



Is market fear persistent? A long-memory analysis

Guglielmo Maria Caporale^{a,*}, Luis Gil-Alana^{b,1}, Alex Plastun^{c,2}

^a Brunel University London, CESifo and DIW Berlin, UK

^b University of Navarra, Spain

^c Sumy State University, Ukraine



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Market fear
VIX
Persistence
Long memory
R/S analysis
Fractional integration

JEL classification:

C22
G12

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the degree of persistence of market fear in the VIX index over the sample period 2004–2016, as well as some sub-periods. The findings indicate that its properties change over time: in normal periods it exhibits anti-persistence, whilst during crisis period the level of persistence is increasing. These results can be informative about the nature of financial bubbles and anti-bubbles, and provide evidence on whether there exist market inefficiencies that could be exploited to make abnormal profits by designing appropriate trading strategies.

1. Introduction

According to an old saying on Wall Street the market is driven by just two emotions: fear and greed. [Shefrin \(2000\)](#) in his famous book “Beyond Greed and Fear” claims that they are the most important of a number of heuristic-driven biases influencing investors and resulting in market inefficiencies. [Zweig \(2007\)](#) points out that agents are often in the grip of emotions without even realising it and provides an interesting example: a survey of 1,000 investors suggested that there is a 51% chance that in any given year the US stock market will drop by one third, whilst on the basis of historical data the odds that US stocks will lose one third of their value in any given year are only around 2%. This misperception of reality is a direct result of fear and represents evidence that investors are not fully rational.

[Shefrin \(2000\)](#) points to behavioural anomalies in individual investors, institutional money managers, and corporate managers regardless of their training or experience; moreover, such anomalies can be observed in all market sectors, including equities, fixed income, foreign exchange, commodities, and options. [Shiller \(2003\)](#) argues that some changes in prices occur not for fundamental reasons, but because of mass psychology instead. Other behavioural finance studies have provided more evidence that is inconsistent with the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), according to which investors are rational, and asset prices fully reflect all available information and therefore should follow a random walk (see [Malkiel and Fama, 1970](#)).

Analysing investor sentiment and fear in particular is therefore crucial. Surprisingly, to date there is no study investigating the long-memory properties of the latter. The present paper aims to fill this gap in the literature by examining the degree of persistence of the very popular VIX index, also known as CBOE Volatility Index. This can be interpreted as a “fear” index, since according to [Whaley \(2000\)](#) it is an ‘investor fear gauge’ that reaches higher levels during periods of market turmoil. We use two different long-memory approaches (R/S analysis with the Hurst exponent method and fractional integration) to analyse persistence of the VIX over

* Corresponding author at: Department of Economics and Finance, Brunel University, London UB8 3PH, UK.

E-mail address: Guglielmo-Maria.Caporale@brunel.ac.uk (G.M. Caporale).

¹ Luis A. Gil-Alana gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (ECO2014-55236).

² Alex Plastun gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (0117U003936).

the sample period 2004–2016, as well as some sub-periods (pre-crisis, crisis and post-crisis) to see whether it varies over time depending on market conditions.

The results of our analysis are of interest to both academics and practitioners. They can be informative about the nature of financial bubbles and anti-bubbles, and provide evidence on whether there exist market inefficiencies that could be exploited to make abnormal profits by designing appropriate trading strategies. A better understanding of financial markets can be gained by applying quantitative methods to behavioural finance to analyse investor sentiment as in our study. Its layout is the following: [Section 2](#) provides a brief review of the literature on market fear; [Section 3](#) describes the data and outlines the methodology; [Section 4](#) presents the empirical results; [Section 5](#) provides some concluding remarks.

2. Literature review

Fear is an unpleasant feeling of anticipation or awareness of danger. Sustained losses in financial markets can cause fear of further losses among investors. As a result phenomena such as financial bubbles (anti-bubbles) and their bust, volatility explosions, trends etc. may occur. When feeling fear investors move from risky assets (usually stocks) to less risky ones (money market securities, as well as so-called safe heavens, for example gold, the Swiss franc, the Japanese yen etc.). The mass exodus of investors from certain markets may cause market crashes or even financial crises. Fear is one of the reasons for market overreactions. A typical example is Black Monday (the Flash Crash in the US stock market on 19 October 1987): this single market drop mostly caused by the emotional reactions of investors affected their behaviour for years (for more details see [Keim and Madhavan, 2000](#)). According to [Shiv et al. \(2005\)](#) after incurring losses agents are less inclined to invest and prefer to stay out of the market. There is plenty of evidence that losing streaks influence their behaviour (see, e.g., [Zalla et al., 2000](#); [Breiter et al., 2001](#) etc.).

