2

# An experimental study on crack propagation at rock-concrete interface using digital image correlation technique

Wei Dong<sup>1,\*</sup>, Zhimin Wu<sup>2</sup>, Xiangming Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Na Wang<sup>4</sup>, Gediminas Kastiukas<sup>5</sup> 3 <sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian 4 University of Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. 5 (\*Corresponding author). E-mail: dongwei@dlut.edu.cn 6 <sup>2</sup>Professor, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of 7 Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. E-mail: wuzhimin@dlut.edu.cn 8 <sup>3</sup>Reader in Civil Engineering Design, Department of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil 9 Engineering, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK & Haitian Visiting 10 Professor, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of 11

12 Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. E-mail: xiangming.zhou@brunel.ac.uk

- <sup>13</sup> <sup>4</sup>Master student, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian
- 14 University of Technology, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. E-mail: wangna@163.com
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>5</sup>PhD student, Department of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering, Brunel

<sup>16</sup> University London, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK. E-mail:
 <sup>17</sup> Gediminas.Kastiukas@brunel.ac.uk

18

### 19 ABSTRACT

The digital image correlation (DIC) technique is employed to investigate the fracture process at rock-concrete interfaces under three-point bending (TPB), and four-point shearing (FPS) of rock-concrete composite beams with various pre-crack positions. According to the displacement fields obtained from experiment, the crack width, and propagation length during the fracture process can be derived, providing information on the evolution of the fracture process zone (FPZ) at the interface. The results indicated that under TPB, the fracture of the rock-concrete interface is mode I dominated fracture although slight sliding displacement was also observed. Under FPS, the mode II component may increase in the case of a small notched crack length-to-depth ratio, resulting in the crack kinking into the rock. It was also observed that the FPZ length at the peak load is far longer for a specimen under FPS than under TPB.

31

Keywords: Rock-concrete interface; digital image correlation; fracture process zone; crack
 propagation; fracture mode

34

### 35 **1. Introduction**

For concrete structures built on a rock foundation, e.g. concrete dams, the interface between 36 concrete and rock is usually considered as the weakest structural zone, enabling cracks to 37 initiate and propagate along the interface under the hydrostatic loading. Similar to 38 cement-based materials, a rock-concrete interface exhibits a typical quasi-brittle behaviour, 39 i.e. there is a fracture process zone (FPZ) ahead of the interfacial crack, which features 40 strain softening and strain localization behavior. Both the FPZ length and the crack opening 41 displacement in the FPZ are essential parameters for characterizing the nonlinear behavior 42 of concrete. Considering the small size of the FPZ compared with the large size of structures, 43 some researchers [1, 2] have employed linear elastic fracture mechanics to analyze the 44 fracture behavior of rock-concrete interfaces, in which the FPZ length was ignored. However, 45

based on the linear elastic fracture mechanics, once a crack initiates, it will immediately 46 enter the unstable propagation stage, i.e. the nonlinear response of a structure cannot be 47 reflected without an FPZ. Meanwhile, it is well known that fracture energy plays an important 48 role in the fracture analysis of cementitious materials [3] and is significantly affected by the 49 size of the FPZ [4]. By comparing the linear and nonlinear fracture methods (with/without 50 FPZ), Červenka et al. [5] demonstrated that performing a nonlinear analysis of a 51 cementitious material interface could increase the critical fracture energy by approximately 52 20% compared to a linear analysis. Therefore, with regards to the safety assessment of 53 rock-concrete structures such as concrete dams built on a rock foundation, nonlinear 54 fracture mechanics is more reliable for fracture analysis of a rock-concrete interface in the 55 field. 56

So far, both experimental and numerical methods have been utilized to study FPZ evolution 57 in quasi-brittle materials. Some studies have shown that the FPZ length of concrete 58 decreases rapidly when a crack approaches the top surface of a specimen [6-8]. This is 59 often called the boundary effect and has been successfully explained through the concept of 60 local fracture energy [6, 9, 10]. Based on the experimental results of mode I fracture, it was 61 found that the maximum FPZ length of concrete increases with the increase of the specimen 62 height, and decreases with the increase of the notched crack length-to-depth ratio  $(a_0/D)$  [11]. 63 Dong et al. arrived to the same conclusion [12] by introducing the initial fracture toughness 64 criterion in the analysis of concrete fracture. It has also been found in this study, that the FPZ 65 length may continue increasing even after the FPZ has fully developed. Meanwhile, taking 66 sandstone as an example, the FPZ evolution under mixed mode fracture was studied 67

through experiment [13]. It should be noted that the aforementioned studies aimed at 68 investigating the FPZ evolution in single materials, such as concrete and sandstone. In the 69 70 case of a composite material such as a rock-concrete interface, to the best of the authors' knowledge, no study regarding its FPZ evolution has been reported. In the few studies which 71 72 have been made on crack propagation along a rock-concrete interface [14-16], the main objective was to develop a numerical method to effectively simulate the fracture process at 73 the interface rather than to investigate crack evolution. In those studies, usually the curves of 74 load vs. crack mouth opening and sliding displacements (P-CMOD, P-CMSD) obtained from 75 76 experiment were compared with the ones from simulation to verify the proposed numerical methods. In fact, for the purpose of an in-depth insight into a fracture mechanism, the 77 verification of a numerical method using the FPZ evolution in various fracture stages is more 78 79 significant and convincing. Therefore, together with the fracture behavior, it is important to investigate the FPZ evolution at the rock-concrete interface. 80

