55] F. Simonetto,[55] R. Stroili,[55] G. Tiozzo,[55] C. Voci,[55] M. Benayoun,[56] H. Briand,[56] J. Chauveau,[56] P. David,[56] Ch. de la Vaissière,[56] L. Del Buono,[56] O. Hamon,[56] M. J. J. John,[56] Ph. Leruste,[56] J. Ocariz,[56] M. Pivk,[56] L. Roos,[56] S. T'Jampens,[56] G. Therin,[56] P. F. Manfredi,[57] V. Re,[57] P. K. Behera,[58] L. Gladney,[58] Q. H. Guo,[58] J. Panetta,[58] F. Anulli,[27,59] M. Biasini,[59] I. M. Peruzzi,[27,59] M. Pioppi,[59] C. Angelini,[60] G. Batignani,[60] S. Bettarini,[60] M. Bondioli,[60] F. Bucci,[60] G. Calderini,[60] M. Carpinelli,[60] V. Del Gamba,[60] F. Forti,[60] M. A. Giorgi,[60] A. Lusiani,[60] G. Marchiori,[60] F. Martinez-Vidal,[60,†] M. Morganti,[60] N. Neri,[60] E. Paoloni,[60] M. Rama,[60] G. Rizzo,[60] F. Sandrelli,[60] J. Walsh,[60] M. Haire,[61] D. Judd,[61] K. Paick,[61] D. E. Wagoner,[61] N. Danielson,[62] P. Elmer,[62] C. Lu,[62] V. Miftakov,[62] J. Olsen,[62] A. J. S. Smith,[62] E. W. Varnes,[62] F. Bellini,[63] G. Cavoto,[62,63] R. Faccini,[63] F. Ferrarotto,[63] F. Ferroni,[63] M. Gaspero,[63] L. Li Gioi,[63] M. A. Mazzoni,[63] S. Morganti,[63] M. Pierini,[63] G. Piredda,[63] F. Safai Tehrani,[63] C. Voena,[63] S. Christ,[64] G. Wagner,[64] R. Waldi,[64] T. Adye,[65] N. De Groot,[65] B. Franek,[65] N. I. Geddes,[65] G. P. Gopal,[65] E. O. Olaiya,[65] S. M. Xella,[65] R. Aleksan,[66] S. Emery,[66] A. Gaidot,[66] S. F. Ganzhur,[66] P.-F. Giraud,[66] G. Hamel de Monchenault,[66] W. Kozanecki,[66] M. Langer,[66] M. Legendre,[66] G. W. London,[66] B. Mayer,[66] G. Schott,[66] G. Vasseur,[66] Ch. Yèche,[66] M. Zito,[66] M. V. Purohit,[67] A. W. Weidemann,[67] F. X. Yumiceva,[67] D. Aston,[68] R. Bartoldus,[68] N. Berger,[68] A. M. Boyarski,[68] O. L. Buchmueller,[68] M. R. Convery,[68] M. Cristinziani,[68] G. De