Losses or market instability make investors more vulnerable to fear, which often results in irrational behaviour and costly mistakes. [Johnson and Tversky \(1983\)](#) find that 50% of agents can recognise when they have been affected by a bit of negative news, but only 3% admit that this may influence their degree of risk aversion. [Slovic \(1987\)](#) proves that fast and finite dangers (fireworks, skydiving, train crashes, etc.) feel more “knowable” (and less worrisome) than vague, open-ended risks such as genetically modified foods or global warming. Agents underestimate the likelihood and severity of common risks, and overestimate those of rare risks (see [Zweig, 2007](#)).

A few studies have attempted to analyse market fear empirically using measures such as the VIX index (also known as the CBOE Volatility Index or Fear index), the CNN Money Fear & Greed Index, the IVX and the CBOE Skew Index. By far the most popular is the VIX, which is derived from the prices of S&P 500 options and yields the expected annualised change in the S&P 500 index over the following 30 days. It is an implied volatility index: the lower its level, the lower is demand from investors seeking to buy protection against risk and thus the lower is the level of market fear.

The VIX is based on S&P 500 data. The long-memory properties of this index have been investigated in numerous empirical studies that have provided mixed results. For example [Peters \(1991, 1994\)](#) used S&P 500 data to test for persistence in the US stock market and found evidence of long memory. [Granger and Ding \(1995\)](#) used about 17,000 daily observations on the S&P 500 index returns and concluded that absolute returns exhibit long-memory properties; a later study by [Granger and Hyung \(2004\)](#) confirmed these findings. [Alvarez-Ramirez et al. \(2008\)](#) used daily data for the period 1950–2007 and reported that the long-term memory properties of the S&P 500 change over time, especially during crisis periods. Similar conclusions were reached by [Dominique and Rivera \(2011\)](#), who showed that the S&P 500 Index is persistent, but its degree of persistence changes over the time.

In contrast to the abovementioned studies, [Chow et al. \(1996\)](#) did not find long-term dependence in stock returns. The same conclusion was reached by [Caporale and Gil-Alana \(2004a\)](#) and [Lu and Perron \(2010\)](#), both these papers not finding evidence of long memory in daily S&P 500 returns.

Most papers analysing the VIX have focused on its predictive power for future returns. [Giot \(2005\)](#) finds that high (low) levels of the VIX correspond to positive (negative) future returns. [Guo and Whitelaw \(2006\)](#) and [Chow et al. \(2014\)](#) also show that there is a positive relationship between market returns and the VIX. [Heydon et al. \(2000\)](#) find that global equity markets outperform bond markets after periods of relatively high expected volatility in the US market and vice versa. [Chow et al. \(2016\)](#) estimate that approximately one-third of the VIX is attributable to the tail risk premium. [Fleming et al. \(1995\)](#) were the first to analyse the persistence of this index and found that its daily changes follow an AR(1) process, whilst its weekly changes exhibit mean reversion, and there is no evidence of seasonality. Long-memory behaviour in the VIX was also detected by [Koopman et al. \(2005\)](#) and [Corsi \(2009\)](#). [Huskaj \(2013\)](#) estimates GARCH, APARCH, FIGARCH and FIAPARCH models, and reports that long memory in the volatility has no significant impact on the prices of hypothetical VIX options. [Jo-Hui and Yu-Fang \(2014\)](#) apply ARFIMA and FIGARCH models to VIX-ETF data and find no signs of long memory, whilst [Fernandes et al. \(2014\)](#) detect long-range dependence using a HAR model. Overall, the evidence on the properties of the VIX is rather mixed.

Research on the VIX and its properties is important because this index can be used as a predictor for S&P 500 returns, stock market volatility, economic activity, financial instability, financial crises etc. It can also be used as a measure of economic instability and to improve the classical CAPM and Black–Scholes models (see [Cipollini and Manzini, 2007](#) for details).

The most common use of the VIX is for predicting stock returns. [Bollerslev et al. \(2009\)](#) provide empirical evidence that indeed stock market returns are predictable by using data from VIX. [Munenzon \(2010\)](#) goes even further and shows that the level of the VIX has important implications for return expectations for all asset classes, not just equities. According to [Christensen and Prabhala \(1998\)](#) and [Chernov \(2007\)](#), the VIX contains information about future stock market volatility.