Digital image correlation (DIC) is an optical technique that is used to visualize the surface 81 displacements of a specimen. Through a comparison of digital images of specimen surfaces 82 before/after deformation, the displacements of the regular grid points on the specimen 83 surface can be obtained, so that the FPZ evolution during fracture process can be derived if 84 combined with a softening constitutive law for crack opening displacement and cohesive 85 force. Due to its convenience, high responsiveness, accuracy and non-destructive nature, 86 the DIC technique has been widely used for investigating a number of processes, including 87 the fracture and fatigue behavior of strengthened reinforced concrete beams [17], the mode I 88 fracture in cementitious materials [11, 18-20], the mixed mode fracture in sandstone [13], the 89

90 fracture properties at concrete-concrete interfaces [21], and the interfacial debonding 91 properties in concrete [22]. The results of the above research have demonstrated that the 92 DIC technique can be used to carry out the fracture analysis of concrete with reasonable 93 accuracy.

In this study, the DIC technique is employed to investigate the fracture properties and 94 characterize the FPZ length under three-point bending (TPB) for the rock-concrete interface. 95 Also, in the case of four-point shearing (FPS), the crack opening and sliding displacements 96 at various stages before the peak loads are obtained using the DIC technique with respect to 97 98 different mode mixity ratios. Based on the experimental results, the FPZ evolution during crack propagation and the effects of the mode mixity ratio on fracture properties are 99 discussed. It is expected that the experimental results presented here can lead to a better 100 understanding of the fracture properties and failure characteristics of rock-concrete 101 interfaces so that the nonlinear fracture mechanics can be more efficiently employed to 102 crack propagation analysis. Meanwhile, it may be helpful to verify the previously developed 103 numerical method for simulating the fracture process of different material interfaces by 104 providing experimental evidence of the FPZ evolution and crack opening/sliding 105 displacements. 106

107

### 108 **2. Experimental Program**

### 109 2.1 Specimen Preparation and Experimental Setup

The two types of specimens tested in this study were  $100 \times 100 \times 500$  mm (width×depth×length) beams with a 400 mm span. One specimen featured an interfacial

notch at the geometric center of the composite, i.e. both the concrete and rock blocks have 112 the same length, for the TPB test (See Fig. 1(a)). The other specimen featured an eccentric 113 interfacial notch, i.e. the concrete and rock blocks have unequal lengths, for FPS test (See 114 Fig. 1(b)). Here, a<sub>0</sub> is the initial crack length; D, B, and L are the depth, width and length of 115 the beams, respectively;  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $C_1$  are the distances from the two loading points and 116 pre-notch to the geometric center of the rock-concrete composite specimens, respectively. 117 The specimen number "TPB 30" denotes a TPB beam with  $a_0=30$  mm. The specimen 118 number "FPS10-5-60" denotes an FPS beam with  $L_1/L_2=10$ ,  $C_1=5$  mm, and  $a_0=60$  mm. To 119 obtain the various mode mixty ratios, i.e.  $K_1/K_2$ , in the interfacial fracture, the values of  $a_0$ , 120  $L_1/L_2$ , and  $C_1$  vary, which are listed in Table 2. Here,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are the stress intensity factors 121 of the bi-material interface crack. In this paper, SIFs for a rock-concrete interface crack are 122 calculated by the displacement extrapolation method [23] using the ANSYS finite element 123 code with the formulas shown as below: 124

125 
$$K_{1} = C \lim_{r \to 0} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{r}} \Big[ \delta_{y} (\cos Q + 2\varepsilon \sin Q) + \delta_{x} (\sin Q - 2\varepsilon \cos Q) \Big]$$
(1)

126 
$$K_2 = C \lim_{r \to 0} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{r}} \Big[ \delta_y (\cos Q + 2\varepsilon \sin Q) - \delta_x (\sin Q - 2\varepsilon \cos Q) \Big]$$
(2)

127 where,

129

128 
$$C = \frac{2\cosh(\varepsilon\pi)}{(\kappa_1 + 1) / \mu_1 + (\kappa_2 + 1) / \mu_2}$$
(3)

$$Q = \varepsilon \ln r \tag{4}$$

130 
$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{\frac{\kappa_1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2}}{\frac{\kappa_2}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_1}} \right)$$
(5)

131 
$$\mu_i = \frac{E_i}{2(1+v_i)} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$
(6)

$$\kappa_{i} = \begin{cases} (3 - n_{ui}) / (1 + n_{ui}) & (Plane stress) \\ (3 - 4n_{ui}) & (Plane strain) \end{cases}$$
(7)

*E* and n<sub>u</sub> are the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio, respectively, while i=1, 2 representing
 concrete and rock respectively.