Nardo,[68] D. Dong,[68] J. Dorfan,[68] D. Dujmic,[68] W. Dunwoodie,[68] E. E. Elsen,[68] R. C. Field,[68] T. Glanzman,[68] S. J. Gowdy,[68] T. Hadig,[68] V. Halyo,[68] T. Hryn'ova,[68] W. R. Innes,[68] M. H. Kelsey,[68] P. Kim,[68] M. L. Kocian,[68] D. W. G. S. Leith,[68] J. Libby,[68] S. Luitz,[68] V. Luth,[68] H. L. Lynch,[68] H. Marsiske,[68] R. Messner,[68] D. R. Muller,[68] C. P. O'Grady,[68] V. E. Ozcan,[68] A. Perazzo,[68] M. Perl,[68] S. Petrak,[68] B. N. Ratcliff,[68] A. Roodman,[68] A. A. Salnikov,[68] R. H. Schindler,[68] J. Schwiening,[68] G. Simi,[68] A. Snyder,[68] A. Soha,[68] J. Stelzer,[68] D. Su,[68] M. K. Sullivan,[68] J. Va'vra,[68] S. R. Wagner,[68] M. Weaver,[68] A. J. R. Weinstein,[68] W. J. Wisniewski,[68] M. Wittgen,[68] D. H. Wright,[68] C. C. Young,[68] P. R. Burchat,[69] A. J. Edwards,[69] T. I. Meyer,[69] B. A. Petersen,[69] C. Roat,[69] S. Ahmed,[70] M. S. Alam,[70] J. A. Ernst,[70] M. A. Saeed,[70] M. Saleem,[70] F. R. Wappler,[70] W. Bugg,[71] M. Krishnamurthy,[71] S. M. Spanier,[71] R. Eckmann,[72] H. Kim,[72] J. L. Ritchie,[72] A. Satpathy,[72] R. F. Schwitters,[72] J. M. Izen,[73] I. Kitayama,[73] X. C. Lou,[73] S. Ye,[73] F. Bianchi,[74] M. Bona,[74] F. Gallo,[74] D. Gamba,[74] C. Borean,[75] L. Bosisio,[75] F. Cossutti,[75] G. Della Ricca,[75] S. Dittongo,[75] S. Grancagnolo,[75] L. Lanceri,[75] P. Poropat,[75,‡] L. Vitale,[75] G. Vuagnin,[75] R. S. Panvini,[76] Sw. Banerjee,[77] C. M. Brown,[77] D. Fortin,[77] P. D. Jackson,[77] R. Kowalewski,[77] J. M. Roney,[77] H. R. Band,[78] S. Dasu,[78] M. Datta,[78] A. M. Eichenbaum,[78] J. J. Hollar,[78] J. R. Johnson,[78] P. E. Kutter,[78] H. Li,[78] R. Liu,[78] F. Di Lodovico,[78] A. Mihalyi,[78] A. K. Mohapatra,[78] Y. Pan,[78] R. Prepost,[78] S. J. Sekula,[78] P. Tan,[78] J. H. von Wimmersperg-Toeller,[78] J. Wu,[78] S. L. Wu,[78] Z. Yu,[78] and H. Neal[79]