[Bekaert and Hoerova \(2013\)](#) show that the VIX is a significant predictor of stock returns and industrial production growth (key indicator of economic activity), as well as financial instability; specifically, it has high predictive power for the one- and three-months ahead indicator of financial stress created by the European Central Bank (ECB).

Another interesting use of the VIX index is for the development of trading strategies. Cipollini and Manzini (2007) construct an automated procedure that signals daily whether it is convenient to invest in the S&P 500 or to stay put on the basis of the VIX data. They show that such a strategy outperforms the long-only strategy on the same index.

3. Data and methodology

This paper focuses on the degree of persistence of the VIX with the aim of obtaining evidence on market fear. It uses both daily and monthly data. The sample goes from 01.01.2004 to 31.12.2016. Three sub-samples are also considered: pre-crisis (01.01.2004–31.12.2006), crisis (01.01.2007–31.12.2009) and post-crisis (01.01.2010–31.12.2016). The data source is the Chicago Board Options Exchange (www.cboe.com/VIX).

For robustness purposes we apply two different methods to measure persistence, namely R/S analysis and fractional integration (see Caporale et al., 2016 for an application to the Ukrainian stock market). Concerning the former, we use the following algorithm (see Mynhardt et al., 2014 for additional details):

1. A time series of length M is transformed it into one of length $N = M - 1$ using logs and converting prices into returns:

$$N_t = \log\left(\frac{Y_{t+1}}{Y_t}\right), \quad t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, (M - 1). \quad (1)$$

2. This period is divided into contiguous A sub-periods with length n , so that $A_n = N$, then each sub-period is identified as I_a , given the fact that $a = 1, 2, 3, \dots, A$. Each element I_a is represented as N_k with $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$. For each I_a with length n the average e_a is defined as:

$$e_a = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n N_{k,a}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N, \quad a = 1, 2, 3, \dots, A. \quad (2)$$

3. Accumulated deviations $X_{k,a}$ from the average e_a for each sub-period I_a are defined as:

$$X_{k,a} = \sum_{i=1}^k (N_{i,a} - e_a). \quad (3)$$

The range is defined as the maximum index $X_{k,a}$ minus the minimum $X_{k,a}$, within each sub-period (I_a):

$$R_{Ia} = \max(X_{k,a}) - \min(X_{k,a}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (4)$$

4. The standard deviation S_{Ia} is calculated for each sub-period I_a :

$$S_{Ia} = \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{k=1}^n (N_{k,a} - e_a)^2 \right)^{0,5}. \quad (5)$$

5. Each range R_{Ia} is normalised by dividing by the corresponding S_{Ia} . Therefore, the re-normalised scale during each sub-period I_a is R_{Ia}/S_{Ia} . In the step 2 above, adjacent sub-periods of length n are obtained. Thus, the average R/S for length n is defined as:

$$(R/S)_n = (1/A) \sum_{i=1}^A (R_{Ia}/S_{Ia}). \quad (6)$$

6. The length n is increased to the next higher level, $(M-1)/n$, and must be an integer number. In this case, n -indexes that include the initial and ending points of the time series are used, and Steps 1–6 are repeated until $n = (M-1)/2$.

7. The least square method is used to estimate the equation $\log(R/S) = \log(c) + H \cdot \log(n)$. The angle of the regression line is an estimate of the Hurst exponent H . (Hurst, 1951). This can be defined over the interval $[0, 1]$, and is calculated within the boundaries specified below:

- $0 \leq H < 0.5$ – the data are fractal, the EMH is not confirmed, the distribution has fat tails, the series are anti-persistent, returns are negatively correlated, there is pink noise with frequent changes in the direction of price movements, trading in the market is riskier for individual participants.
- $H = 0.5$ – the data are random, the EMH cannot be rejected, asset prices may follow a random Brownian motion (Wiener process), the series are normally distributed; if the returns are uncorrelated, there is no memory in the series and they are white noise; traders cannot «beat» the market using any trading strategy. Nevertheless they can be weakly autocorrelated through stationary ARMA structures.
- $0.5 < H \leq 1$ – the data are fractal, the EMH is not confirmed, the distribution has fat tails, the series are persistent, returns are highly correlated, there is black noise and a trend in the market.

This algorithm yields static estimates of market persistence. Its dynamic behaviour can be analysed by calculating the Hurst exponent for different windows. The procedure is the following: having obtained the first value of the Hurst exponent (for example, for the date 01.04.2004 using data for the period from 01.01.2004 to 31.03.2004), each of the following ones is calculated by shifting forward the “data window”, where the size of the shift depends on the number of observations and a sufficient number of estimates is required to analyse the time-varying behaviour of the Hurst exponent. For example, if the shift equals 10, the second value is calculated for 10.04.2004 and characterizes the market over the period 10.01.2004 till 09.04.2004, and so on.