To obtain the natural surface of the rock, TPB test was carried out on rock beams with a 135 notch. Once a notched rock beam is broken into two halves under bending, each half will 136 have a natural surface. Mix proportions of the concrete for this study were 1:0.62:1.8:4.2 137 (cement: water: sand: aggregate) by weight and the maximum aggregate size was 10 mm. 138 To make rock-concrete composite beams, a rock block was placed inside the mould and 139 140 concrete was cast against it. After curing in sealed conditions for 2 days, the composite specimens were de-moulded and moved into a curing room with 23°C and 90% RH for 141 further curing to 28 days. The measured material properties of concrete, rock, and 142 rock-concrete interface are listed in Table 2, in which  $E_t$ ,  $n_u$ ,  $f_c$ ,  $f_t$  and  $G_f$  denote Young's 143 modulus, Poisson's ratio, uniaxial compressive strength, uniaxial tensile strength and 144 fracture energy, respectively. 145

A closed loop servo-controlled testing machine with a compression loading capacity of 250 kN was employed for loading the beam specimens in this study. For each specimen, a clip gauge was mounted on the bottom of the beam to measure the crack mouth opening displacement (CMOD). The tests were performed under CMOD control mode with a rate of 0.005mm/s.

151

132

## 152 2.2 Digital Image Correlation Technique and Determination of Opening/Sliding 153 Displacements along the FPZ

Digital image correlation is an optical, non-contact measurement technique, which is usually

employed to analyze the displacement field on a specimen surface. By comparing images of 155 the specimen before and after deformation, the deformation of a specimen caused by the 156 applied load can be evaluated using the DIC technique. In this study, the camera was placed 157 perpendicular to the rock-concrete specimen side surface 1.5 m away. The speckled pattern 158 was made on the specimen surface using ordinary black spray paint. One digital image per 159 second was recorded using a digital camera with a resolution of 1024×768 pixels during 160 loading. Taking Specimen TPB30 as an example, a computational domain with 62×80 mm<sup>2</sup> 161 was employed to cover its full ligament length. By picking up one out of each five pixels (1 162 163 pixel=0.0877 mm in this case), a computational grid of 22143 (121×183) points was selected to conduct the deformation analysis in the X (perpendicular to the crack surface) and the Y 164 (parallel to the crack surface) directions (See Fig. 2). In Fig. 2, Line MN is just above the tip 165 of the pre-notch, and Lines  $M_1N_1$ ,  $M_2N_2...M_nN_n$  (n=182) are parallel to Line MN with an 166 interval of 5 pixels. The opening displacement u along the X direction and sliding 167 displacement v along the Y direction corresponding to various loadings can be derived using 168 the DIC technique, which is elaborated as following: 169

Based on the P-CMOD curve of Specimen TPB30 (See Fig. 3) obtained from experiment, 170 Point P8, at the loading level of 5.5% of the post-peak load, is selected as an example to 171 elaborate how to derive the opening/sliding displacements. Fig. 4(a) and (b) illustrate the 172 deformation of line MN along the u and the v directions at Point P8, i.e., the opening and 173 174 sliding displacements at the tip of a notch. In Fig. 4(a), the opening displacements were significantly increased in the 6-pixel points near the origin, which is caused by crack initiation. 175 Here, the points at the boundary of displacement jump are denoted as Points R and Q. By 176 calculating the distance between Points R and Q, the opening displacement 0.107 mm on 177 line MN is obtained. Correspondingly, the sliding displacement 0.0087 mm is derived based 178 on the experimental results. Then, the opening/sliding displacements on lines M1N1, 179

M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>...M<sub>n</sub>N<sub>n</sub> can be derived until both displacements reach zero, i.e. the crack tip is 180 captured. Moreover, according to the obtained opening/sliding displacements in Fig. 4, the 181 Points Q and R, which represent the deformation edges, can be used to define the crack 182 profile on line MN corresponding to the loading Point P8. At that moment, the X-values of the 183 profile on line MN correspond to the opening displacements of Points Q and R, respectively. 184 Accordingly, the Y-values in Fig. 5 correspond to the sliding displacements of Points Q and R, 185 respectively. Then, since the opening/sliding displacements on line MN are obtained at Point 186 8, the crack profile on line MN corresponding to Point 8 can be derived. Accordingly, the 187 188 crack profile at Point 8 is obtained using the above-mentioned process by deriving the opening/sliding displacements on lines M<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>...M<sub>n</sub>N<sub>n</sub>. In a similar manner, both the 189 opening/sliding displacements and the crack profile can be obtained at any point of the 190 191 P-CMOD curve. Therefore, the crack propagation and the FPZ evolution during the fracture process of the rock-concrete interface can be recorded using the DIC technique. To 192 demonstrate, Fig. 5 illustrates the crack profile corresponding to Points  $P_2$ ,  $P_4$ , and  $P_9$ , and 193 the final failure image of the specimen TPB30. 194