(The *BABAR* Collaboration)

[1]*Laboratoire de Physique des Particules, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France*
[2]*Università di Bari, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-70126 Bari, Italy*
[3]*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, China*
[4]*University of Bergen, Inst. of Physics, N-5007 Bergen, Norway*
[5]*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*
[6]*University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom*
[7]*Ruhr Universität Bochum, Institut für Experimentalphysik 1, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*
[8]*University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, United Kingdom*
[9]*University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1*
[10]*Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United Kingdom*
[11]*Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*
[12]*University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA*
[13]*University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA*
[14]*University of California at Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA*
[15]*University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA*
[16]*University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*
[17]*University of California at Santa Cruz, Institute for Particle Physics, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA*
[18]*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*
[19]*University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA*

[20]University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA
[21]Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA
[22]Technische Universität Dresden, Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, D-01062 Dresden, Germany
[23]Ecole Polytechnique, LLR, F-91128 Palaiseau, France
[24]University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom
[25]Università di Ferrara, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy
[26]Florida A&M University, Tallahassee, FL 32307, USA
[27]Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, I-00044 Frascati, Italy
[28]Università di Genova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-16146 Genova, Italy
[29]Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
[30]Universität Heidelberg, Physikalisches Institut, Philosophenweg 12, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany
[31]Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
[32]University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
[33]Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3160, USA
[34]Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, F-91898 Orsay, France
[35]Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA
[36]University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 72E, United Kingdom
[37]Queen Mary, University of London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom
[38]University of London, Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom
[39]University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292, USA
[40]University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom
[41]University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
[42]University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
[43]Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
[44]McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada H3A 2T8
[45]Università di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-20133 Milano, Italy
[46]University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677, USA
[47]Université de Montréal, Laboratoire René J. A. Lévesque, Montréal, QC, Canada H3C 3J7
[48]Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, MA 01075, USA
[49]Università di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche and INFN, I-80126, Napoli, Italy
[50]NIKHEF, National Institute for Nuclear Physics and High Energy Physics, NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
[51]University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA
[52]Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA
[53]Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
[54]University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA
[55]Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy
[56]Universités Paris VI et VII, Lab de Physique Nucléaire H. E., F-75252 Paris, France
[57]Università di Pavia, Dipartimento di Elettronica and INFN, I-27100 Pavia, Italy
[58]University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
[59]Università di Perugia, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-06100 Perugia, Italy
[60]Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Fisica, Scuola Normale Superiore and INFN, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
[61]Prairie View A&M University, Prairie View, TX 77446, USA
[62]Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
[63]Università di Roma La Sapienza, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-00185 Roma, Italy
[64]Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany
[65]Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX, United Kingdom
[66]DSM/Dapnia, CEA/Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[67]University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA
[68]Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA 94309, USA
[69]Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4060, USA
[70]State Univ. of New York, Albany, NY 12222, USA
[71]University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA
[72]University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA
[73]University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75083, USA
[74]Università di Torino, Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale and INFN, I-10125 Torino, Italy
[75]Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
[76]Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA
[77]University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 3P6
[78]University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA
[79]Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

(Dated: February 2, 2018)

We study $B^{\pm} \to J/\psi\,\pi^{\pm}$ and $B^{\pm} \to J/\psi\,K^{\pm}$ decays in a sample of about 89 million $B\overline{B}$ pairs collected with the *BABAR* detector at the PEP-II asymmetric B-factory at SLAC. We observe a signal of 244 ± 20 $B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$ events and determine the ratio $\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm)/\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm)$ to be $[5.37 \pm 0.45(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11(\text{syst.})]\%$. The charge asymmetries for the $B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$ and $B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm$ decays are determined to be $\mathcal{A}_\pi = 0.123 \pm 0.085(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.004(\text{syst.})$ and $\mathcal{A}_K = 0.030 \pm 0.015(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.006(\text{syst.})$, respectively.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 12.38.Qk

We present an analysis of $B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$ and $B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm$ decays that measures the ratio of branching fractions and searches for direct *CP* violation. The Cabibbo-suppressed decay $B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$ proceeds via a $b \to \overline{c}cd$ transition. It is expected to have a rate about 5% of that of the Cabibbo-allowed mode $B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm$. The Standard Model predicts that for $b \to \overline{c}cs$ decays the tree and penguin contributions have the same weak phase and thus no direct *CP* violation is expected in $B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm$ decays. However, for $b \to \overline{c}cd$, the tree and penguin contributions have different phases and charge asymmetries as large as a few percent may occur [1]. In the absence of isospin violation, the *CP* asymmetry in $B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm$ provides [2] a measurement of the ratio $|\bar{A}/A|$, where A ($\bar{A}$) is the decay amplitude for the neutral mode $B^0(\overline{B}^0) \to J/\psi K^0_S$.

Previous studies of the $B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$ mode have been performed by the CLEO [3], CDF [4], *BABAR* [5] and Belle [6] collaborations. The PDG 2002 average [7] of the ratio of branching fractions is $(4.2 \pm 0.7)\%$. A recent Belle result gives $\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm) = (3.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$. The PDG 2002 averages of the charge asymmetries are $\mathcal{A}_\pi = -0.01 \pm 0.13$ and $\mathcal{A}_K = -0.007 \pm 0.019$ (see Eq. 4 for the definition of the sign of the asymmetry).

The analysis reported in this paper is an update of the *BABAR* analysis in Ref. [5] and is based on a larger data set with improvements in data reconstruction. The data were recorded at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance with the *BABAR* detector [8] at the PEP-II storage ring at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. The integrated luminosity is $81.9\,\text{fb}^{-1}$, corresponding to 89 million $B\overline{B}$ pairs.