The second approach we follow to analyse persistence involves estimating parametric/semiparametric fractional integration or I (d) models. This type of models were originally proposed by Granger (1980) and Granger and Joyeux (1980); they were motivated by the observation that the estimated spectrum in many aggregated series exhibits a large value at the zero frequency, which is consistent with nonstationary behaviour; however, it becomes close to zero after differencing, which suggests over-differentiation. Examples of applications of fractional integration to financial time series data can be found in Barkoulas and Baum (1996), Barkoulas et al. (1997), Sadique and Silvapulle (2001), Henry (2002), Baillie et al. (2007), Caporale and Gil-Alana (2004b), Gil-Alana and Moreno (2012), Abbritti et al. (2016) and Al-Shboul and Anwar (2016) among many others.

In the present study we adopt the following specification:

$$(1 - L)^d x_t = u_t, \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \tag{7}$$

where d can be any real value, L is the lag-operator ($Lx_t = x_{t-1}$) and u_t is I(0), defined for our purposes as a covariance stationary process with a spectral density function that is positive and finite at the zero frequency. Note that H and d are related through the equality $H = d-0.5$.

The most common semiparametric approach, which does not require any assumptions about u_t , is based on the log-periodogram (see Geweke and Porter-Hudak, 1983), and was then extended and improved by Künsch (1986), Robinson (1995a), Hurvich and Ray (1995), Velasco (1999a, 2000), Shimotsu and Phillips (2002) etc. For our purposes we use another method, which is essentially a local ‘Whittle estimator’ defined in the frequency domain using a band of high frequencies that degenerates to zero. The estimator is implicitly defined by:

$$\hat{d} = \arg \min_d \left(\log \overline{C(d)} - 2d \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m \log \lambda_s \right),$$

$$\overline{C(d)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m I(\lambda_s) \lambda_s^{2d}, \quad \lambda_s = \frac{2\pi s}{T}, \quad \frac{m}{T} \rightarrow 0, \tag{8}$$

where m is a bandwidth parameter, and $I(\lambda_s)$ is the periodogram of the raw time series, x_t , given by:

$$I(\lambda_s) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T x_t e^{i\lambda_s t} \right|^2,$$

and $d \in (-0.5, 0.5)$. Under finiteness of the fourth moment and other mild conditions, Robinson (1995b) proved that:

$$\sqrt{m} (\hat{d} - d_0) \rightarrow_d N(0, 1/4) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where d_0 is the true value of d. This estimator is robust to a certain degree of conditional heteroscedasticity and more efficient than other semiparametric alternatives; it has been further developed by Velasco (1999b), Velasco and Robinson (2000), Phillips and Shimotsu (2004, 2005) and Abadir et al. (2007).

The parametric estimation of d and the other model parameters can be carried out either in the frequency domain or in the time domain. For the former, Sowell (1992) analysed the exact maximum likelihood estimator of the parameters of the ARFIMA model, using a recursive procedure that allows a quick evaluation of the likelihood function. Other parametric methods for estimating d based on the frequency domain were put forward by Fox and Taquq (1986) and Dahlhaus (1989) etc. (see also Robinson, 1994, Demetrescu et al., 2008, and Lobato and Velasco, 2007 for Wald and LM parametric tests based on the Whittle function).

In the following section we use both parametric (Robinson, 1994) and semiparametric (Robinson, 1995a; Abadir et al., 2007) techniques for testing and estimating the fractional differencing parameter d.

4. Empirical results

The results of the R/S analysis on the return series for the whole sample and different sub-samples are presented in Table 1.

As can be seen, market fear does not follow a random walk, and the estimates depend on the data frequency. For the daily data it is anti-persistent (returns are negatively correlated). However, in the case of monthly data during the crisis period it exhibits persistence (returns are positively correlated), which suggests that it becomes a self-driving feeling possibly resulting in price bubbles and anti-bubbles. Anti-persistence can be seen as evidence in favour of the Noise Market Hypothesis and implies that abnormal profits can be made through trading in financial markets.

The dynamic R/S analysis shows the evolution over time of persistence in the VIX. The daily and monthly results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 respectively. The number of steps and the data window size are selected such that the number of Hurst exponent estimates equals the number of dividing periods (156 months for the case of Fig. 1 or 26 half-year case of Fig. 2).