195

### 196 **3. Results and Discussions**

### 197 **3.1 Effects of Crack Length on Interface Mode Fracture Under TPB**

Under TPB, due to the materials being asymmetric on both sides of a crack, the rock-concrete interface is a mixed mode fracture rather than a single mode opening fracture. Figs. 6 (a) to (j) illustrate crack evolution in Specimen TPB 30 with respect to points 1 to 10. In each figure, the opening displacement u and sliding displacement v along the crack are shown on both sides of the crack. It can be seen from these figures that, both the opening and sliding displacements increase almost linearly along the crack surface. Compared with the opening displacement, the sliding displacement is obviously smaller. For the purpose of 205 quantitative analysis, Fig. 7 presents the relationship of the ratio of v/u vs. the crack ratio a/D. Here, a is the overall crack length, which is the sum of the initial crack length and the crack 206 propagation length. It can be seen from this figure that the ratios of v/u approximately 207 showed a plateau when the crack tip was far from the free surface of the specimen, i.e. a/D 208 is less than 0.6 in this study. Since v and u are caused by a bending moment and shear force, 209 respectively, the ratio of v/u reflects the proportion of Modes II to I components, which has 210 the similar physical meaning to the ratio of  $K_2/K_1$ . According to the result from literature [24], 211 the ratio of  $K_2/K_1$  also kept a plateau when there was no boundary effect at a rock-concrete 212 interface. However, the ratio of v/u decreased rapidly when the crack tip was close to the 213 free surface, i.e. a/D is close to 1, which may be attributed to the free surface effect. In the 214 case of small size specimen in this study, the sliding displacement is 15% less than the 215 216 opening displacement at the interfacial surface. It should be noted that the value of 15% is based only on the observation of this test, and more tests need to be carried out to get a 217 sound conclusion. 218

219

### 220 **3.2 FPZ Evolution at Rock-concrete Interface**

According to the fictitious crack model proposed by Hillerborg [24], the tension-softening 221 behaviors of the FPZ in cement-based materials can be described using the normal stress 222 acting on the crack surface ( $\sigma$ ) vs. crack opening displacement (w). In the relationship of  $\sigma$ -w, 223 224 stress-free crack opening displacement  $w_0$  is a significant parameter, which can determine the end of the FPZ. Taking the bilinear  $\sigma$ -w relationship of concrete [25] as an example,  $w_0$  is 225 set as  $3.6G_{f}/f_{t}$ . Thus, the FPZ length can be determined by the distance from the crack tip to 226 the stress-free crack position. However, in the case of rock-concrete interface, the 227 constitutive relationship of concrete was employed for describing the behavior of the 228 rock-concrete interface as there is very limited reliable knowledge on the constitutive 229

relationship of rock-concrete interface from literature. Recently, aiming to understand the softening behavior of the rock-concrete interface, a bilinear  $\sigma$ -*w* relationship was determined by Dong et al. [26], and the relationship of  $w_0 = 6G_t/f_t$  was proposed according to their research, which is also employed in this study. Based on the experimental results,  $f_t$  and  $G_f$ of the rock-concrete interface are 1.371 MPa and 19.3 N/m, respectively. Thus,  $w_0$  is equal to 0.0844 mm.

When the initial crack tip opening displacement is less than  $w_0$ , no stress-free crack is 236 formed so the FPZ length can be determined by positioning the crack tip. In comparison, 237 238 when the displacement just reaches  $w_0$ , the FPZ is fully formed. Its length is 57.89 mm in this study, which is approximately corresponding to Point P7 (See Fig. 8). When the crack 239 continuously propagates, the crack opening displacement keeps increasing, and the end of 240 241 the FPZ will move forward and so will the crack tip. Therefore, according to the crack profile from experiment, the FPZ lengths can be derived, which are 41.44 and 24.69 mm with 242 respect to Points P9 and P10 (See Fig. 8). 243

Fig. 9 illustrates the FPZ evolution during the fracture process in which  $\triangle a$  denotes the 244 crack propagation length. It can be seen that the FPZ length increases as the crack 245 propagates until it has fully developed at Point A, which corresponds to the length of 60.09 246 mm. After that, the FPZ length decreases rapidly, showing the same variation trend as 247 concrete [11]. The ratios are approximately 0.86 and 0.91 for the rock-concrete interface and 248 concrete itself respectively, which are close to each other. After the development of a full 249 FPZ, the effective crack consists of the newly formed stress-free crack, and the FPZ. If the 250 ligament is long enough, the increase of newly formed crack is approximately equal to the 251 increase of stress-free crack, so that the FPZ will keep a plateau. However, in the case of 252 small-size specimens, the crack tip may be close to the specimen boundary when the FPZ 253 fully develops. At that moment, the crack opening will increase sharply, which results in the 254

ending point of the FPZ moving forward rapidly. In this case, the increase of new crack 255 initiation is less than the one of the new stress-free zone, resulting in the decrease of the 256 FPZ length. It has been accepted that the boundary effect causes the decrease of the FPZ 257 length in concrete [3]. Accordingly, the concept of local fracture energy was introduced 258 based on the boundary effect model, and the bi-linear distribution of local fracture energy 259 along the ligament was proposed [10]. Since the FPZ evolution of concrete and 260 261 rock-concrete interface exhibited similar variation tendency, it may be concluded that the decrease of the FPZ length at the interface is caused by the boundary effect. The local 262 263 fracture energy will decrease as well when the crack tip is close to the boundary. Certainly, it is worthy to conduct a study on the boundary effect at the rock-concrete interface in order to 264 draw a sound conclusion. 265

266

### 3.3 Variation of FPZ Length in Rock-concrete Composite Specimens under TPB and FPS

In the case of the FPS series beams, the relationship of opening and sliding displacements 269 on the crack surface is different from that of the TPB series beams discussed previously. It 270 should be noted that the crack propagation at the post-peak load stage was not captured in 271 the experiment due to the sudden break of FPS series specimens at the peak load. 272 Meanwhile, although under FPS, the crack continuously propagates along the interface until 273 reaching the top surface of the specimen for Specimens FPS 10-5-60, 4-15-30 and 4-10-20. 274 For each FPS series specimen, four digital images were derived corresponding to different 275 loads during the loading process from crack initiation to reaching peak load. In each image, 276 the crack surface opening/sliding displacements can be derived through comparing with the 277 reference image before loading. Together with the crack profiles, evolutions of the 278 279 microcracks at the four selected loading moments are illustrated for each specimen in Figs.