At the *BABAR* detector, a five-layer silicon vertex tracker (SVT) and a 40-layer drift chamber (DCH), in a 1.5-T solenoidal magnetic field, provide detection of charged particles and the measurement of their momenta. Electrons are detected in a CsI electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC), while muons are identified in the magnetic flux return system (IFR), which is instrumented with multiple layers of resistive plate chambers. A ring-imaging Cherenkov detector (DIRC) with quartz radiators provides charged-particle identification.

We fully reconstruct $B^\pm \to J/\psi h^\pm$ decays, where $h^\pm = \pi^\pm$ or $K^\pm$, from the combination of a J/ψ candidate and a charged track $h^\pm$. The J/ψ candidate is reconstructed via a $J/\psi \to e^+e^-$ or $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay and is constrained to the nominal J/ψ mass [7]. The electron candidates are combined with reconstructed photons in the calorimeter to recover some of the energy lost through bremmstrahlung. Details of the J/ψ reconstruction are given in Ref. [9]. Depending on the final state of the charmonium meson, the $B^\pm$ candidates are divided into two categories, B_{ee} or $B_{\mu\mu}$. The distribution in the angle θ_ℓ in the J/ψ rest frame between one of the daughter leptons ℓ of the J/ψ and the line of flight of the recoiling $h^\pm$ is different for signal and background. The background peaks for $|\cos\theta_\ell|$ near one while the signal follows a $\sin^2\theta_\ell$ distribution. We require $|\cos\theta_e| < 0.8$ for B_{ee} candidates and $|\cos\theta_\mu| < 0.9$ for $B_{\mu\mu}$ candidates.

Signal yields and charge asymmetries are determined by an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the data. A vertex constraint is applied to the reconstructed tracks before computing the kinematic quantities of the $B^\pm$ candidate. The beam energy-substituted mass m_{ES} is defined as

$$m_{\text{ES}} = \sqrt{(s/2 + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{p_B})^2/E^2 - |\mathbf{p_B}|^2}\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\sqrt{s}$ is the total energy of the e^+e^- system in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ rest frame, and $(E, \mathbf{p})$ and $(E_B, \mathbf{p_B})$ are the four-momenta of the e^+e^- system and the reconstructed B candidate, both in the laboratory frame. The kinematic variable ΔE_π (ΔE_K) is defined as the difference between the reconstructed energy of the $B^\pm$ candidate and the beam energy in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ rest frame assuming $h^\pm = \pi^\pm(K^\pm)$. Signal candidates for $B^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$ ($B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm$) peak in m_{ES} at the $B^\pm$ meson mass and peak in ΔE_π (ΔE_K) at 0. Candidates are required to satisfy loose requirements on these variables: $|\Delta E_\pi| < 120\,\text{MeV}$, $|\Delta E_K| < 120\,\text{MeV}$ and $m_{\text{ES}} > 5.2\,\text{GeV}/c^2$. The kinematic separation is sufficiently good (see Fig. 3) so that no explicit particle identification is required on the charged hadron $h^\pm$, thereby simplifying the analysis.

The selected sample contains 3801 $B_{\mu\mu}$ and 4053 B_{ee} candidates. Figure 1(a) shows the m_{ES} distribution in data fitted to the sum of a Gaussian and an empirical phase-space function (Argus function [10]) describing the signal and background components, respectively. Figure 1(b) shows the ΔE_K distribution for data candidates with $m_{\text{ES}} > 5.27\,\text{GeV}/c^2$ fitted to the sum of a double Gaussian and a polynomial function, describing the dominant $B^\pm \to J/\psi K^\pm$ signal and the background contribution, respectively.