As can be seen the degree of persistence varies over the time, being higher during the crisis period. This confirms the previous static findings.

Table 1
Results of the R/S analysis for the whole sample and different sub-samples, 2004–2016.

Period	Daily frequency	Monthly frequency
Whole sample	0.41	0.53
Pre-crisis (2004–2006)	0.44	0.36
Crisis (2007–2009)	0.46	0.70
Post-crisis (2010–2016)	0.41	0.47




Fig. 1. Results of the dynamic R/S analysis for the daily data, 2004–2016 (step = 55, data window = 300).




Fig. 2. Results of the dynamic R/S analysis for the monthly data, 2004–2016 (step = 4, data window = 48).

The results for the fractional integration methods and using the index prices are presented in Tables 2 and 3. First, we display in Table 2 the estimates of d along with their corresponding 95% confidence interval based on a parametric method (Robinson, 1994), using both uncorrelated and autocorrelated (Bloomfield, 1973) errors.³

It can be seen from Tables 2 and 3 that all the estimates are in the interval (0.5, 1), which implies non-stationarity and mean-reverting behaviour. This is corroborated by the semiparametric estimates displayed in Tables 4a and 4b (Abadir et al., 2007) for a selected group of bandwidth parameters.⁴ However, when considering the three subsamples, i.e., pre-crisis, crisis, and post-crisis, it becomes apparent that there are substantial differences between them, the highest degrees of persistence being found in the crisis period, during which the values of d are higher than 0.8 in virtually all cases and the I(1) hypothesis cannot be rejected. This is in

³ Robinson's (1994) method uses the Whittle function in the frequency domain, and the values reported in Tables 2 and 3 refer to the value of d producing the lowest test statistic. An advantage of this method is that it remains valid in nonstationary contexts ($d \geq 0.5$).

⁴ The optimal choice of the bandwidth parameters for semiparametric methods in the context of fractional integration is still unresolved; basically there is a trade-off between bias and variance.

Table 2
Results based on a parametric method with no autocorrelation.

Period	Daily frequency	Monthly frequency
Whole sample	0.83 (0.81, 0.86)	0.78 (0.66, 0.96)
Pre-crisis (2004–2006)	0.82 (0.76, 0.89)	0.32 (0.18, 0.54)
Crisis (2007–2009)	0.88 (0.78, 0.97)	0.96 (0.68, 1.52)*
Post-crisis (2010–2016)	0.85 (0.81, 0.89)	0.52 (0.38, 0.78)

* non-rejection cases of the I(1) hypothesis at the 5% level.

Table 3
Results based on a parametric method with autocorrelation.

Period	Daily frequency	Monthly frequency
Whole sample	0.81 (0.77, 0.86)	0.64 (0.52, 0.77)
Pre-crisis (2004–2006)	0.67 (0.61, 0.79)	0.35 (0.00, 0.71)
Crisis (2007–2009)	0.85 (0.79, 1.00) *	0.59 (0.11, 1.02)*
Post-crisis (2010–2016)	0.77 (0.74, 0.86)	0.29 (–0.12, 0.58)

* non-rejection cases of the I(1) hypothesis at the 5% level.

Table 4a
Estimates of d based on a semiparametric method.

Daily frequency	$m = (T)^{0.4}$	$m = (T)^{0.5}$	$m = (T)^{0.6}$
Whole sample	0.680	0.763	0.901
Pre-crisis (2004–2006)	0.588	0.578	0.652
Crisis (2007–2009)	0.958*	1.076*	0.990*
Post-crisis (2010–2016)	0.523	0.659	0.768

* non-rejection cases of the I(1) hypothesis at the 5% or level.

Table 4b
Estimates of d based on a semiparametric method.

Monthly frequency	$m = (T)^{0.4}$	$m = (T)^{0.5}$	$m = (T)^{0.6}$
Whole sample	0.804	0.637	0.658
Pre-crisis (2004–2006)	0.485	0.500	0.436
Crisis (2007–2009)	0.719	0.861*	0.816*
Post-crisis (2010–2016)	0.443	0.387	0.490

* non-rejection cases of the I(1) hypothesis at the 5% level.

contrast with the results for the pre- and post-crisis periods where mean reversion (i.e. $d < 1$) is found in all cases, which implies anti-persistent behaviour in the returns, consistently with the results from the R/S analysis (Table 1).

On the whole the results show that market fear does not follow a random walk. Usually VIX data are anti-persistent, but in crisis periods the level of persistence increases.