10 to 12. The FPZ in the three specimens was not fully formed at the peak load since no 280 stress-free cracks are formed at that moment. Meanwhile, there is a significant difference in 281 the FPZ length of the TPB and the FPS series specimens at the peak load. The FPZ length 282 is 7.89 mm for the Specimen TPB 30 while, for FPS specimens, the lengths are 36.84, 44.66 283 and 61.75 mm for FPS 10-5-60, 4-15-30 and 4-10-20, respectively. A natural rock surface 284 obtained by fracturing a prismatic rock specimen by TPB was used for preparing the 285 rock-concrete composite samples investigated in this study. Since there is no aggregate 286 bridging mechanism at the rock-concrete interface, in the case of TPB, the rough surface 287 only increases the contact area between rock and concrete, which improves the cohesive 288 tension effect of the interface on a limited scale. However, in the case of FPS, the rough 289 surface not only increases the contact area between the two materials but also increases the 290 shear cohesive effect due to the interlocking from the naturally rough interface. Therefore, 291 due to the existence of mode II component under FPS, the peak load significantly increases 292 compared with under TPB. According to the experimental results, the peak load is 2.23 kN 293 for Specimen TPB30. With respect to Specimens FPS 10-5-60, FPS 4-15-30 and FPS 294 4-10-20, the peak load are 18.84, 26.93 and 41.25 kN, respectively (See Table 1). It can be 295 seen that with regards to the same size specimens (TPB30 and FPS 4-15-30), the peak load 296 under FPS (Specimen FPS 4-15-30) is more than 10 times greater than the one under TPB 297 (Specimen TPB30). From a qualitative estimation, the fracture energy at the peak load under 298 FPS is far more than under TPB. From the viewpoint of the energy balance, the longer FPZ 299 is needed, which can provide more tension and shear cohesive effects, to dissipate the 300 fracture energy caused by the high peak load under FPS. This is why the FPZ length is 301

higher under FPS than under TPB as observed in this study. A longer FPZ provides a higher
cohesive effect and increased cracking resistance. Therefore, if the linear elastic fracture
mechanics is employed to predict the peak load of the interface, the underestimation of peak
load on the fracture analysis of mixed mode dominant is more significant than the one of
mode I dominant. Further, it is not appropriate to use linear elastic fracture mechanics to
analyze mixed-mode fracture.

308

### 309 3.4 Variation of the Fracture Mode in Beams under FPS

According to the experimental setup shown in Fig. 1(b), the initial mode mixty ratio,  $K_2/K_1$ , before crack initiation can be derived as 0.595, 0.649 and 2.855 for specimens FPS 10-5-60, 4-15-30 and 4-10-20, respectively. Because no crack propagation occurs at that moment, linear elastic interfacial fracture mechanics can be employed to calculate the stress intensity factors (SIFs) at the tip of the pre-notch.

In the case of the FPS test of beams made of a single material, the crack will form and 315 propagate perpendicular to the principle tensile stress. Therefore, even though a large ratio 316 of  $K_{II}/K_{I}$  exists before crack initiation, the ratio will rapidly decrease after the crack is formed 317 and the fracture mode will be dominated by Mode I [27]. The phenomenon can be explained 318 by the fact that the crack usually propagates along the trajectory of the least cracking 319 resistance. However, the scenario is different in the case of the rock-concrete interface. The 320 crack propagation trajectory depends on the competition between the driving force and 321 resistance with respect to the interface and the rock. It has been verified experimentally [28], 322 that the crack can kink into rock even the interface is weaker than the rock (in this study, the 323 initial fracture toughness' are 1.0 MPa·m<sup>1/2</sup> for rock vs. 0.2 MPa·m<sup>1/2</sup> for the interface). It 324

should be noted that, in the case of a crack kinking into the rock, the crack propagates perpendicular to the principal tensile stress. Therefore, it is similar to the mixed mode fracture of a single material in which the fracture mode will be dominated by Mode I as the crack propagates. In contrast, the fracture mode is still I-II mixed if the crack propagates along the interface. In this case, it is possible for the crack to kink into the rock after some propagation along the interface.