The background (*bkg*) from continuum and generic $B\overline{B}$ decays is characterized using events that are outside the signal regions (sidebands of the data sample). Candidates in the m_{ES} sideband are defined by the requirement $5.20 < m_{\text{ES}} < 5.27\,\text{GeV}/c^2$, where the upper limit is approximately four times the experimental resolution below the B mass. Candidates in the ΔE_K and ΔE_π sidebands



FIG. 1: (a) The $m_{\rm ES}$ distribution for the $B^{\pm}$ candidates in data. A fit to the sum of a Gaussian and an empirical threshold function (dashed curve) is superimposed. The fitted resolution is approximately 2.5 MeV/c^2. (b) The ΔE_K distribution for the $B^{\pm}$ candidates in data with $m_{\rm ES} > 5.27\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$. A fit to the sum of a double Gaussian and a 3rd order polynomial function (dashed curve) is superimposed. The fitted resolution is approximately 10.5 MeV

.

are defined by the requirement $42 < |\Delta E_K| < 120\,\mathrm{MeV}$ and $42 < |\Delta E_\pi| < 120\,\mathrm{MeV}$, where the lower limit is approximately four times the ΔE resolution obtained from the fit shown in Fig. 1(b).

We maximize the following extended likelihood function:

$$L = \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_i N_i} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \sum_i P_i(\alpha^j, \Delta E_\pi^j, p_h^j, {m_{\rm ES}}^j)\, c_i(q^j)\, N_i \ ,$$

where j is the index of the event, i is the index of the hypothesis ($i = \pi, K, bkg$), N_i is the yield for each hypothesis, and M is the total number of events in the sample.

The arguments of the probability density functions (PDFs) P_i are a discrete variable α that identifies the category of the B candidate ($\alpha = 1$ for B_{ee}, $\alpha = 2$ for $B_{\mu\mu}$), and the kinematic observables ($\Delta E_\pi, p_h, m_{\rm ES}$), where p_h is the $h^{\pm}$ momentum in the laboratory frame. We assume the same PDFs for B^+ and B^- candidates. If we define $P_i^{ee}(\Delta E_\pi, p_h, m_{\rm ES})$ and $P_i^{\mu\mu}(\Delta E_\pi, p_h, m_{\rm ES})$ as the PDFs for B_{ee} and $B_{\mu\mu}$ candidates, we have

$$P_i = \begin{cases} r_i^{ee} P_i^{ee} & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \\ (1 - r_i^{ee}) P_i^{\mu\mu} & \text{if } \alpha = 2 \,, \end{cases} \qquad (2)$$

where r_i^{ee} is the fraction of B_{ee} candidates in a given hypothesis. In the following we will drop the superscripts ee and $\mu\mu$ when not needed.

The factor $c_i(q)$ is the fraction of candidates with charge q in hypothesis i:

$$c_i(q) = \begin{cases} 1/2\,(1 - \mathcal{A}_i) & \text{if } q = +1 \\ 1/2\,(1 + \mathcal{A}_i) & \text{if } q = -1 \,, \end{cases} \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the charge asymmetry:

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \frac{N_i^- - N_i^+}{N_i^- + N_i^+} \,. \qquad (4)$$

The yields N_i, asymmetries A_i, and fractions r_i^{ee} are free parameters in the likelihood fit.

Since the measured variables ΔE_π and p_h are correlated, we define a new set of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \Delta E_K - \Delta E_\pi = \gamma \left(\sqrt{p_h^2 + m_K^2} - \sqrt{p_h^2 + m_\pi^2} \right) , \\ \mathit{\Sigma} &= (\Delta E_K + \Delta E_\pi)/(D - a) \,, \\ \Pi &= D\,(D/2 - a) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where γ is the Lorentz boost from the laboratory frame to the $\Upsilon(4S)$ rest frame and $a = 240\,\mathrm{MeV}$ is twice the maximum $|\Delta E_\pi|$ or $|\Delta E_K|$ value for the data sample. These variables have the property that $(\Delta E_\pi, D)$ in the pion hypothesis, $(\Delta E_K, D)$ in the kaon hypothesis, and $(\mathit{\Sigma}, \Pi)$ in the background hypothesis are correlated at less than the few percent level. Therefore each P_i can be written as a product of one-dimensional PDFs:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\pi(\Delta E_\pi, p_h, m_{\rm ES}) &= f_\pi(\Delta E_\pi) g_\pi(D) h_\pi(m_{\rm ES}) \,, \\ P_K(\Delta E_\pi, p_h, m_{\rm ES}) &= f_K(\Delta E_K) g_K(D) h_K(m_{\rm ES}) \,, \\ P_{bkg}(\Delta E_\pi, p_h, m_{\rm ES}) &= f_{bkg}(\mathit{\Sigma}) g_{bkg}(\Pi) h_{bkg}(m_{\rm ES}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