The most important implications from these results are:

1. The presence of long-memory properties in the VIX data represents evidence in favour of the Fractal Market Hypothesis. It implies that historical time series can give information about future returns and prediction models based on past volatility can be effective. It also means that asset pricing models not allowing for long memory (in the mean and possibly in the volatility) will be missing out on a key feature of the data and not perform particularly well.
2. The presence of long-memory in the VIX index also provides a theoretical basis for the use of specific trading strategies based on the VIX. Its degree of persistence is an important piece of information for traders. For example, high persistence suggests using trend-oriented trading strategies (for instance, those based on moving averages) whilst in the case of low persistence oscillatory strategies will produce better trading results. Finally, the presence of persistence in the VIX data can influence numerous market anomalies.
3. The VIX index can be used as a predictor for different economic indicators. Non-randomness of the VIX data points to the need to model its dynamics appropriately to improve its predictive power.
4. The instability of the long-memory properties of the VIX data provides additional evidence in favour of the Adaptive Market Hypothesis and partially explains why profitable trading strategies become unprofitable and vice versa, and also the necessity of switching between trading strategies.

5. An important conclusion arising from the changes over time in the degree of persistence is that its level can be used as a tool to predict financial crises: high levels of persistence usually coincide with the peak of financial crises.

5. Conclusions

Fear is one of the strongest emotions in financial markets; it can cause market crashes as well as price bubbles. However, its features are still relatively unexplored. We investigate its persistence properties by applying two different long-memory approaches (R/S analysis and fractional integration) to daily and monthly series for the VIX Index (the most commonly used quantitative measure of market fear) for the period from 2004 to 2016; using two different techniques, as well as analysing different data frequencies over a long sample including the most recent observations decreases the possibility of data snooping bias. Specifically, the R/S statistic is computed for the return series, whilst price indices are analysed applying I(d) techniques.

The results from the two approaches are consistent and indicate that market fear does not follow a random walk. It normally exhibits anti-persistence, but in crisis periods its persistence increases, which also suggests crowd effects. The fact that the long-memory properties of market fear are unstable and change over the time is an important finding that can lead to a better understanding of the behaviour of financial markets.