The relationship of v/u vs. a/D at Points  $P_1$  to  $P_4$  for each specimen is shown in Fig. 13. It is 331 interesting to notice that the ratio of v/u remains almost constant for specimen FPS 4-10-20. 332 333 It should also be noted that v and u can appropriately reflect the proportions of Modes II and I components, respectively, in the mixed mode fracture because they are caused by bending 334 moment and shear force, respectively. From this point of view, the proportion of the mode II 335 component in specimen FPS 4-10-20 does not decrease as the crack propagates as it does 336 in concrete. Rather it keeps stable before the peak load is reached. Similarly, in the case of 337 Specimen FPS 4-15-30, the ratio of v/u even slightly increases as the crack propagates 338 before the peak load is reached. However, when a<sub>0</sub>/D increases to 0.6, i.e. Specimen FPS 339 10-5-60, the scenario is different with the condition of  $a_0/D=0.2$  and 0.3. At the early stage of 340 crack propagation, i.e. Point P<sub>1</sub> of Specimen FPS 10-5-60, the ratio of v/u is 0.7. When the 341 crack propagates from P<sub>2</sub> to P<sub>4</sub>, the ratio decreases to around 0.2 and remains stable. It is 342 worth pointing out that, the ratios of  $a_0/D$  corresponding to Points P<sub>2</sub> to P<sub>4</sub> exceed 0.9 at that 343 moment, i.e. the specimen is almost broken even before the peak load is reached. It can be 344 seen that the ratio of v/u changes as the crack propagates under a certain stress condition, 345 e.g. Specimen FPS 10-5-60. Meanwhile, since the ratio of v/u reflects the proportion of 346

Modes II to I components, it has the similar physical meaning to the ratio of  $K_2/K_1$ . Therefore, 347 it can be concluded that the ratio of  $K_2/K_1$  will change as the crack propagates. Then, the 348 initial mode mixty ratio,  $K_2/K_1$ , cannot reflect the proportion of variation in the Modes II and I 349 components during crack propagation. Instead, the ratio of a/D has a significant effect on the 350 fracture mode. In line with this, with the increase of Mode II component during a fracture 351 process, the crack may divert into the rock after propagating a certain distance along the 352 interface. Fig. 14 shows the failure mode of Specimen FPS 6-5-40, in which the crack 353 propagated along the interface for about 25 mm, then diverted into the rock block. Moreover, 354 the fracture mode will be dominated by Mode I when the ratio of a/D exceeds 0.9, i.e. the 355 final fracture of the rock-concrete composite specimen is almost caused by bending. 356 Therefore, in general, it is not reasonable to employ the initial mode mixty ratio to predict the 357 crack trajectory, because the variation of  $K_2/K_1$  is affected by the ratio of a/D as well. 358 Particularly, in the case of a concrete dam with a crack along the interface between concrete 359 and rock foundation, the mode mixty ratio with respect to crack initiation cannot be used to 360 determine whether the crack will propagate along the interface or not. The ligament of the 361 dam is long enough so that the crack may divert into the rock foundation and change the 362 failure mode of the dam. 363

364

### 365 **4. Conclusions**

In this paper, the DIC technique is employed to investigate crack propagation at the rock-concrete interface under TPB and FPS. By deriving the opening/sliding displacement field of the crack surface, the FPZ evolution during a fracture process is discussed. Meanwhile, based on the variation of opening/sliding displacements under FPS, the fracture 370 mode during crack propagation is analyzed. According to the experimental study, the 371 following conclusions can be drawn:

For the TPB series specimens, the interface FPZ length increases as a crack propagates
 until the full FPZ has developed, exhibiting the same variation trend as concrete. For the
 small size specimens in this study, the ratios of *a*/*D* corresponding to the total FPZ are
 0.86 and 0.91 with respect to the rock-concrete interface and concrete itself, which
 showed the similar boundary effects.

2. There is a very short FPZ (7.89 mm) at the peak load under TPB, while the FPZ reaches 36.84, 44.66 and 61.75 mm long under FPS with  $a_0/D=0.6$ , 0.3 and 0.2, respectively. Therefore, the short FPZ length results in the less nonlinear fracture characteristic of rock-concrete interface with Mode I dominant fracture, while the nonlinear fracture characteristic is more significant for mixed mode fracture of the rock-concrete interface.

382 3. The fracture mode varies as the crack propagates in the following manner: for the TPB 383 series specimens, the ratio of u/v at the tip of the notch of the interface remains at a 384 plateau until the crack tip is close to the specimen boundary. For the FPS series 385 specimens with a small  $a_0/D$  (i.e.  $a_0/D \le 0.4$ ), the Mode II component may increase as the 386 crack propagates, resulting in the crack diverting into the rock. Finally for the FPS series 387 specimens with large  $a_0/D$  (i.e.  $a_0/D \ge 0.6$ ), the fracture mode rapidly falls into Mode I until 388 the beam is broken into two halves.

389

### 390 Acknowledgement

The financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grants of NSFC 51478084, NSFC 51421064 and NSFC 51109026, and partial financial support from the UK Royal Academy of Engineering through the Distinguished Visiting Fellow Scheme under the grant DVF1617\_5\_21 is gratefully acknowledged.