The f_π and f_K components are represented by double Gaussians, while h_π and h_K are described by single Gaussians. The parameters of f_π and h_π are constrained to be equal to the parameters of f_K and h_K, respectively. They are free parameters in the likelihood fit and are extracted together with the yields. This strategy reduces the systematic error due to possible inaccuracies of the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation in describing the ΔE and $m_{\rm ES}$ distributions.

The g_π and g_K components are each represented by a phenomenological function with seven fixed parameters estimated from the MC simulation. They follow an exponential shape with Gaussian edges.

The f_{bkg} component is represented by a linear phenomenological function with fixed parameters estimated from the distribution of $\mathit{\Sigma}$ for events in the $m_{\rm ES}$ sideband (Fig. 2(a)).

The g_{bkg} component is represented by a phenomenological function with twelve fixed parameters, all estimated from the distribution of Π for events in the $m_{\rm ES}$ sideband (Fig. 2(b)).

The h_{bkg} component is represented by the sum of an Argus function and a Gaussian function, with fixed parameters. The shape parameters are estimated from the



FIG. 2: The distribution of (a) Σ and (b) Π for events in the $m_{\rm ES}$ sideband in data. The curve corresponds to the projection of the best fit.



FIG. 3: The ΔE_π distribution in data (points) compared with the distribution obtained from a simulated experiment (histogram). The distributions for each simulated component in the sample, normalized to the fitted event yields, are also displayed.

distribution of $m_{\rm ES}$ for events in both the ΔE_K and ΔE_π sidebands. The small number of background events peaking in the $m_{\rm ES}$ signal region is due to candidates reconstructed from other $B \to J/\psi X$ decays. From detailed MC simulations of inclusive charmonium decays we determine 40 ± 7 peaking background events in our sample.

The yields determined with the unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the data sample are reported in Table I. The correlation coefficient between N_π and N_K is -0.02. The probability to obtain a maximum value of the likelihood smaller than the observed value is 50%, estimated by MC techniques. Figure 3 shows the distributions of ΔE_π for the events in the data, compared with the distributions obtained by generating events with a parametric MC simulation based on the PDFs used in the fit.

TABLE I: Uncorrected yields N_i, fractions of B_{ee} candidates r_i^{ee} and uncorrected charge asymmetries $\mathcal{A}_i$ from the fit to the data sample.

i	N_i	$r_i^{ee}(\%)$	$\mathcal{A}_i$
π	242 ± 20	50.1 ± 4.1	0.117 ± 0.084
K	4538 ± 70	46.3 ± 0.8	0.028 ± 0.015
bkg	3074 ± 60	59.6 ± 0.9	0.019 ± 0.020

Possible biases in the likelihood estimates were investigated by performing the fit on simulated samples of known composition and of the same size as the data. The samples were generated with parametric MC simulations based on the PDFs used in the fit. There is no evidence of bias in the fitted asymmetries, while a less than 1% deviation in the fitted yields from the nominal values is present. After correcting the yields for the observed bias, we obtain $N_\pi = 244 \pm 20$, $N_K = 4548 \pm 70$, and a ratio of branching fractions of $(5.37 \pm 0.45)\%$ with an absolute systematic error of 0.11%. The dominant source of systematic error is the fixed parameters of the PDFs, primarily the PDFs that describe the background. Other sources of systematic uncertainty, such as differences in the reconstruction efficiencies for $J/\psi\,\pi^\pm$ and $J/\psi\,K^\pm$ events and inaccuracies in the description of the tails of the ΔE resolution function, are found to be negligible.