References

- Abadir, K.M., Distaso, W., Giraitis, L., 2007. Nonstationarity-extended local Whittle estimation. *J. Econ.* 141, 1353–1384.
- Abbritti, M., Gil-Alana, L.A., Moreno, A., Lovcha, Y., 2016. Term structure persistence. *J. Finance. Econom.* 14 (2), 331–352.
- Al-Shboul, M., Anwar, S., 2016. Fractional integration and daily stock market indices at Jordan's Amman stock exchange. *North Am. J. Econ. Finance* 37, 16–37.
- Alvarez-Ramirez, J., Alvarez, J., Rodriguez, E., Fernandez, A., 2008. Time-varying Hurst exponent for US stock markets. *Physica A* 387 (24), 6159–6169.
- Shiv, B., Loewenstein, G., Bechara, A., Damasio, H., Damasio, A.R., 2005. Investment behavior and the negative side of emotion. *Psychol. Sci.* 16 (6), 435–439 (June 2005).
- Baillie, R.T., Han, Y.W., Myers, R.J., Song, J., 2007. Long memory models for daily and high frequency commodity future returns. *J. Future Markets* 27, 643–668.
- Barkoulas, J.T., Baum, C.F., 1996. Long term dependence in stock returns. *Econ. Lett.* 53, 253–259.
- Barkoulas, J.T., Labys, W.C., Onoche, J., 1997. Fractional dynamics in international commodity prices. *J. Future Markets* 17, 161–189.
- Bekaert, G., Hoerova, M., 2013. The VIX, the variance premium and stock market volatility. *J. Econom.* 183 (2), 181–192.
- Bloomfield, P., 1973. An exponential model in the spectrum of a scalar time series. *Biometrika* 60, 217–226.
- Bollerslev, T., Tauchen, G., Zhou, H., 2009. Expected stock returns and variance risk premia. *Rev. Financial Stud.* 22 (11), 4463–4492.
- Breiter, H., Aharon, I., Kahneman, D., Dale, A., Shizgal, P., 2001. Functional imaging of neural responses to expectancy and experience of monetary gains and losses. *Neuron* 30 (2), 619–639 (May 2001).
- Caporale, G.M., Gil-Alana, L.A., 2004a. Long range dependence in daily stock returns. *Appl. Financial Econ.* 14 (6), 375–383.
- Caporale, G.M., Gil-Alana, L.A., 2004b. Fractional cointegration and tests of present value models. *Rev. Financial Econ.* 13, 245–258.
- Caporale, G.M., Gil-Alana, L.A., Plastun, A., Makarenko, I., 2016. Long memory in the Ukrainian stock market and financial crises. *J. Econ. Finance* 40 (2), 235–257.
- Chernov, M., 2007. On the role of risk premia in volatility forecasting. *J. Bus. Econ. Stat.* 25 (4), 411–426.
- Chow, K.V., Ming-Shium, P., Ryoichi, S., 1996. On the long-term or short-term dependence in stock prices: Evidence from international stock markets. *Rev. Quant. Finance Account.* 6 (2), 181–194.
- Chow, V., Jiang, W., Li, J., 2014. Does VIX Truly Measure Return Volatility? West Virginia University Working Paper.
- Chow, V., Jiang W. and Li, J., 2016. VIX Decomposition, the Price of Fear and Stock Return Predictability, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2747169>.
- Christensen, B.J., Prabhala, N.R., 1998. The relation between implied and realized volatility. *J. Financial Econ.* 50 (2), 125–150.
- Cipollini, A.P.L., Manzini, A., April 2007. Can the VIX Signal Market's Direction? An Asymmetric Dynamic Strategy Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=996384> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.996384>.
- Corsi, F., 2009. A simple approximate long memory model of realized volatility. *J. Financial Econ.* 7, 174–196.
- Dahlhaus, R., 1989. Efficient parameter estimation for self-similar process. *Ann. Stat.* 17, 1749–1766.
- Demetrescu, M., Kuzin, V., Hassler, U., 2008. Long memory testing in the time domain. *Econom. Theory* 24, 176–215.
- Dominique, C.-R., Rivera, S.L., 2011. Mixed fractional Brownian motion, short and long-term dependence and economic conditions: The case of the S&P-500 Index. *Int. Bus. Manag.* 3, 1–6.
- Fernandes, Marcelo, Medeiros, Marcelo C., Scharth, Marcel, 2014. Modeling and predicting the CBOE market volatility index. *J. Bank. Finance* 40, 1–10.
- Fleming, J., Ostdiek, B., Whaley, R.E., 1995. Predicting stock market volatility: a new measure. *J. Futures Markets* 15, 265–302.
- Fox, R., Taqqu, M., 1986. Large sample properties of parameter estimates for strongly dependent stationary Gaussian time series. *Ann. Stat.* 14, 517–532.
- Geweke, J., Porter-Hudak, S., 1983. The estimation and application of long memory time series models. *J. Time Series Anal.* 4, 221–238.
- Gil-Alana, L.A., Moreno, A., 2012. Uncovering the US term premium: an alternative route. *J. Bank. Finance* 36, 1181–1193.
- Giot, P., 2005. Relationships between implied volatility indexes and stock index returns. *J. Portfolio Manag.* 26, 12–17.
- Granger, C.W.J., Joyeux, R., 1980. An introduction to long memory time series models and fractional differencing. *J. Time Series Anal.* 1, 15–39.
- Granger, C.W.J., 1980. Long memory relationships and the aggregation of dynamic models. *J. Econom.* 14, 227–238.
- Granger, C.W.J., Hyung, B., 2004. Occasional structural breaks and long memory with an application to the S&P 500 absolute stock returns. *J. Emp. Finance* 11, 399–421.
- Granger, C.W.J., Ding, Z., 1995. Some properties of absolute returns. An alternative measure of risk. *Annales d'Economie et de Statistique* 40, 67–91.
- Guo, H., Whitelaw, R., 2006. Uncovering the risk-return relationship in the stock market. *J. Finance* 61, 1433–1463.
- Henry, O.T., 2002. Long memory in stock returns, Some international evidence. *Appl. Financial Econ.* 12, 725–729.
- Heydon, T., Ferreira, L., McArdle, M., Antognelli, M., 2000. Fear and Greed in Global Asset Allocation. *J. Invest.* 9 (1), 27–35.
- Hurst, H., 1951. Long-term storage of reservoirs. *Trans. Am. Soc. Civil Eng.* 116.
- Hurvich, C.M., Ray, B.K., 1995. Estimation of the memory parameter for nonstationary or noninvertible fractionally integrated processes. *J. Time Series Anal.* 16, 17–41.
- Huskaj, B., 2013. Long memory in VIX futures volatility. *Rev. Futures Markets* 21 (1), 31–48.
- Johnson, E.J., Tversky, A., 1983. Affect, Generalization, and the Perception of Risk. *J. Person. Soc. Psychol.* 45 (1), 20–31.
- Jo-Hui, C., Yu-Fang, H., 2014. Memory and structural breaks in modelling the volatility dynamics of VIX-ETFs. *Int. J. Bus. Econ. Law* 4 (1), 54–63.
- Keim, D.B., Madhavan, A., 2000. The relation between stock market movements and NYSE seat prices. *J. Finance* 55 (6), 2817–2840.
- Koopman, S.J., Jungbacker, B., Hol, E., 2005. Forecasting daily variability of the S&P 100 stock index using historical, realised and implied volatility measurements. *J. Emp. Finance* 12, 445–475.
- Künsch, H., 1986. Discrimination between monotonic trends and long-range dependence. *J. Appl. Prob.* 23, 1025–1030.
- Lobato, I.N., Velasco, C., 2007. Efficient wald tests for fractional unit root. *Econometrica* 75 (2), 575–589.
- Lu, Y.K., Perron, P., 2010. Modeling and forecasting stock return volatility using a random level shift model. *J. Emp. Finance* 17, 138–156.