#### 396 **References**

- [1] Sujatha V, Kishen JMC. Energy release rate due to friction at bimaterial interface in dams.
- <sup>398</sup> J Eng Mech. 2003;129:793-800.
- 399 [2] Yang S, Song LI, Li ZHE, Huang S. Experimental investigation on fracture toughness of
- 400 interface crack for rock/concrete. Int J Mod Phys B. 2008;22:6141-8.
- [3] Wittmann FH, Hu XZ. Fracture process zone in cementitious materials. Int J Fracture.
- 402 1991;51:3-18.
- [4] Hu XZ. An asymptotic approach to size effect on fracture toughness and fracture energy
- of composites. Eng Fract Mech. 2002;69:555-64.
- [5] Červenka J, Chandra Kishen JM, Saouma VE. Mixed mode fracture of cementitious
- bimaterial interfaces: Part II: numerical simulation. Eng Fract Mech. 1998;60:95-107.
- 407 [6] Otsuka K, Date H. Fracture process zone in concrete tension specimen. Eng Fract Mech.
  408 2000;65:111-31.
- [7] Cotterell B, Mai Y-W. Crack growth resistance curve and size effect in the fracture of
   cement paste. J Mar Sci. 1987;22:2734-8.
- [8] Hu XZ, Wittmann F. Size effect on toughness induced by crack close to free surface. Eng
  Fract Mech. 2000;65:209-21.
- [9] Hu XZ, Wittmann FH. An analytical method to determine the bridging stress transferred
- within the fracture process zone: II, Application to mortar. Cem Concr Res. 1992;22:559-70.
- [10] Duan K, Hu XZ, Wittmann FH. Boundary effect on concrete fracture and non-constant
- 416 fracture energy distribution. Eng Fract Mech. 2003;70:2257-68.

[11] Wu Z, Rong H, Zheng J, Xu F, Dong W. An experimental investigation on the FPZ
properties in concrete using digital image correlation technique. Eng Fract Mech.
2011;78:2978-90.

[12] Dong W, Zhou X, Wu Z. On fracture process zone and crack extension resistance of

concrete based on initial fracture toughness. Constr Build Mater. 2013;49:352-63.

[13] Lin Q, Yuan H, Biolzi L, Labuz JF. Opening and mixed mode fracture processes in a

423 quasi-brittle material via digital imaging. Eng Fract Mech. 2014;131:176-93.

421

[14] Alberto A, Valente S. Asymptotic fields at the tip of a cohesive frictional crack growing at

the bi-material interface between a dam and the foundation rock. Eng Fract Mech.2013;108:152-61.

[15] Barpi F, Valente S. The cohesive frictional crack model applied to the analysis of the
 dam-foundation joint. Eng Fract Mech. 2010;77:2182-91.

[16] Zhong H, Ooi ET, Song C, Ding T, Lin G, Li H. Experimental and numerical study of the
dependency of interface fracture in concrete–rock specimens on mode mixity. Eng Fract
Mech. 2014;124-125:287-309.

[17] Mahal M, Blanksvärd T, Täljsten B, Sas G. Using digital image correlation to evaluate

fatigue behavior of strengthened reinforced concrete beams. Eng Struct. 2015;105:277-88.

[18] Alam SY, Saliba J, Loukili A. Fracture examination in concrete through combined digital

image correlation and acoustic emission techniques. Constr Build Mater. 2014;69:232-42.

436 [19] Enfedaque A, Gálvez JC, Suárez F. Analysis of fracture tests of glass fibre reinforced

437 cement (GRC) using digital image correlation. Constr Build Mater. 2015;75:472-87.

[20] Trivedi N, Singh RK, Chattopadhyay J. Investigation on fracture parameters of concrete

- through optical crack profile and size effect studies. Eng Fract Mech. 2015;147:119-39.
- [21] Shah SG, Kishen JMC. Fracture properties of concrete-concrete interfaces using digital
- image correlation. Exp Mech. 2011;51:303-13.
- [22] Corr D, Accardi M, Graham-Brady L, Shah S. Digital image correlation analysis of
  interfacial debonding properties and fracture behavior in concrete. Eng Fract Mech.
  2007;74:109-21.
- [23] Nagashima T, Omoto Y, Tani S. Stress intensity factor analysis of interface cracks using
  X-FEM. Int J Numer Meth Eng. 2003;56:1151-73.
- [24] Hillerborg A, Modéer M, Petersson PE. Analysis of crack formation and crack growth in
  concrete by means of fracture mechanics and finite elements. Cem Concr Res.
  1976;6:773-81.
- [25] Petersson PE. Crack growth and development of fracture zones in plain concrete and
   similar materials. Division of Building Materials, Lund Institute of Technology, Report
   TVBM-1006, Sweden, 1981.
- [26] Dong W, Wu Z, Zhou X. Fracture mechanisms of rock-concrete interface: experimental
  and numerical. J Eng Mech, ASCE. 2016;142:04016040.
- [27] Wu Z, Rong H, Zheng J, Dong W. Numerical method for mixed mode I–II crack
  propagation in concrete. J Eng Mech, ASCE. 2013;139:1530-8.
- 457 [28] Slowik V, Chandra Kishen JM, Saouma VE. Mixed mode fracture of cementitious
  458 bimaterial interfaces;: Part I: Experimental results. Eng Fract Mech. 1998;60:83-94.
- 459
- 460