The sample that is used to determine the charge asymmetries is defined by imposing as a further requirement that the charged track $h^\pm$ has a polar angle in the range $[0.41, 2.54]$ radians, includes at least 12 DCH hits, has a momentum in the transverse plane $p_t > 100\,{\rm MeV}/c$, and points back to the nominal interaction point within 1.5 cm in the transverse plane and within 3 cm along the longitudinal direction. For these tracks the difference in tracking efficiency between positively and negatively charged tracks - primarily pions - has been studied in hadronic events by comparing independently the SVT and DCH tracking systems.

The selected sample contains 3902 $B^- \to J/\psi\,h^-$ and 3696 $B^+ \to J/\psi\,h^+$ candidates. From the likelihood fit we obtain the charge asymmetries reported in Table I. The correlation coefficient between $\mathcal{A}_\pi$ and $\mathcal{A}_K$ is -0.003. Using MC techniques we estimate that the probability to obtain a fitted asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_K$ greater or equal to the one observed, in the hypothesis of zero asymmetry, is 6.7%.

We correct the fitted asymmetries for the small observed difference in tracking efficiency between positively and negatively charged tracks, obtaining $\mathcal{A}_\pi = 0.123 \pm 0.085$ and $\mathcal{A}_K = 0.030 \pm 0.015$. The uncertainty on the corrections contributes 0.004 and 0.005 to the systematic error on $\mathcal{A}_\pi$ and $\mathcal{A}_K$, respectively. The asymmetry induced by the different probability of K^+ and K^- interactions in the detector material before the DCH is estimated to be -0.004. This value is conservatively assumed to be a contribution to the systematic uncertainty. The uncertainty in the fixed parameters of the PDFs, determined by fits to simulated or non-signal data sets, contributes 0.001 to the systematic errors on both $\mathcal{A}_\pi$ and $\mathcal{A}_K$.

Summing in quadrature statistical and systematic errors, we obtain a 90% C.L. interval of $[-0.017, 0.263]$ for $\mathcal{A}_\pi$ and $[0.003, 0.057]$ for $\mathcal{A}_K$.

In conclusion we measure the ratio of branching fractions

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \to J/\psi\,\pi^\pm)}{\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \to J/\psi\,K^\pm)} = [5.37 \pm 0.45(\mathrm{stat.}) \pm 0.11(\mathrm{syst.})]\%\,,$$

which is consistent with theoretical expectations and with previous measurements. We also determine the charge asymmetries

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\pi &= 0.123 \pm 0.085(\mathrm{stat.}) \pm 0.004(\mathrm{syst.})\,,\\ \mathcal{A}_K &= 0.030 \pm 0.015(\mathrm{stat.}) \pm 0.006(\mathrm{syst.})\,.\end{aligned}$$

Our results are consistent with previous measurements but with significant improvement in the precision.

We are grateful for the excellent luminosity and machine conditions provided by our PEP-II colleagues, and for the substantial dedicated effort from the computing organizations that support *BABAR*. The collaborating institutions wish to thank SLAC for its support and kind hospitality. This work is supported by DOE and NSF (USA), NSERC (Canada), IHEP (China), CEA and CNRS-IN2P3 (France), BMBF and DFG (Germany), INFN (Italy), FOM (The Netherlands), NFR (Norway), MIST (Russia), and PPARC (United Kingdom). Individuals have received support from the A. P. Sloan Foundation, Research Corporation, and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

---

* Also with Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy
† Also with IFIC, Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC-Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain
‡ Deceased

[1] I. Dunietz, Phys. Lett. B **316**, 561 (1993).
[2] Y. Nir, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **117**, 111 (2003).
[3] CLEO Collaboration, M. Bishai *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **369**, 189 (1996).
[4] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 5176 (1996).
[5] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 091101 (2002).
[6] BELLE Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 032003 (2003).
[7] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002).
[8] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **479** 1 (2002).
[9] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 032001 (2002).
[10] ARGUS Collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, Z. Phys. C **48**, 543 (1990).