- Malkiel, B.G., Fama, E.F., 1970. Efficient capital markets: a review of theory and empirical work. *J. Finance* 25, 383–417.
- Munenzon, Mikhail, February 1, 2010. 20 Years of VIX: Fear, Greed and Implications for Traditional Asset Classes Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1583504> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1583504>.
- Mynhardt, R.H., Plastun, A., Makarenko, I., 2014. Behavior of financial markets efficiency during the financial market crisis: 2007 – 2009. *Corp. Ownership Control* 11 (2), 473–488.
- Peters, E.E., 1991. *Chaos and Order in the Capital Markets*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Peters, E.E., 1994. *Fractal Market Analysis: Applying Chaos Theory to Investment and Economics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Phillips, P.C., Shimotsu, K., 2005. Exact local Whittle estimation of fractional integration. *Ann. Stat.* 33, 1890–1933.
- Phillips, P.C.B., Shimotsu, K., 2004. Local Whittle estimation in nonstationary and unit root cases. *Ann. Stat.* 32, 656–692.
- Robinson, P.M., 1994. Efficient tests of nonstationary hypotheses. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 89, 1420–1437.
- Robinson, P.M., 1995a. Gaussian semi-parametric estimation of long range dependence. *Ann. Stat.* 23, 1630–1661.
- Robinson, P.M., 1995b. Log-periodogram regression of time series with long range dependence. *Ann. Stat.* 23, 1048–1072.
- Sadique, S., Silvapulle, P., 2001. Long term memory in stock market returns. Interantional evidence. *Int. J. Finance Econ.* 6, 59–67.
- Shefrin, Hersh, 2000. *Beyond Greed and Fear: Understanding Behavioral Finance and the Psychology of Investing*. Harvard Business School Press 2000.
- Shiller, R.J., 2003. From efficient market theory to behavioral finance (2003). *J. Econ. Perspect.* 17 (1), 83–104.
- Shimotsu, K., Phillips, P.C.B., 2002. Pooled log periodogram regression. *J. Time Series Anal.* 23, 57–93.
- Slovic, P., 1987. Perception of risk. *Science* 236 (4799), 280–285.
- Sowell, F., 1992. Maximum likelihood estimation of stationary univariate fractionally integrated time series models. *J. Econom.* 53, 165–188.
- Velasco, C., Robinson, Peter, 2000. Whittle pseudo-maximum likelihood estimation for nonstationary time series. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 95 (452), 1229–1243.
- Velasco, C., 1999a. Nonstationary log-periodogram regression. *J. Econom.* 91, 299–323.
- Velasco, C., 1999b. Gaussian semiparametric estimation of nonstationary time series. *J. Time Series Anal.* 20, 87–127.
- Velasco, C., 2000. Non-Gaussian log-periodogram regression. *Econom. Theory* 16, 44–79.
- Whaley, R., 2000. The investor fear gauge. *J. Portfolio Manag.* 26, 12–17.
- Zalla, T., Koehlin, E., Pietrini, P., Basso, G., Aquino, P., Sirigu, A., Grafman, J., 2000. Differential amygdala responses to winning and losing: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study in humans. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 12 (5), 1764–1770.
- Zweig J., 2007. *Your Money and Your Brain: How the New Science of Neuroeconomics Can Help Make You Rich*, chapter 7, by Jason Zweig, New York: Simon & Schuster, Inc., 2007.