| л | 6 | 1 |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | υ | Т |

### 462 Appendix I Table

### 463

| 464 | Table 1. Speci  | men Geometries ar     | nd Experimer | Table 1. Specimen Geometries and Experimental Results |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|-----------------------|--------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|     | Name of   | $L \times D \times B$ | <b>a</b> 0   | <i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>                                 |                      | <b>P</b> <sub>max</sub> |                             |  |  |  |  |
|     | specimens   | (mm³)                 | (mm)         | (mm)  | L1: L2               | (kN)                    | <b>M</b> 2/ <b>M</b> 1      |  |  |  |  |
|     | TPB30   |                       | 30           | -   | -                    | 2.23                    | -                           |  |  |  |  |
|     | FPS 4-10-20   |                       | 20           | 10  | 4                    | 41.25                   | 0.595                       |  |  |  |  |
|     | FPS 4-15-30   | 500×100×100           | 30           | 15  | 4                    | 26.93                   | 0.649                       |  |  |  |  |
|     | FPS 10-5-60   |                       | 60           | 5   | 10                   | 18.84                   | 2.855                       |  |  |  |  |
|     | FPS 6-5-40  |                       | 40           | 5   | 6                    | 32.97                   | 3.740                       |  |  |  |  |
| 465 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
|     | Table O. Mater  | iala Dranartiaa af C  |              | بلامرا أمرم مرا                                       |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 466 | Table 2. Materials Properties of Concrete, Rock and Interface |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
|     | Materials   | (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )  | Et (GPa)     | V   | f <sub>c</sub> (MPa) | f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)    | <i>G</i> <sub>f</sub> (N/m) |  |  |  |  |
|     | Concrete  | 2400                  | 30.26        | 0.24  | 36.1                 | 2.88                    | 87                          |  |  |  |  |
|     | Rock  | 2668                  | 64.39        | 0.20  | 119.2                | 8.65                    | 119.7                       |  |  |  |  |
|     | Interface   |                       |              |   |                      | 1.37                    | 19.3                        |  |  |  |  |
| 467 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 468 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 400 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 469 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 470 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 471 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 472 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 473 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 475 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 474 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 475 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 476 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| -   |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 477 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 478 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 479 |   |                       |              |   |                      |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |

### 480 Captions of figures

- 481 **Fig. 1.** Experimental setup: (a) Three-point bending test; and (b) Four-point shearing test
- 482 Fig. 2. Computational domains of Specimen TPB 30
- 483 **Fig. 3.** *P-CMOD* curve of Specimen TPB 30
- 484 Fig. 4. Displacement along Line MN on Specimen TPB 30: (a) Crack tip opening
- displacement of Point P8; and (b) Crack tip sliding displacement of Point P8
- 486 Fig. 5. Crack profiles of Specimen TPB 30 and final failure mode
- **Fig. 6.** Evolution of the microcrack of Specimen TPB 30: (a)  $P_1=78\% P_{max}$  (pre-peak); (b)
- 488  $P_2=P_{\text{max}}$ ; (c)  $P_3=83.03\% P_{\text{max}}$  (post-peak); (d)  $P_4=49.3\% P_{\text{max}}$  (post-peak); (e)  $P_5=32\% P_{\text{max}}$
- 489 (post-peak); (f)  $P_6=15.5\%P_{max}$  (post-peak);  $P_7=11\%P_{max}$  (post-peak); (h)  $P_8=5.5\%P_{max}$
- 490 (post-peak); and (i)  $P_9=3.18\% P_{max}$  (post-peak)
- 491 **Fig. 7.** Relationship of v/u vs. a/D in three-point bending beam
- 492 **Fig. 8.** FPZ evolution after the initiation of a full FPZ
- 493 **Fig. 9.** FPZ evolution in Specimen TPB 30
- 494 **Fig. 10.** Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen FPS 10-5-60: (a)  $P_1$ =63.1% $P_{max}$ ; (b)
- 495  $P_2=76.5\%P_{max}$ ; (c)  $P_3=82.6\%P_{max}$ ; and (d)  $P_4=98.3\%P_{max}$
- 496 **Fig. 11.** Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen 4-15-30: (a)  $P_1=65.1\% P_{max}$ ; (b)
- 497  $P_2=84.8\%P_{max}$ ; (c)  $P_3=95.9\%P_{max}$ ; and (d)  $P_4=97\%P_{max}$
- 498 **Fig. 12.** Evolution of the microcrack in Specimen FPS 4-10-20: (a)  $P_1=74.8\% P_{max}$ ; (b)
- 499  $P_2=90.9\%P_{max}$ ; (c)  $P_3=96.3\%P_{max}$ ; and (d)  $P_4=98.2\%P_{max}$
- 500 **Fig. 13.** Relationship of *v*/*u* vs. *a*/*D* for FPS beams
- 501 Fig. 14. Failure mode of Specimen FPS 6-5-40







(a) Crack tip opening displacement of Point P8 (b) Crack tip sliding displacement of Point P8
 Fig. 4. Displacement along Line MN on Specimen TPB 30







527

(a) Crack profiles at Points  $P_2$ ,  $P_4$  and  $P_9$  (b) Failure mode **Fig. 5.** Crack profiles of Specimen TPB 30 and final failure mode







(f)  $P_6=15.5\%P_{max}$  (post-peak)



(h) P<sub>8</sub>=5.5%P<sub>max</sub> (post-peak)



(j) P<sub>10</sub>=2.2%P<sub>max</sub> (post-peak)



**Fig. 7.** Relationship of v/u vs. a/D in three-point bending beams







Fig. 8. FPZ evolution after the initiation of a full FPZ



Fig. 9. FPZ evolution in Specimen TPB 30





Fig. 14. Failure mode of Specimen FPS 6-5-40